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I. INTRODUCTION

“All disease begins in the gut.”

–Hippocrates of Kos (Hippokrátēs ho Kōs: c. 460–c. 370 BCE)

It was over 2,000 years ago when the Greek physician Hippocrates, oft-lauded as the father of modern medicine, is purported to have made this proclamation. Although the attribution to Hippocrates has been questioned, its inherent wisdom continues to influence re-
Bernard, as one of the earliest pioneers of animal experimentation, also paved the way for future scientific discovery. Among those following in this tradition was Ivan Pavlov, whose defining studies of classical conditioning were directly inspired by William Beaumont’s observations of digestion. Under the tutelage of Carl Ludwig in Leipzig, Germany, Ivan Pavlov helped develop the Pavlov pouch (1173), a piece of exteriorized dog intestine used to study the processes of digestion in dogs. He perfected the technique by maintaining the innervation to the exteriorized intestine section to allow more accurate measurement of digestive processes in real time over extended periods; it is believed that this is one of the first recorded uses of a chronic model of animal experimentation in modern science. These studies set the basis for our understanding of the critical role that the gut-brain axis plays in homeostatic processes in health and disease. With the advent of brain imaging technology in the 1980s, the full appreciation of the bidirectionality of this axis emerged. Studies showed that distension of the gut resulted in activation of key pathways within the brain and that such pathways are exaggerated in disorders such as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), a functional gastrointestinal (GI) disorder with dysregulated microbiota-gut-brain axis (503, 784, 1009). Moreover, the gut-brain axis is seen as an important node in mammalian interoception (351).

Finally, in the past decades, a new player has emerged as a key regulator of the gut-brain axis, the trillions of microbes within the gut, the microbiota. Five separate lines of evidence converged to establish this. First, studies in germ-free (GF) animals showed that the brain is affected in the absence of microbiota (see FIGURE 1 and TABLE 2) (308, 437, 569, 654, 1092, 1434). Second, animals given specific strains of bacteria had alterations in behavior (132, 209, 429, 1021, 1321, 1560), and human studies of such strains demonstrated alterations in behavior (132, 209, 429, 1021, 1321, 1560). Third, population-based studies of people exposed to infection, most notably in Walkerton in Canada, demonstrated alterations in gut-brain symptoms (1477). These findings were also echoed in animal studies where low-level infections altered behavior even in the absence of immune activation (949). Fourth, preclinical studies with antibiotic administration, either in early life (1119) or adulthood (1560), have shown long-lasting effects on brain, spinal cord, and the enteric nervous system (ENS). Finally, these data synergized with the long-known clinical situation that hepatic encephalopathy could be broadly treated by targeting the microbiota with antibiotics in humans (see them for future energy requirements. Encapsulating his body of research, Bernard developed the concept of *milieu intérieur*, stating that “The stability of the internal environment is the condition for the free and independent life” (139). This would later become the foundation for our understanding of corporeal homeostasis.
Ref. 326). Once it was understood that our commensal friends in the gut could effectively communicate with our brain, a rush of studies sought to understand the intricate processes involved. The concept of the microbiota-gut-brain axis thus emerged (362, 405, 1255) based on the rich historical legacy of the illustrious scientific figures discussed above, among many others.

In this review, we aim to give the reader a comprehensive overview of how this field has pushed the frontiers of our understanding about the influence of the microbiota on our bodies and on our minds, and what still remains to be understood to fully realize the potential for microbiota-based medicine.

A. Microbiota: Friends with Benefits

We are living in a microbial world. Microbes have inhabited the earth for hundreds of millions of years longer than humans, and there has never been a time when our body has not received signals from microbes. The human microbiota is the collective term for the trillions of microorganisms that live in and on us (1532). Over the past two decades, microbiome research has accelerated at an incredible pace and is revealing the myriad of ways these microscopic inhabitants are impacting our daily lives. It is now apparent that the microbiota is a critical determinant of human health and disease and a key regulator of host physiology. In terms of numbers, the sheer scale of the microbiota is so vast. Advances in sequencing technologies coupled with microbiome bioinformatic pipeline development are making analysis of microbiota composition cheaper and more sophisticated. Indeed, initial estimates that we had 10 times more microbial cells than human cells have recently been revised downwards from a 10:1 ratio to that of 1.3:1 (1350). This is still an awe-inspiring figure to wrestle with. Even more so at the genetic level, >99% of the genes in our bodies are microbial, numbering over 10 million (375, 447, 456, 582, 1098, 1226). As we have co-evolved with this microbiota, it plays a key role in programming all other bodily systems (375, 1582). While our inherited genome is essentially stable for the lifetime of the host, the microbiome

FIGURE 1. Schematic outlining the myriad of changes recorded in germ-free mice compared with conventionally housed mice with specific emphasis on neural alterations. ↑ indicates an increase and ↓ signifies a decrease in the relative process.
is immensely diverse (1074, 1166), dynamic (915), and responsive to external input, enhancing its potential as a target for therapeutic intervention (see sect. IV).

There is a distinct microbiome in almost every niche of the human body. However, the main sites of human microbial colonization are the skin, the airways, the urogenital tract, the eyes, and the GI tract. While it is appreciated that other sites such as the oral (795) and pulmonary microbiota (939) are important, the majority of our microbial inhabitants reside in the gut. The intriguing complexity of this microbial community, alongside the fact that certain gut microbes tend to grow well in laboratory environments, has resulted in the gut microbiota being historically the most well studied of our microbial biogeographical niches. The gut hosts a diverse population of microorganisms including yeasts, archaea, parasites such as helminths, viruses, and protozoa, but the bacterial population is currently the most well characterized (468, 557, 853, 1326, 1612).

Current ongoing large collaborative efforts including the Human Microbiome Project (695, 696), MetaHIT (888, 1226), American Gut Project (1017), British Gut Project (714), as well as important gut microbiome cohort analyses (501, 1680) have been instrumental in surveying and describing the gut microbiota at a population level. Current combined Human Microbiome Project and MetaHIT data estimate that there are at least 2776 prokaryotic species that have been isolated from human fecal matter. These have been classified into 11 different phyla with Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and Bacteroidetes comprising over 90% of the microbiome (163, 694, 888), while Fusobacteria and Verrucomicrobia phyla are present in low abundance (468).

We are only at the beginning of understanding what relative shifts in the microbiome correspond to functionally. Thus, in this review, although we endeavor to report broad correlations between large obvious compositional changes in the microbiota, in many instances it is not yet possible to define a causal role for these correlational observations. This endeavor is further complicated by the fact that the fine structure of the healthy microbiota seems to be unique to individuals; intra-individual differences across time are typically much smaller than differences between individuals (246, 339). Incredibly, recent findings have identified an elementary layer of variability in the microbiome identified as microbial genomic structural variants (the term pertaining to the existence of a few genes which are different between otherwise identical bacterial strains) that are specifically unique to the host microbiota and demonstrate a strong association with host metabolic health (1663). As a result, throughout this review, we will state outcomes from studies on a case-by-case basis and will discuss where possible when it is known if the changes seen are causative or correlative. What does appear to be important, however, is maintenance of homeostasis for each balanced compositional signature with disruption of this homeostasis conferring disease susceptibility (901), such as that found with colorectal cancer (1614). Despite the challenges posed by such wide inter-individual variation, some have attempted to classify human gut microbiota colonies into different enterotypes (63). While this classification system remains somewhat controversial (338) and over-simplistic (819), three distinct enterotypes have been proposed, each of which is characterized by relatively high levels of a single microbial genus: Bacteroides, Prevotella, or Ruminococcus (63, 1263). These enterotypes do seem to have some functional relevance with the Bacteroides enterotype being associated with high-fat or high-protein diets, and the Prevotella enterotype with high-carbohydrate diets (1630).

It is hoped that future studies in the field will capitalize on newer technologies, such as whole-genome shotgun metagenomics, which provide higher resolution and sensitivity in microbiome analysis. Currently, metagenome-wide association studies are being conducted (1587, 1588) (see sect. II.G). If lessons are learned from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in human genetics, such studies will not only allow more reliable estimates of the composition and diversity of our microbiome, but also provide valuable insight into the functional potential of the microbiome as we seek to understand its influence on the host and the gut-brain axis in particular (1211, 1474). Moreover, the importance of metabolomic analysis in going beyond describing what microbes are there to what they are doing has become increasingly informative (1687). The most recent combination analysis using GWAS of the microbiome and metagenomic sequencing has discovered a causal effect of the gut microbiome on metabolic traits, suggesting increased gut butyrate production associated with improved insulin response after an oral glucose-tolerance test, but errors in production or absorption of propionate causally related to enhanced risk of type II diabetes (1311). One can only hope that studies like these propagate quickly in the field given their immense potential to inform alternative therapies for human diseases.

B. Gut-Brain Axis

As previously described, the GI tract exerts an influence on brain function, and vice versa (see also sect. IV). Much of the earlier work regarding gut-brain communication concentrated on digestive function and satiety (141, 831, 1449), but recent research has taken an increasing focus on higher-order cognitive and psychological effects of gut-to-brain and brain-to-gut communication (18, 248, 1255, 1314). Through this research, we now understand some of the pathophysiological consequences of an aberrant reciprocal gut-brain network, including exacerbated gut inflammation disorders (140, 211, 1013), altered responses to acute and chronic stress (442, 540, 567, 979, 986, 1164,
1220, 1440), as well as altered behavioral states (56, 442, 540, 656, 717, 935, 979). As a result, the gut-brain axis presents an attractive target for the development of novel therapeutics for an ever-growing list of disorders related to mental health and cognitive function (305, 442, 734, 1498), obesity (1503), and GI disorders such as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (140, 188) and IBS (328, 1008). Improved targeting of the gut-brain axis, for example through application of psychobiotics (targeted microbiota interventions that support good mental health) (38, 1314, 1537), is expected to pave the way for the development of novel disease therapies (1363) (see sect. VIII).

C. Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis

Over recent decades, the fields of microbiology and neuroscience have become ever more entwined. Although the concept of a microbiota-gut-brain axis is relatively new, it is becoming increasingly accepted that the resident microbiota can exert considerable influence over host behavior (315, 316, 768, 1347, 1526), which we shall illustrate in section VI (Behavior and the Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis) and section VIII (Diseases and Disease Processes). Bidirectional communication along the gut-brain axis is a fundamental aspect of the synergy between microbiota and host in accessing gut-brain signaling pathways to modulate host brain and behavior (see sect. VII) (360, 442, 605, 1013, 1027, 1255). The studies conducted to identify and examine the microbiota-gut-brain axis have used different, yet complementary, microbiota interventions, including GF rodents (see TABLE 2) (931, 935), antibiotic-induced depletion (see TABLE 3) (429, 615, 1410), prebiotic/probiotic supplementation (see TABLES 4 AND 5) (229, 552, 608, 763, 778, 1448), GI infection (639, 1693), and fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) (see sect. IIIC) (361, 1364, 1381, 1682), all of which will be discussed in greater detail in section IV.

D. Evolution, Microbiota, and the Holobiont

It is important to contextualize the recent appreciation of the microbiome on host health in an evolutionary context. Over time the microbiota has co-evolved with host organisms, becoming mutually co-dependent for survival (193, 573). Given that there has never been a time when mammals existed without microbes (apart from under highly restrictive laboratory conditions), there has also never been a time when the brain has been without signals from the gut, and it is important to consider the relationship between the host and its microbiota from an evolutionary perspective (1424). The concept of the holobiont has been developed to describe the ecological unit comprising both the host species and its symbiotic microbiota (193, 1372, 1689). This, in turn, has led to the hologenome theory of evolution, which suggests that the holobiont and its associated hologenome act as a unit of evolutionary selection (1689). One key principle of the hologenome theory is that genetic variation in the holobiont is facilitated by both the host genome and its associated microbial genome.

Moreover, genetic variation of the hologenome can be enhanced through transmission of different microbial symbiont populations that facilitate the optimum adaption to different environmental demands (e.g., changes in nutrition, stress, temperature). The hologenome theory may even account for complex biological phenomena such as certain behaviors. For instance, behavior that facilitates social interaction among holobionts might be considered evolutionarily adaptive/advantageous as it gives rise to greater transmission of microbiota, thereby enhancing genetic variation (1285, 1286, 1689). In light of these inextricable links between the microbiota and the brain throughout evolutionary history, it is imperative for the study of our own biology (and that of the entire animal kingdom) to understand how microbial symbionts influence brain physiology and behavior.

II. STUDYING THE MICROBIOTA-GUT-BRAIN AXIS

Although we do not yet fully understand the functional significance of the symbiotic relationship between host and microbe especially in the context of brain health, a number of tools and animal models have been invaluable in allowing the scientific research community to constantly narrow the gaps in our understanding of the microbiota-gut-brain axis (see TABLE 1).

A. Germ-Free Models

GF animals (166, 1611) have been invaluable tools for understanding microbe-host relationships (see TABLE 2). Lacking exposure to microorganisms since birth, GF animals provide insights into how the microbiota is integral in shaping the behavior, physiology, and neurobiology of its host (1597).

In 1885, Louis Pasteur hypothesized that certain microbes were essential for the survival of complex life due to the co-existence and co-evolution of micro- and macro-organisms (1167). Yet in the post-World War II era, coinciding with the discovery of antibiotics, public distrust of bacteria evolved to a point where the dream of living GF increasingly appeared in fictional futuristic fantasies (809). The concept of humans living in sterile worlds was even realized in 1971, when David Vetter was isolated in GF conditions as a newborn due to a severe combined immune deficiency and thus became known as the “Bubble Boy” (809).

Perhaps the first reported GF animals were guinea pigs produced in 1897 via aseptic cesarean section (C-section) and...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Influence on Brain and Behavior</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes)</td>
<td>Composition of microbiota is highly influenced by social interactions.</td>
<td>Microbiota sequencing</td>
<td>Genetic similarity to humans.</td>
<td>Confounding covariates influence microbiota composition: captivity versus the wild, sympatric speciation and social interaction.</td>
<td>580, 1058, 1446, 1528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse (Mus musculus)</td>
<td>Influences neurophysiology and behavior: cognition, sociability, anxiety, depression-related, addiction and reward, and eating.</td>
<td>Prebiotic, probiotic, antibiotic, diet, FMT, GF</td>
<td>Control for age, sex, diet, treatment compliance. Vagotomy, DREADDs optogenetics. Gene specific gene manipulation/specific genetic mouse models.</td>
<td>Translatability to humans. Behavior readouts are different from that seen in humans.</td>
<td>209, 226, 411, 412, 428, 429, 489, 690, 1214, 1265, 1321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat (Rattus norvegicus)</td>
<td>Similar to mouse, unique animal model of early life stress: maternal separation. Brain and behavior.</td>
<td>Prebiotic, probiotic, antibiotic, diet, FMT</td>
<td>Control for age, sex, diet, treatment compliance. More complex behavior than mouse. Vagotomy, DREADDs optogenetics.</td>
<td>Translatability to humans. Behavior readouts are different from those seen in humans.</td>
<td>783, 1120, 1223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish (Danio rerio)</td>
<td>Anxiety-related behavior and sociability in zebrafish. Nonmammalian model for validation. Enteric bacteria influence on mating behavior. Nonmammalian model for validation.</td>
<td>Probiotic, antibiotic, GF</td>
<td>Control for age, sex, diet, treatment compliance. Easily manipulated genome.</td>
<td>Translatability to humans. Difficulties studying in the wild vs. controlled laboratory environment.</td>
<td>197, 394, 1150, 1359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ants (from the Lasius genus)</td>
<td>Social-social behavior. Horizontal transmission of microbiota between conspecifics. Dietary intervention. Nonmammalian model for validation.</td>
<td>Diet, infection</td>
<td>Population-based cooperative and social behavior.</td>
<td>Translatability to humans. No adaptive immune system. GI system is vastly different from that of mammals and humans.</td>
<td>777, 1505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit fly (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Probiotic, antibiotic</td>
<td>Control for age, sex, diet, treatment compliance. Easy isolation of evolutionarily conserved neurological pathways. Species-level MGBA testing. Easily manipulated genome.</td>
<td>Translatability to humans. Difficulties studying in the wild vs. controlled laboratory environment.</td>
<td>277, 384, 1150, 1359</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FMT, fecal microbiota transplant; GF, germ free; GI, gastrointestinal.
kept free of microbes for 2 wk (1111). However, successive generations of GF rodents were not produced until the mid-20th century (622). Currently, similar methods are typically used to generate many generations of GF animals. To avoid inoculation of pups by microbiota, C-section is carried out carefully to avoid contact between pup and the microbes residing on both the dam’s vagina and skin, and pups are then hand-raised in an aseptic isolator (29, 598, 931, 1076, 1424). From this point on, colonies are maintained GF with sterile food, bedding, and water. Cages and feces are regularly swabbed to confirm that no bacteria are present (157). Subsequent GF animals can then be bred in an isolator and GF pups born per vaginum. Alternatively, GF animals can be produced by embryonic transfer at the two-cell stage into a GF host mother (157).

Animals lacking microbiota have extraordinarily different development and physiology than animals hosting commensal bacteria (see TABLE 2 for a comprehensive summary of studies involving GF animals). GF animals are smaller in body weight and have impaired intestinal function (41, 730, 1318), have lower concentrations of most GI luminal amino acids than specific pathogen-free (SPF) mice (1639), and actually live longer (599, 1254, 1469, 1625). Due to the lack of commensal microbes, GF animals have impaired immune systems, dysregulated hormone signaling, altered metabolism, and differences in neurotransmission from conventional counterparts (776, 1092, 1148, 1434, 1597). Interestingly, phenotypes of GF animals vary across species, sex, research group, and even strain, demonstrating that both microbiota and host genetics are important influencers of phenotype. For example, some studies involving GF Swiss Webster mice show a decreased anxiety-like behavior (56, 308, 1092), whereas the opposite has been found in male GF BALB/c mice (278, 1104).

Although an important tool, GF mice have many limitations in terms of aberrant physiology, neurodevelopment, and immunity, as well as limited translatability to human situations (1097). Nonetheless, they have been an important starting point in answering the question of whether the microbiota is involved in a given process or not (931). Moreover, GF studies are now being extended to other non-rodent species including porcine models to enhance the translational value of findings (274).

Alternatively, colonization of mice with specific, known strains of bacteria has also shown to be a useful approach to interrogate microbiota-physiology interactions (600). From these gnotobiotic animals, it is possible to decipher mechanisms of communication between specific members of the microbiota and the host organism. Among such approaches, the altered Schaedler flora (ASF) mouse line is most utilized (940, 1137, 1632). ASF mice are colonized with just eight bacterial species allowing a more simplified study of microbiota involvement in brain function relative to conventionally colonized strains, but with more clinical relevance than GF studies. The minimalist bacterial colonization of the ASF mouse avoids the complications of the high abundance and diversity in conventional mice, while overcoming the host developmental hurdles seen in GF mice, such as an underdeveloped immune system (69, 658), slower intestinal epithelial turnover (1318), differing nutritional requirements, and less body fat (80). The ASF model thus presents an attractive alternative option for translational microbiota-gut-brain axis research, particularly involving stress (940).

B. Antibiotics

While initially developed to fight infections, antibiotics are also a useful pharmacological tool for investigating the impact of microbiota perturbations on brain and behavior (see TABLE 3). They offer much greater temporal flexibility and specificity compared with the GF model of microbiota ablation as they can be delivered acutely or chronically at any stage across an animal’s lifespan [e.g., during periods of potential vulnerability such as the early postnatal period (867, 1119), adolescence (429), or in aging]. Additionally, the ability to titrate the dose of antibiotics allows for a greater level of control over the extent of microbiota depletion, from minor perturbations to the microbiota through subtherapeutic doses of a single antibiotic, to cocktails of antibiotics designed to substantially ablate the entire microbiota. An important consideration in the use of antibiotics to investigate the microbiota-gut-brain axis is their absorption from the GI tract. Nonabsorbable antibiotics [i.e., vancomycin, neomycin, and bacitracin (1497)] offer the advantage that they knockdown the microbiota in the gut while not entering systemic circulation, thereby avoiding any potential systemic and even central nervous system (CNS) effects and allowing us to directly assess the effect of a loss of microbiota on the brain. Other antibiotics such as metronidazole and minocycline can potentially enter the CNS and can have direct action on brain and behavior (261) [e.g., microglial inhibition with minocycline; Ref. 1256], so these results must be interpreted with caution. Despite such limitations, antibiotics have been crucial in corroborating the behavioral and biological observations documented in GF animals. Indeed, antibiotic administration to laboratory animals has been shown to influence behaviors such as sociability and anxiety (418, 550, 615).

A final advantage of antibiotics is that they offer a tool to model the clinical scenario in humans. Administration schedules can be made to model the courses of antibiotics that millions of people take each year for multiple conditions, allowing us to determine the effect that such treatments may be having on the brain and behavior. The flexibility and translational relevance of antibiotics make them a hugely valuable tool in the study of the microbiota-gut-brain axis and they will form a key component of future...
### Table 2. Germ-free animal studies of the microbiota-gut-brain axis, categorized by model organism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain, Sex</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Behavioral Test, Phenotype</th>
<th>Tissue/Region</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BALB/c, ♂</td>
<td>Anxiety, locomotion</td>
<td>OFT, MB: ↑ anxiety-like behavior. ↓ Spontaneous motor activity. <em>Brautia cocoides</em> ↓ MB, no effect on locomotion test. <em>B. infantis</em> ↓ the locomotor activity, no effect on MB.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>1104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BDNF, NMDARs</td>
<td>↓ <em>Bdnf</em> ↓ glucocorticoid receptor expression. ↓ <em>Nr1, Nr2a</em> in cortex, ↑ <em>Nr2a</em> in hippocampus.</td>
<td>Cortex, hippocampus</td>
<td>1434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brain size</td>
<td>Brain weight did not ↑ with age as it did in SPF mice.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td>Scrapie pathogenesis</td>
<td>No differences with IC inoculation, but mice lived longer.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>1577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALB/c and C57BL/6J, ♂</td>
<td>BBB</td>
<td>↑ BBB permeability, ↓ tight junction proteins. Partially reversed by colonization of certain species or SCFA.</td>
<td>BBB</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Myelination</td>
<td>↓ Expression of myelin basic protein.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6j ♂ and ♀</td>
<td>Microglia</td>
<td>Time- and sex-specific changes in colonization, chromatin accessibility.</td>
<td>Neocortex</td>
<td>1486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td>Social behavior (sociability, social novelty, reciprocal social interaction)</td>
<td>Monocolonization with <em>Lactobacillus reuteri</em> reversed social deficits.</td>
<td>Vagus Nerve</td>
<td>1356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td>EAE</td>
<td>More resistant to EAE than control mice. This was reversed via microbiota colonization.</td>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♂</td>
<td>Fear extinction/retention, transcription</td>
<td>Fear retention: ↓ freezina/impaired memory. ↑ Plasticity IEG. Unique transcriptional response to fear stimulus. Reversed with postweaning colonization.</td>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transcription, BDNF</td>
<td>↓ <em>Gcg</em> in brain stem, ↑ transcription of obesity-producing neuropeptides in hypothalamus. ↓ Obesity-suppressing peptides in hypothalamus including ↓ <em>Bdnf</em>.</td>
<td>Hypothalamus and brain stem</td>
<td>1331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social preference</td>
<td>3 Chamber: reciprocal interaction: ↓ sociability, social novelty, social interaction. Reversed via colonization, or with just <em>L. reuteri</em>.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Kun Ming (KM), ♂</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>OFT: ↑ anxiety-like behavior.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6J &amp; C57BL/6N</td>
<td>Neurogenesis</td>
<td>↓ BrdU incorporation: ↓ proliferation. Colonization via cohousing with SPF mice.</td>
<td>SGZ of hippocampus</td>
<td>1323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♂ and ♀</td>
<td>Anxiety, BDNF</td>
<td>TST, step-down, LD: ↓ anxiety-like behavior following maternal separation in LD test. ↑ Step-down, ↓ immobility in TST. ↓ <em>Bdnf</em>. Partial rescue of phenotype via colonization in maternal separation stress, sex-dependent.</td>
<td>Hippocampus, Gut neurons</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Continued</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
Table 2.—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain, Sex</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Behavioral Test, Phenotype</th>
<th>Tissue/Region</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6 Narl, ♂</td>
<td>Anxiety, locomotion</td>
<td>EPM, FST, OFT: ↑ anxiety-like behavior. No change via FST. ↓ Locomotor activity relative to probiotic group. Reversible via <em>Lactobacillus plantarum</em> PS128.</td>
<td>Striatum</td>
<td>912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMRI, ♂</td>
<td>Anxiety, locomotion, synaptic activity, transcription</td>
<td>OFT, EPM: ↑ anxiety-like behavior. ↑ Activity ↓ PSD95, synaptophysin expression. ↑ NA, DA, 5-HT turnover. ↓ Npff &amp; Bdnf, DA D1 receptor: ↑ expression in hippocampus, not significant difference in striatum or nucleus accumbens.</td>
<td>Striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus, PFC, NAc</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss Webster &amp; BALB/c, ♂</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>Step-down, light/dark box: no change. Anxiety after recolonization.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss Webster</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>mRNA dysregulation. Somewhat reversible via colonization (not all transcripts).</td>
<td>Amygdala, PFC</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neurogenesis</td>
<td>↑ Survival of progenitors. Not reversed by microbiota colonization.</td>
<td>SGZ of hippocampus</td>
<td>1125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♂ and ♀</td>
<td>Anxiety, social preference, cognition and memory, locomotion</td>
<td>EPM, OFT 3 chamber: anxiolytic behavior, sociability deficit and hyperlocomotion in ♀. NGR: memory deficit. Some parameters reversed via early-life colonization with <em>Bifidobacterium</em> or complex microbiota.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electrophysiology</td>
<td>No differences in seizure susceptibility. ↓ Brain <em>Bdnf</em> levels when compared with ♂ not ♀.</td>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BDNF</td>
<td>Myelination: ↑ Myelination genes regulation, regional and sex-specific differences in gene expression, hypermyelination in PFC.</td>
<td>PFC</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td>Anxiety, locomotion</td>
<td>OFT: no effect. EPM, ↓ anxiety-like behavior with ↓ NR2B, 5-HT1A ↑ Bdnf.</td>
<td>Hippocampus, amygdala</td>
<td>1092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety, memory</td>
<td>LDB: no change.</td>
<td>↓ BDNF in CA1. ↓ c-fos expression after acute stress. NDR, T-Maze: ↓ exploration, memory. Reversible with <em>Citrobacter rodentium</em>.</td>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♂</td>
<td>Anxiety, social preference</td>
<td>OFT: ↓ anxiety-like behavior. 3 Chamber: ↑ sociability. ↓ Bdnf.</td>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td></td>
<td>FST, TST, SPT; ↓ depressive behaviors and ↑ ΔFosB.</td>
<td>DRN, hippocampus</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offactory memory, social preference/cognition, self-grooming</td>
<td>Social food transmission impairment: ↑ grooming. 3 Chamber: ↑ sociability and social novelty preference. Reversal via colonization of social cognition and novelty preference but not social avoidance.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>428</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription, BDNF IV isoform</td>
<td>↑ Neuronal IEGs &amp; <em>Bdnf</em> IV, ↓ neurotransmission-related genes. Not reversed by colonization after weaning.</td>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>1428</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain, Sex</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Behavioral Test, Phenotype</th>
<th>Tissue/Region</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>♂</em></td>
<td>Brain volume, dendritic morphology, spine density</td>
<td>↑ Certain regions but no significant difference in total volume. Aspinal interneuron and pyramidal neuron atrophy. Short, thin, mushroom-shaped dendrites. Shorter, less branching in pyramidal neurons.</td>
<td>Hippocampus (DG), amygdala (LA, BLA, CeA)</td>
<td>933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Preference/cognition</td>
<td>comed. 3 Chamber: ↓ sociability and social novelty preference. High variability between individuals.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>1427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APP/PS1 &amp; δ and δ</td>
<td>Aβ</td>
<td>↓ in Aβ deposition, ↓ in neuroinflammation, ↑ Aβ degradation enzymes. Reversal via colonization was most effective from other transgenic Aβ mice.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJL/J</td>
<td>EAE</td>
<td>GF cannot develop EAE, microbiota required. This was reversed via microbial colonization.</td>
<td>CNS and immune tissue</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDF1-Thy1-α- synuclein, δ</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease (PD)/α-synuclein</td>
<td>Unreactive microglia, less pathology. Colonization with human microbiota of individuals with PD also had more physical impairment than from healthy individuals. Reversed via colonization with microbiota and SCFA.</td>
<td>Caudoputamen, frontal cortex, cerebellum, substantia nigra</td>
<td>1304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASF δ and γ</td>
<td>Microglia</td>
<td>↓ Immune response altered gene expression, immaturity. Reversed by microbiota colonization or SCFA administration. Reversed by microbiota colonization or SCFA administration. Tested: corpus callosum, cortex, hippocampus, olfactory bulb, and cerebellum.</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD11</td>
<td>Scrapie</td>
<td>Resistance to IC injection. ↑ Survival rate, ↓ incidence of symptoms.</td>
<td>Brain, whole body</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACHD-FVB/N background δ and γ</td>
<td>Myelination and oligodendroglia in Huntington’s disease</td>
<td>↑ Axon myelin thickness in BACHD-GF mice compared with BACHD-SPF in CC. ↑ Proportion of small diameter axons in BACHD-GF. ↓ Myelin-related proteins and mature oligodendrocytes in all GF mice. ↓ Mature oligodendrocytes in all GF mice.</td>
<td>Corpus callosum</td>
<td>1231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Wistar δ</td>
<td>Peripheral neurons</td>
<td>More irregular splitting in myelin in B6-deficient GF rat relative to conventional.</td>
<td>PNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sprague-Dawley system</td>
<td>↑ Tryptophan brain uptake index.</td>
<td>BBB</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F344 δ</td>
<td>Anxiety, transcription</td>
<td>OFT: ↑ anxiety-like behavior, lower DA turnover rate. ↓ Sniffing in first 2 min of meeting; other behaviors (grooming, following, crawling) were normal.</td>
<td>Hippocampus, hypothalamus (PVN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrfish</td>
<td>Danio rerio</td>
<td>Anxiety, locomotion</td>
<td>OFT: ↑ time that larva was mobile, no change in speed, ↑ anxiety-like behavior, less thigmotactic behavior. This was reversed via colonization.</td>
<td>Brain, whole larva</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
studies in the field. **TABLE 3** summarizes the current state of knowledge regarding the impact of antibiotics on brain physiology and behavior.

C. Fecal Microbiota Transplant

FMT is a procedure that involves the transfer of intestinal microbiota from one individual to another, commonly performed via oral administration of fecal material in rodents or colonoscopy in humans. When effective, this technique initially establishes a donor-like microbiome in the GI tract of the recipient, allowing stronger inferences to be made regarding the causal relationships between gut microbiota and host outcomes. The use of FMT in human medical treatment is gaining popularity, although it is not novel. Around 1,700 yr ago, Ge Hong, a traditional Chinese medical doctor, documented the treatment of patients with food poisoning and severe diarrhea via oral administration of human fecal suspension (1668). Later, in the 17th century, Italian anatomist Fabricius Aquapendente described bacteriotherapy using fecal flora in veterinary medicine (207). 1958 marked the first documented use of FMT for therapeutic treatment of pseudomembranous colitis in humans (474, 631). Since that time, the FMT procedure has become most well-known for its remarkable success rate in the treatment of refractory *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) (631, 1347, 1538, 1547). Moving from the clinic to the laboratory, FMT has opened up possibilities for more mechanistic investigations of the microbiota’s role in various clinical conditions via “humanization” of the rodent microbiota.

Such studies have found that various behavioral phenotypes can be transferred by FMT, including anxiety-like behavior and aspects of depressive symptomatology, suggesting that gut microbiota are key components of regulating anxiety and depression (132, 223, 783, 1679). Furthermore, the composition of the gut microbiota has been linked to obesity and insulin resistance (250, 497, 1451). GF mice were shown to have reduced body weight, and when conventionalized with normal intestinal microbiota, the animals experienced a 60% increase in body fat content and insulin resistance, combined with reduced food consumption (80). Furthermore, the humanization of GF mice with microbiota from obese individuals resulted in a significant increase in body weight compared with individuals colonized with microbiota from lean individuals (1524), illustrating that characteristics of the donor are important.

Typical FMT administration in non-GF rodents generally consists of treating the recipient with a cocktail of antibiotics, often provided via drinking water, followed by a single or repetitive oral gavage of inoculum consisting of donor fecal material over several days. Broad-spectrum antibiotics are often used to deplete existing microbiota and provide administered bacteria a less competitive environment in which to proliferate. Various studies use different combinations of antibiotic cocktails that differ in concoction, concentration, and dosage time. Commonly used cocktails usually do not exceed a combination of five antibiotics at various individual doses and may include ampicillin, ciprofloxacin, neomycin, vancomycin, metronidazole, streptomycin, and penicillin (223, 488, 647, 783, 1435, 1645, 1682). Antibiotic treatment time generally ranges from 3 to 35 days, with a common treatment time of 1–2 wk (223, 1527, 1645, 1682).

Some studies have shown successful transfer of the microbiota, even with no pretreatment of antibiotics, occasionally utilizing group housing of coprophagous animals, such as mice, to induce passive gut microbiota transfer (480, 977, 1416). However, a recent study compared three methods of FMT: pretreatment with antibiotics (ampicillin, neomycin, and vancomycin), pretreatment with bowel cleansing solution, and no pretreatment, all followed by 3 days of high-volume oral gavage, and found that pretreatment with antibiotics allowed for higher FMT efficacy (732). Interestingly, FMT can be achieved between different animal strains and species, including FMT from human to rodents (783, 1410). Ultimately, utilizing GF animals as recipients of FMT provides an easier environment for introduced microbiota to colonize and eliminates the potential need for antibiotic treatment before FMT but comes with the caveat that the GF animals are markedly altered before FMT (400).
# Table 3. Antibiotic studies of the microbiota-gut-brain axis, categorized by model organism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Antibiotic Cocktail</th>
<th>Time/Behavior Assessed</th>
<th>Effects of Antibiotic Treatment</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mouse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH Swiss</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>NOR</td>
<td>Altered gut microbiota composition in adulthood. ↓ Anxiety-related behavior.</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>Light/dark box</td>
<td>Cognitive deficits in novel object discrimination and communication of cued food information.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>Social transmission of food preference</td>
<td>Alteration in the tryptophan/kynurenine metabolic pathway.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>Corticosterone response to restraint stress</td>
<td>Significant ↓ in hippocampal BDNF, oxytocin, and vasopressin expression.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amphotericin-B</td>
<td>Tested between 7 and 11 wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>Bacitracin</td>
<td>OFT</td>
<td>Altered gut microbiota composition.</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>EPM</td>
<td>Novel object (but not spatial) discrimination.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>TST</td>
<td>Brain-region specific changes in expression of relevant signaling molecules (i.e., BDNF, NMDA2B, serotonin transporter, NPY).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meropenem</td>
<td>NOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>-Barnes maze</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tested between 8 and 11 wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>NOR</td>
<td>↓ Novel object discrimination.</td>
<td>1060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulbactam</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ Hippocampal adult neurogenesis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>-Exercise</td>
<td>Exercise was shown to ↑ neurogenesis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>Tested between 13 and 15 wk</td>
<td>These effects are partially mediated by Ly6C&lt;sup&gt;hi&lt;/sup&gt; monocytes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imipenem and Cilastatin and Metronidazole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>TST, FST, Rotarod</td>
<td>Depressive-like behavior observed in the FST and TST.</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>Muscle strength test</td>
<td>↓ Ability to discriminate social novelty.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>-NOR, Y-maze</td>
<td>↓ Hippocampal BDNF protein levels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-Hotplate test</td>
<td>↑ Hippocampal TrkB protein levels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-3-Chamber SIT</td>
<td>Altered spiking in hippocampal CA3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>OFT</td>
<td>↑ Activated microglia/astrocytes in the hippocampus.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacitracin</td>
<td>-3-Chamber SIT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pimaracine</td>
<td>Tested between 4 and 7 wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BALB/c</strong></td>
<td>Nonabsorbable antibiotics:</td>
<td>Light/dark test</td>
<td>Altered gut microbiota composition.</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPF and GF</td>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>Step down test</td>
<td>Anxiolytic-like effect in light/dark box and step-down inhibitory avoidance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacitracin</td>
<td>Tested between 8 and 10 wk</td>
<td>↑ Hippocampal BDNF expression.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pimaracine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BALB/c</strong></td>
<td>Penicillin V</td>
<td>Locomotor activity</td>
<td>Altered gut microbiota composition.</td>
<td>867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-EPM</td>
<td>Anxiolytic-like effect observed in the EPM.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-3-Chamber SIT</td>
<td>↑ Aggression and ↓ social avoidance behavior.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tested at 6 wk</td>
<td>↑ Avpr&lt;sup&gt;1b&lt;/sup&gt; and cytokine expression in the frontal cortex.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ Tight junction protein levels in the frontal cortex and hippocampus.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Antibiotic Cocktail</th>
<th>Time/Behavior Assessed</th>
<th>Effects of Antibiotic Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APPSWE/PS1ΔE9</td>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>Beam traversal, pole descent, adhesive removal</td>
<td>Antibiotic administration ameliorated locomotor deficits induced by α-synuclein overexpression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>Hindlimb clasping reflex sore, inverted grid</td>
<td>Microglial diameter in the caudate-putamen and substantia nigra.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>Tested at 12–13 wk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kanamycin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colistin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cefaperazone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thy1-α-synuclein</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Altered expression of miRNAs in the amygdala and PFC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>OFT, EPM, FST</td>
<td>Amygdala: ↓ miR-206-3p and miR-219a-2-3p, ↑ miR-369-3p.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>MWM, CRD</td>
<td>PFC: ↓ miR219a-5p.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>Hotplate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>Tested at 17–22 wk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>NOR</td>
<td>Neonatal vancomycin significantly altered gut microbiota composition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>OFT</td>
<td>Visceral sensitivity observed in CRD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>MWM</td>
<td>Behavior in adulthood was not affected by early-life antibiotic administration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>CRD</td>
<td>Early-life antibiotic cocktail also had no effect on behavior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neomycin in three concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pimaricin, bacitracin,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>Tested at 8–11 wk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>Assessment of cardiorespiratory measures 4 wk</td>
<td>Blunts the ventilatory response to hypercapnia due to decreased respiratory frequency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Blunts respiratory frequency during the peak hypoxic ventilatory response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Respiratory timing variability unaltered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td></td>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiorespiratory responsiveness to vagal afferent nerve stimulation is unaffected.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FMT is increasingly being utilized in humans for the treatment of CDI in the clinic (240) and, in a research setting, FMT has also been tested for the treatment of IBD, IBS, and chronic constipation. In a double-blind, randomized trial treating IBS with FMT, 65% of participants receiving FMT showed a response to treatment at 3 mo, compared with 43% receiving a placebo (739). CDI is generally treated with antibiotics, but in the case of recurrent CDI, treatment with FMT ultimately cured 98% (207). The potential of FMT in research and as a medicinal therapy provides promise for the treatment of GI-related diseases and conditions, including the practice of autologous FMT. Here, a patient is given an FMT of their own presurgery/“healthy” fecal material during the recovery phase, effectively reconstituting their major commensal bacterial populations and reestablishing the patient’s gut microbiota diversity as well as composition (1436, 1465). This may well result in an increase in the practice of fecal matter banking for post-treatment reconstitution of a patient’s gut microbiota, a practice which could become commonplace in the very near future.

### D. Prebiotics and Fermented Foods

The definition of prebiotics as determined by the International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics is “a substrate that is selectively utilized by host microorganisms conferring a health benefit” (579). One of the main classes of prebiotics is dietary fiber, often defined as “carbohydrates with a degree of polymerization greater than 2, which fail to be hydrolyzed or absorbed in the small intestine” (1419). These include inulin, fructooligosaccharides (FOS), galactooligosaccharides (GOS), resistant starch, and other soluble dietary fibers, among others (although not all dietary fibers are prebiotic). Typical dietary sources of prebiotics include fruits and vegetables such as asparagus, leek, banana, chicory, and grains such as oats and wheat. As Western-style diet consumption increases, a drop in prebiotic intake that correlates with a rise in the incidence of inflammatory diseases, obesity, metabolic syndrome and anxiety, stress, and other “lifestyle” disorders have been seen. Importantly, prebiotics do not always change the composition and activity of the gut microbiota in a selective and predictable manner (164). Nonetheless, prebiotic supplementation has been demonstrated to reduce stress responsiveness, anxiety, and depressive-like behavior, as well as facilitate changes in hippocampal synaptic efficacy, including increased hippocampal brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) expression, general hypothalamic neuronal activity, and enhanced cognition and learning (see Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Antibiotic Cocktail</th>
<th>Time/Behavior Assessed</th>
<th>Effects of Antibiotic Treatment</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wistar</td>
<td>Dams were fed either control diet or diet with 1% SST</td>
<td>-OFT, SIT, EPM, PPI -Marble burying Tested at 6–7 wk</td>
<td>Altered brain stem monoamine and monoamine metabolite concentrations. † Distal ileum permeability.</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish</td>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td>Social cohesion</td>
<td>† Social interactions. Anxiety-like behavior observed in the EPM. Altered sensorimotor gating.</td>
<td>1592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Danio rerio</em></td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>Tested at 3 mo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enrofloxacin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorotetracycline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxytetracycline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit fly</td>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>Multiple choice mating tests</td>
<td>† and † mating preference was abolished.</td>
<td>1359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Drosophila melanogaster</em></td>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OFT, open-field test; EPM, elevated plus maze; FST, forced swim test; SIT, social interaction test; TST, tail suspension test; NOR, novel object recognition; MWM, Morris water maze; CRD, colorectal distension; GF, germ free; SPF, specific pathogen free; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; NPY, neuropeptide Y; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; PFC, prefrontal cortex.
Table 4. Prebiotic studies of the microbiota-gut-brain axis, categorized by model organism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species, Sex</th>
<th>Prebiotic</th>
<th>Treatment (Time)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy ♀</td>
<td>Inulin-propionate ester</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>↓ Striatal anticipatory reward responses to high-energy foods.</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy ♀</td>
<td>RPS, RMS, and inulin</td>
<td>2 wk</td>
<td>↑ Total SCFA with RPS and inulin. ↑ Butyrate and acetate only with RPS. No changes seen with RMS.</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes ♀</td>
<td>Resistant dextrin (Nutriose®06)</td>
<td>8 wk</td>
<td>↑ Depression, anxiety, and stress (DASS). ↓ Cortisol, KYN, KYN/TRP ratio. Altered peripheral immune markers.</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS ♀</td>
<td>Short-chain FOS</td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>↓ Anxiety scores.</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism ♀</td>
<td>B-GOS mixture</td>
<td>6 wk</td>
<td>Improvement in social behavior symptoms and sleep in ASD subjects with B-GOS.</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mouse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6 ♀</td>
<td>FOS, GOS, or both FOS and GOS</td>
<td>3 wk</td>
<td>↓ Anxiety- and depressive-like behavior, stress responsiveness, hypothalamic Nr3C1 and hippocampal Orn expression, ↑ Pro-social behavior, hippocampal, 5-HT in PFC, BDNF, GABAR-B1 and -B2 expression.</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FOS and GOS</td>
<td>6 wk</td>
<td>↓ Chronic stress-induced social avoidance, cognitive dysfunction, anhedonia, HPA-axis hyperresponsiveness, anxiety- and depressive-like behavior.</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3′-Sialyllactose and 6′-sialyllactose</td>
<td>3 wk</td>
<td>↓ Stressor-induced anxiety-like behavior and ↑ stress-induced ↓ DCX+ immature neurons.</td>
<td>1464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2′-Fucosyllactose</td>
<td>12 wk</td>
<td>↑ LTP, spatial learning, working memory, and operant conditioning.</td>
<td>1559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant starch</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 wk</td>
<td>↓ Neuronal signaling in the ventromedial hypothalamus and PVN.</td>
<td>1398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Glucan</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 wk</td>
<td>↓ Neuronal signaling in the arcuate nucleus, ventromedial hypothalamus, PVN, periventricular nucleus, and the NTS.</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet-induced obese</td>
<td>Oligofructose-enriched inulin</td>
<td>9 wk</td>
<td>↑ Neuronal signaling in the arcuate nucleus.</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD1 ♀</td>
<td>B-GOS</td>
<td>3 wk</td>
<td>↓ LPS-induced anxiety-like behavior and HT2AR expression in the frontal cortex.</td>
<td>1320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALB/cJ ♀</td>
<td>FOS</td>
<td>7 wk</td>
<td>↓ Aβ deposition and BAC levels. ↑ Hippocampal-dependent learning.</td>
<td>1649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1G93AAA ♀</td>
<td>GOS</td>
<td>74 days</td>
<td>↓ Motor neuron death and spinal cord inflammatory markers.</td>
<td>1401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rat</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley ♀</td>
<td>2′-Fucosyllactose</td>
<td>From PND3 and weaning</td>
<td>↑ LTP</td>
<td>1132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2′-Fucosyllactose</td>
<td>5 wk</td>
<td>↑ Operant conditioning and LTP, PSD-95 protein levels in hippocampus and frontal cortex, as well as CaMKII and BDNF in the hippocampus.</td>
<td>1559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2′-Fucosyllactose</td>
<td>5 wk</td>
<td>↑ Operant conditioning and long-term potentiation.</td>
<td>1558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant starch</td>
<td></td>
<td>65 days</td>
<td>↑ Hypothalamic POMC expression.</td>
<td>1360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOS or GOS</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 wk</td>
<td>↑ Hippocampal BDNF and NR1 subunit expression. ↑ Hippocampal NR2A subunit and frontal cortex NR1 expression and D-serine levels by GOS.</td>
<td>1319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
fore, aim to understand the mechanisms by which prebiotics can affect brain physiology and behavior, with a specific focus on which gut microbial-derived metabolites are involved, and through which pathways these effects are mediated. The following sections are a more detailed description of different prebiotics currently in use.

1. Resistant starch

Resistant starches (RS) are undigested carbohydrates and classified into four different types: the physically inaccessible RS1, a native granular starch consisting of ungelatinized granules called RS2, a retrograde amylose known as RS3, and the indigestible chemically modified RS4 (646). Different resistant starches have been shown to induce different changes in the gut microbiota composition in animal models (945, 971). One study has shown that rodents on a resistant starch diet demonstrated a reduction in exploratory behaviors in an open-field test (945). Consumption of resistant starch for 10 wk significantly increased the abundance of *Ruminococcus bromii*, constituting 17% of total bacteria compared with 3.8% on the non-starch diet. Furthermore, individuals on a resistant starch diet showed an increase in relative abundance of uncultured *Oscillibacter* and *Esuberacterium rectale* (1579). A randomized study of 39 individuals found that a high resistant starch diet resulted in a significant increase in the Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes, along with an increase in the overall relative abundance of Firmicutes, alongside an increase in enzymatic pathways and metabolites associated with lipid metabolism in the gut (971). The Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes is a correlational and observational output of microbiome analysis that is currently somewhat informative based on the direction of change from a known starting or control point, where Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes represent over 99% of the known bacteria in the gut.

2. Inulin

Inulins are well-established prebiotics, which are predominately found in a variety of fruits, vegetables, and wheat.
Numerous studies in humans have shown that inulin can stimulate the growth of *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, while increasing butyrate production (406, 823, 1235). Furthermore, administration of inulin to a dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis rat model resulted in an attenuation of the colitis symptoms in addition to an increase in *Lactobacillus* composition (1564). Moreover, exposure to inulin/GOS prebiotic supplementation during pregnancy and lactation has been shown to bring about protection against food allergies with a decrease in histamine levels and intestinal permeability in the offspring (200).

3. GOS and FOS

GOS are well-established prebiotics known to be present in human milk (104, 1552). Infants fed formula supplemented with Bimuno-galactooligosaccharide (B-GOS; Bimuno, Clausado Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK), a proprietary product containing at least 65% GOS, had increased abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* compared with unsupplemented infants, similar to levels reported in breast-fed infants (571, 1553). Administration of B-GOS in an elderly population reported a significant increase in *Bacteroides* and *Bifidobacterium* spp. with elevated levels of lacti
c acid in fecal water. Moreover, they also reported administration of B-GOS resulted in a reduction in proinflammatory cytokines with an increase in both interleukin (IL)-10 and IL-8, anti-inflammatory cytokines (1575). Studies have demonstrated a significant increase in pro-inflammatory cytokine with stress (1243). However, administration of B-GOS in mice attenuated post-inflammatory anxiety (1320). In addition, B-GOS prevented a lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-mediated increase in cortical 1L-1β and 5-HT2A receptor levels (1320). Administration of B-GOS to individuals induced suppression of the neuroendocrine stress response and an increase in the processing of positive versus negative attentional vigilance, thus resulting in an early anxiolytic-like phenotype (1337).

FOS are oligosaccharides known to be predominantly present in fruits. A double-blind intervention study in obese women with FOS showed an enhanced abundance in *Bifidobacterium* and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* (434). In a randomized, double-blind crossover study, administration of FOS and GOS for 14 days showed significant increases in *Bifidobacterium* along with a reduction in butyrate-producing bacteria with adverse glycemic metabolism (908). Administration of FOS+GOS and GOS has been shown to reduce stress-induced corticosterone release, combined with a significant increase in cecal acetate and propionate concentrations, with a reduction in isobutyrate levels. Moreover, mice fed FOS+GOS spent more time in the center of an open-field test, with an increase in the percentage of entries into the open area (229), indicating a reduced anxiety phenotype.

E. Probiotics and Psychobiotics

Probiotics refer to candidate species of live bacteria that, when ingested in adequate amounts, confer beneficial health effects upon the host (230). Through interacting with the host microbiota and intestinal epithelium, probiotics have been shown to exert a wide range of effects upon host health, with various strains improving metabolism, immunity, endocrine function, and slowing aging in preclinical studies (477, 1170). Although looking forward towards utilizing candidate probiotics for host health, we must acknowledge the potential impact that the inherent host diet and microbiota complexity can have on the probiotic itself, such as that seen recently with cumulative genetic mutations occurring to *Escherichia coli* Nissle during passage through the murine gut (354). Perhaps the most intriguing effect of probiotics on the host is their modulation of brain physiology and behavior. *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* (ATCC 27766) may function as a promising psychobiotic where it recently demonstrated an anxiolytic and antidepressant-like phenotype in rats, probably via increasing cecal SCFA and plasma IL-10 levels while reducing corticosterone and IL-6 levels (636). Considerable research over the last decade has documented how probiotics can influence various central neuronal processes such as neurotransmission, neurogenesis, expression of neuropeptides, neuroinflammation, and even behavior (1365). Indeed, certain bacterial strains or cocktails of multiple bacteria have demonstrated efficacy in improving behavioral symptoms of various disorders from depression and anxiety to autism (see also sect. VIII) (38, 209, 226, 690, 759, 1321). These findings (summarized in Table 5) have led to the concept of psychobiotics for the treatment of various neurological and psychiatric disorders through targeting of the gut microbiota (445). Psychobiotics are now defined as microbiota-targeted interventions such as “beneficial bacteria (probiotics) or support for such bacteria (e.g., prebiotics) that influence bacteria-brain relationships” (1314). As the evidence to support the effects of psychobiotics on brain and behavior grows (289), the field is now turning to mechanistic studies to elucidate the biological underpinnings of psychobiotic effects.

F. Brain Imaging

The advent of human brain imaging techniques such as positron emission tomography in the 1980s allowed for conclusive demonstrations that alterations in the gut (e.g., by distension) lead to activation of key brain networks (1010, 1548). Currently, studies that examine the interaction between gut microbes, brain, and behavior in humans are limited. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as a brain imaging tool became widely available in the early 2000s, with the field of neuroimaging reaching a stage where the
**Table 5.** Probiotic studies of the microbiota-gut-brain axis, categorized by model organism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species, Parameter</th>
<th>Probiotic</th>
<th>Treatment (Time)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy♂</td>
<td><em>B. longum</em> 1714</td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>↑ Neurocognitive performance (paired associative learning).</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ Fz mobility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ Cz theta power after end of probiotic administration.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>L. rhamnosus</em> (JB-1)</td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>Does not significantly impact HPA axis, stress, and cognition.</td>
<td>782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td>FMP:</td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>↓ Reactivity in widely distributed network during emotional attention task.</td>
<td>1493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. animalis</em> subsp. <em>lactis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ BOLD signal in amygdala, mid insula cortex, primary somatosensory cortex in emotional attention task.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classic yogurt starters: <em>S. thermophiles</em>, <em>L. bulgaricus</em>, <em>L. lactis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>Altered PAG resting state network.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀♀</td>
<td>Ecologic B25: <em>L. casei</em>, <em>L. paracasei</em></td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>Change in gut microbiota profile.</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. lactis</em>, <em>L. salivarius</em>, <em>B. lactis</em>, <em>L. plantarum</em>, <em>B. bifidum</em>, <em>L. acidophilus</em>, <em>L. lactis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ Some anxiety and depressive measures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ecologic B25: see above for composition</td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>Changes in functional connectivity but no changes in structural connectivity.</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ecologic Barrier: <em>B. bifidum</em>, <em>B. lactis</em>, <em>L. acidophilus</em>, <em>L. brevis</em>, <em>L. casei</em>, <em>L. salivarius</em>, <em>L. lactis</em></td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>↓ Aggression and rumination in response to depressive thoughts (LEIDS-r test).</td>
<td>1417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>L. casei</em> Shirota (Yakult)</td>
<td>3 wk</td>
<td>Only improvement in depression in POMS scale for people at the lowest end of the mood scale.</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 1: Probiotic yogurt</td>
<td>6 wk</td>
<td>Improvement in GHQ scale with either probiotic treatment.</td>
<td>1059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>L. acidophilus</em> LA5, <em>B. lactis</em> BB12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: Capsule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>L. casei</em>, <em>L. acidophilus</em>, <em>L. rhamnosus</em>, <em>L. bulgaricus</em>, <em>B. breve</em>, <em>B. longum</em>, <em>S. thermophilus</em>, FOS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>L. helveticus</em> RO052 and <em>B. longum</em> RO175</td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>↓ Anxiety via HADS score.</td>
<td>1034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em>: containing 75% in spore form and 25% in vegetative form</td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>Only impacted microbiota composition.</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No effect on GI symptoms or general wellness.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging (&lt;60 yr)♂♀</td>
<td><em>L. reuteri</em></td>
<td>12 wk</td>
<td>No persisting effects on depression, anxiety or perceived stress.</td>
<td>1141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging (60–75 yr)♂♀</td>
<td><em>L. helveticus</em> IDCC3801</td>
<td>12 wk</td>
<td>↑ Cognitive performance in RVIP and Stroop Color-Word task (cognitively demanding tasks).</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease♂♀</td>
<td>Capsule: <em>L. acidophilus</em>, <em>L. casei</em>, <em>B. bifidum</em>, <em>L. fermentum</em></td>
<td>12 wk</td>
<td>↑ MMSE score. Change in blood lipid profile and carbohydrate metabolism factors.</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic fatigue syndrome♂♀</td>
<td><em>L. casei</em> strain Shirota</td>
<td>8 wk</td>
<td>↓ Anxiety symptoms.</td>
<td>1239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary-free cortisol 10–50 ng/ml (low)♂♀</td>
<td><em>L. helveticus</em> RO052 and <em>B. longum</em> RO175</td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>↑ Perceived stress score, hospital anxiety, depression scale score.</td>
<td>1035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continued*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species, Parameter</th>
<th>Probiotic</th>
<th>Treatment (Time)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV-1 † ‡</td>
<td>Vivomix (see composition above)</td>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>↑ Neurocognitive performance.</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS ‡ ‡</td>
<td>B. longum NCC3001</td>
<td>6 wk</td>
<td>↓ HAD-A and D scores indicating ↓ in anxiety/depression. ↑ Quality of life.</td>
<td>1198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS ‡ ‡</td>
<td>L. paracasei, ssp. paracasei F19 L. acidophilus La5 B. lactis Bb12</td>
<td>8 wk</td>
<td>No significant psychological changes.</td>
<td>1379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD ‡ ‡</td>
<td>Capsule: L. acidophilus, L. casei, and B. bifidum L. helveticus RO052 and B. longum RO175 (CNCM strain l-3470) L. Plantarum 299v</td>
<td>8 wk</td>
<td>↓ BDI score. ↓ BDI score (depressive index). ↓ Kynurenine-to-tryptophan ratio. ↑ APT and CVLT total recall of trials 1–5 ↓ Kynurenine concentration ↑ 3-Hydroxykynurenine: kynurenine ratio</td>
<td>28 778 1293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS ‡ ‡</td>
<td>Capsule: L. acidophilus, L. casei, B. bifidum L. fermentum</td>
<td>12 wk</td>
<td>↑ BDI, EDSS, DHQ scores (depression, diet and multiple sclerosis scores).</td>
<td>836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight obese syndrome and obesity</td>
<td>1 “bag”: S. thermophiles, B. animalis subsp. Lactis, S. thermophilus, B. bifidum</td>
<td>3 wk</td>
<td>↓ Positivity to BUT.</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity ‡ ‡</td>
<td>L. rhamnosus CGMCC1.3724</td>
<td>24 wk</td>
<td>↑ Body esteem in ‡, ↓ depression score in ‡.</td>
<td>1307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td>L. rhamnosus HNO01</td>
<td>&lt;6 mo</td>
<td>↓ Postpartum depression and anxiety scores.</td>
<td>1387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td>L. rhamnosus GG, L. rhamnosus LC705, B. breve Bb99, and Propionibacterium freudenreichii-subspecies shermanii</td>
<td>From 36 wk gestation until the birth of the infant</td>
<td>Analyzed 81 randomly selected colostrum samples from a bank of 500.</td>
<td>1352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species, Parameter</th>
<th>Probiotic</th>
<th>Treatment (Time)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stress</strong></td>
<td><strong>Probio-Stick:</strong></td>
<td>3 wk</td>
<td>↑ Stress-induced abdominal pain and nausea/vomiting.</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| female             | *L. acidophilus* Rosell-52  
*B. longum* Rosell-175 | | ↓ Flatulence, gas production.  
No effect on other symptoms  
(physical, psychological, sleep). | |
| **Exhaustion**     | **Multiobionta: probiotic culture blend** | 6 mo | ↑ Fatigue and stress. | 612 |
| Moderate stress    | *L. plantarum* DR7 | 4, 8, and 12 wk | ↓ Stress and anxiety using DASS-42, but not PSS-10, questionnaires.  
No effect on depression.  
↑ Anti-inflammatory cytokines and ↓ pro-inflammatory cytokines in young adults.  
↓ Pro-inflammatory cytokines in normal adults over 12 wk.  
↑ Verbal learning and memory in young adults  
↑ Basic attention, social emotional cognition, and associate learning in normal adults over 12 wk.  
↓ IDO/TDO, kynurenine, cortisol, IFN-γ and TNF-α.  
↑ TPH, serotonin, IL-4, IL-10. | 289 |
| Student exam stress | *L. casei* strain Shirota YIT 9029  
*B. bifidum* R0071 or *L. helveticus* or *B. infantis* | 8 wk | ↓ Physical symptoms of stress/anxiety  
↑ Healthy days (i.e., no flu/cold) only from *B. bifidum*.  
↓ Reports of symptoms lasting more than a day in participants receiving *B. bifidum*.  
Proportion of participants reporting cold/flu between weeks 2 and 3 lower in those receiving *B. bifidum* or *B. infantis*. | 1452 |
| female             | *L. plantarum* 299v | 14 days | No difference in perceived stress.  
↑ *L. plantarum* 299v and *Lactobaccili* on day 14 in saliva. | 49 |
| female             | *L. casei* strain Shirota (YIT 9029), formulated in 100 ml milk | 8 wk | No change in psychological parameters (anxiety, depression scales).  
↓ Physical symptoms, i.e., runny nose, cold. | 773 |
| TBI female         | 240 ml fermented milk with *L. johnsonii*, given along with 30 g glutamine | 6–14 days via enteral tube | ↓ Infection rate, length of stay in ICU, days on mechanical ventilator. | 500 |
| Type 2 diabetes    | Capsule: *L. acidophilus*, *L. casei*, *B. bifidum*, *L. fermentum*, vitamin D₃ | 12 wk | Improved BDI, BAI, GHQ scores  
(↓ anxiety and depression). | 1242 |
| Low mood female    | *L. helveticus*, *B. longum* | 8 wk | No effect. | 1280 |
| Mouse              | *Pediococcus acidilactici* | 36 days | No effect on tonic immobility induced by fear. | 1161 |
### Table 5.—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species, Parameter</th>
<th>Probiotic</th>
<th>Treatment (Time)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AKR-DSS ♂</td>
<td>B. longum NCC3001</td>
<td>2 to 3 wk</td>
<td>↓ Anxiety-like behavior in DSS. ↑ AH neuron excitability, resistance and magnitude of cationic current.</td>
<td>133  793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. longum NCC3001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. rhamnosus</td>
<td>29 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>SLAB51: S. thermophilis, B. longum, B. breve, B. infantis, L. acidophilus, L. plantarum, L. paracasei, L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgancus, L. brevis</td>
<td>4 mo</td>
<td>↓ Oxidative stress in brain.</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>♂</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ Cognitive decline, brain damage, Aβ peptide accumulation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICR: vascular dementia model ♂</td>
<td>C. butyricum</td>
<td>6 wk</td>
<td>↑ Spatial memory, ↓ neuronal apoptosis, ↑ butyrate in brain.</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICR: ♂</td>
<td>C. butyricum</td>
<td>2 wk</td>
<td>↓ Neurological deficit score, ↓ hippocampal neuron karyopyknosis. ↓ Apoptosis in CA1 via TUNEL.</td>
<td>1439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemia Injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shank3 KO (Jackson Labs) ♂</td>
<td>L. reuteri</td>
<td>3 wk</td>
<td>GABAR expression correlated with L. reuteri in mice. Probiotic modifies social (in ♂) and repetitive behavior (♂ and ♀), ↑ GABAR (regional and sex-dependent magnitudes) and oxytocin expression.</td>
<td>1448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss Albino SD and CUMS</td>
<td>L. plantarum MTCC 951D</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>↓ SD-induced anxiety and depression (FST, EPAM). ↑ Learning and memory (MWM), ↓ BBB permeability. Abolished Bdnf decrease in hippocampus. Prevented some stress-induced microbiota alterations</td>
<td>436  1356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shank3 KO (Jackson Labs), VPA mouse model of ASD BTBR ♂</td>
<td>L. reuteri</td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>In all three strains, rescued impaired sociability as measured by three-chamber sociability, reciprocal social interaction, and/or social novelty tests.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species, Parameter</th>
<th>Probiotic</th>
<th>Treatment (Time)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal separation</td>
<td><em>B. CECT 7765</em></td>
<td>21 days</td>
<td>Partially attenuated exaggerated HPA stress response.</td>
<td>1077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ Vulnerability to stress in adulthood.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ Anxiety, catecholaminergic hyperactivity in adulthood.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Protected against stress-induced microbiota alterations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI</td>
<td><em>C. butyricum</em></td>
<td>14 days before and after TBI</td>
<td>Ameliorated neurological deficits and brain edema size.</td>
<td>884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ Degenerating neurons, ↑ occludin and ZO-1 expression at BBB to ameliorate ↓ permeability from TBI.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ P-Akt/Akt, Bcl-2 along with ↓ Bax indicating less neuronal apoptosis, ↑ GLP1 in colon, GLP1-R in brain.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. <em>rodentium</em> infection</td>
<td>Lacidofil: <em>L. rhamnosus</em> and <em>L. helveticus</em></td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Attenuated WAS nonspatial memory deficit.</td>
<td>569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ameliorated BDNF and c-Fos in hippocampus after WAS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBS-induced colitis and memory impairment</td>
<td><em>L. plantarum</em></td>
<td>Colitis:</td>
<td>Reversed memory impairments (spontaneous alteration in Y-Maze).</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Restored some microbiota perturbations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Memory: 5 days</td>
<td>↑ Bdnf and ↓ NFkB activation in hippocampus and LPS in blood.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic social defeat stress</td>
<td><em>L. rhamnosus</em></td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>↓ Stress-induced anxiety.</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(JB-1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prevented deficits in social interaction with conspecifics.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal HFD</td>
<td><em>L. reuteri</em></td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>Rescued impairments in LTP in DAergic VTA neurons.</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subchronic social defeat stress</td>
<td><em>L. helveticus</em> MCC1848</td>
<td>24 days</td>
<td>Restored normal sucrose consumption in the sucrose preference test.</td>
<td>966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ Anxiety-like behavior in the SIT.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No effect on polydipsia-like behavior.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rescued Drd3, Htr1a sCSDS-induced deficit in NAc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS-colitis</td>
<td><em>L. rhamnosus</em> and <em>L. helveticus</em></td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>Rescued some decreases in NOR, anxiety and c-fos in CA1.</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic stress</td>
<td><em>L. helveticus</em> RO052 and/or <em>B. longum</em></td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>Combination of both probiotics reversed distension pain hypersensitivity at larger volumes.</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROC175</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prevented GR decrease in hypothalamus and hippocampus if pretreated with both probiotics or <em>B. longum</em>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Only both probiotics prevented this ↑ in the PFC.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal separation—early life stress</td>
<td><em>L. plantarum</em> PS128</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>Restored sucrose preference (↓ in ELS/no probiotic).</td>
<td>913</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species, Parameter</th>
<th>Probiotic</th>
<th>Treatment (Time)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6JNarl: GF</td>
<td>L. plantarum PS128</td>
<td>16 days</td>
<td>↓ Anxiety-like behavior, ↑ locomotor activity.</td>
<td>912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6N: MIA</td>
<td>B. fragilis</td>
<td>6 days</td>
<td>Corrected microbiota changes in MIA offspring. Prevented/↓ MIA-induced anxiety (OFT, marble burying), ↓ deficits in sensorimotor gating. Did not improve social deficits.</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAS</td>
<td>L. helveticus R0052 and B. longum R0175</td>
<td>2 wk</td>
<td>↓ cFos* neurons responding to WAS in PVN, CA3, and amygdaloid nucleus (↑ in non-WAS/probiotic compared with other control animals).</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ Bdnf in hypothalamus in response to WAS. ↓ Expression of cytoskeleton organization, microglia activation, synaptogenesis, and cell adhesion markers in hypothalamus in response to WAS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mouse and rat**

| Swiss-Webster Ex vivo recordings | L. reuteri DSM 17938 | 9 days | ↓ Spontaneous mesenteric nerve firing activity. Effect not inhibited by smooth muscle relaxation or vagotomy. Partial evoked antagonism of TRPV1. ↓ Distension evoked firing via TRPV1 antagonism. ↓ Calcium rise and ionic current in dorsal root ganglia primary culture. | 1186 |

**Rat**

| Sprague-Dawley | Faecalibacterium prausnitzii (ATCC 27766) | | Prevented and treated depressive-like or anxiolytic-like behaviors caused by CUMS. Rectified CUMS-induced weight loss. ↑ Cecal acetate, propionate, and n-butyrate levels. ↓ CUMS-induced circulating corticosterone and CRP increase. ↑ IL-10 level and ↓ IL-6 induced by CUMS. | 636 |

| L. rhamnosus | N/A | ↑ Firing rate of mesenteric afferent bundles. | 1185 |

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species, Parameter</th>
<th>Probiotic</th>
<th>Treatment (Time)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brown Norway</td>
<td>Lacidofil Powder: 95% <em>L. rhamnosus</em> R0011 5% <em>L. helveticus</em> R0052</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>Prevented stress-induced bacterial adherence to enterocytes. Prevented bacterial translocation to mesenteric lymph nodes after WAS. No apparent effect on intestinal histology. Inhibited chronic stress elevation of ion secretion in intestines. No impact on permeability in ileum or colon.</td>
<td>1662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSL and FRL:</td>
<td>Ecologic barrier:</td>
<td>12 wk</td>
<td>Protected against depressant effects of HFD in FSL (FST). No effect on locomotor activity.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD</td>
<td><em>B. bifidum, B. lactis, L. acidophilus, L. brevis, L. casei, L. salivarius, L. lactis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging</td>
<td>Probiotic mixture KF (1:1 ratio):</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reversed age-dependent ↓ in spontaneous alternation (Y maze). No significant effect on escape latency (MVWM). ↑ Hippocampal DCX, BDNF and phosphorylated CREB relative to control aged mice, ↓ activation of mTOR pathway.</td>
<td>729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal separation</td>
<td><em>B. breve</em> 6330</td>
<td>42 days</td>
<td>↑ BDNF IV mRNA in control rats given probiotic (not affected by maternal separation).</td>
<td>1123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression-maternal separation</td>
<td><em>B. infantis</em> 35624</td>
<td>45 days</td>
<td>Normalized effects of maternal separation in FST. ↓ 5-HIAA in amygdaloid cortex.</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic restraint stress model</td>
<td><em>L. helveticus</em> NSB</td>
<td>~27 days</td>
<td>↓ Anxiety-like and depressive-like behavior. ↑ Recognition memory. ↑ Hippocampal <em>bdnf</em>, NE, 5-HT.</td>
<td>894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal separation stress</td>
<td>Lacidofil powder: 95% <em>L. rhamnosus</em> R0011 5% <em>L. helveticus</em> R0052</td>
<td>P4-P20 (17 days)</td>
<td>Transient L. colonization. Restored ion transport and permeability after WAS in adult life (persisting effect). Abolished MS-induced HPA overactivation.</td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lacidofil powder: 95% <em>L. rhamnosus</em> R0011 5% <em>L. helveticus</em> R0052</td>
<td>Administered to dams from P2–P14 (13 days)</td>
<td>Restored age-appropriate forgetting (infantile amnesia) and relapse-resistant extinction of aversive memories during infancy (P17). No effect on anxiety or locomotor activity in pups or dams. No effect on maternal care behavior (pup retrieval).</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lacidofil powder: 95% <em>L. rhamnosus</em> R0011 5% <em>L. helveticus</em> R0052</td>
<td>To dams from P2-P14 (13 days)</td>
<td>Restored age-appropriate neural activity in the prefrontal cortex following fear expression and inhibition during infancy (P17).</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species, Parameter</th>
<th>Probiotic</th>
<th>Treatment (Time)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lacidofil powder: 95% <em>L. rhamnosus</em> RO011, 5% <em>L. helveticus</em> RO052</td>
<td>Administered to dams from P2–P14 (13 days)</td>
<td>Restored normative timing of physical puberty onset in both sexes (reversed stress-induced delay in prepubetal separation for males, stress-induced acceleration of vaginal opening in females).</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀ ♂</td>
<td><em>L. rhamnosus</em> GG</td>
<td>~4 wk</td>
<td>Ameliorate ↓ in exploration and ↑ in anxiety from stress. Altered gene expression in hippocampus [no behavior in ♀].</td>
<td>1026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td><em>B. infantis</em></td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>No effect in FST. ↓ 5-HIAA in frontal cortex. ↓ DOPAC in amygdaloid cortex. No effect on CRF mRNA in hypothalamus.</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td><em>L. reuteri</em></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>↓ Firing threshold of afterhyperpolarization myenteric neuron. ↓ Slow afterhyperpolarization potential of sensory neurons. ↓ Potassium-dependent calcium channel opening.</td>
<td>845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td><em>L. rhamnosus and B. longum</em></td>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>↓ Depression-like behavior. ↑ Expression of GABA-A receptor subunits in hippocampus.</td>
<td>893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td><em>L. casei</em> 54-2-33</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>↑ Anxiety (OFT). ↓ Expression of 5-HT1A mRNA in hippocampus.</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to CRD after partial ♂ restraint stress</td>
<td><em>L. farciminis</em></td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>Probiotic prevented ↑ in c-Fos expression in sacral spinal cord, PVN and MeA.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic microbiota depletion</td>
<td><em>L. fermentum</em> NS9</td>
<td>41 days (behavior tested at day 31)</td>
<td>↓ Myeloperoxidase activity in colon. Alleviated ampicillin-induced anxiety (EPM). Alleviated memory deficits seen in MWM in ampicillin-treated animals. Prevented ampicillin-induced MR and NMDA-R decrease in hippocampus.</td>
<td>1591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♀</td>
<td><em>L. helveticus</em> NS8</td>
<td>2 wk</td>
<td>↓ Anxiety-like behavior (EPM). ↑ Learning and memory (MWM). ↓ 5-HT in cerebellum and hippocampus but did not restore regional. ↑ 5-HIAA in HA brain.</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species, Parameter</td>
<td>Probiotic</td>
<td>Treatment (Time)</td>
<td>Effect</td>
<td>Reference Nos.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>L. helveticus</td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>↓ Bax/Bcl-2 and caspase-3 levels in DG, medial amygdala, and lateral amygdala but not CA1 or CA3, ↓ apoptosis.</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRD</td>
<td>B. longum</td>
<td>Differences in phosho-Akt:Akt ratios in these regions.</td>
<td>584</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRD</td>
<td>L. reuteri</td>
<td>9 days</td>
<td>Inhibition of ↑ heart rate in response to CRD.</td>
<td>756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colitis induced by zymosan</td>
<td>L. rhamnosus GG</td>
<td>40 days</td>
<td>Measurements taken in adulthood after early-life colitis induction.</td>
<td>761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgenerational paternal stress</td>
<td>Lacidofil powder: 95% L. rhamnosus R0011, 5% L. helveticus R0052</td>
<td>Maternally P2-P14 (13 days)</td>
<td>Restored age-appropriate forgetting and relapse-resistant extinction of aversive memories in F1 offspring during infancy (P17).</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley and WKY:</td>
<td>L. salivarius UCC118, B. infantis 35624, or B. breve UCC2003</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>↑ Pressure threshold in Sprague-Dawley rats in response to distension if given B. infantis or B. breve.</td>
<td>1021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRD</td>
<td>L. helveticus, B. longum</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>↓ Anxiety in marble burying.</td>
<td>1034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wistar</td>
<td>L. helveticus, B. longum</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>No rewarding properties of probiotic in conditioned place preference relative to morphine.</td>
<td>1035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>No learning and memory deficit in passive avoidance paradigm.</td>
<td>1035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VSL #3</td>
<td>6 wk</td>
<td>Effect on brain gene expression (&gt;300 genes).</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attenuate age-related decrease in LTP.</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ Microglial activation in hippocampus.</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD</td>
<td>L. paracasei</td>
<td>12 wk</td>
<td>↓ Microglial activation, ↓ cognitive dysfunction.</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>L. plantarum</td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>↑ Performance in MWM in AD+probiotic group.</td>
<td>1101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Mix: L. acidophilus, B. lactis, L. fermentum</td>
<td>8 wk</td>
<td>↑ Performance on MWM in diabetes probiotic.</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ Synaptic transmission [electrophysiology].</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
once limited practical applications of structural and functional brain imaging have now become feasible to utilize, offering an ideal method of studying gut-brain interactions in vivo (1013).

1. Preclinical studies

A variety of different brain imaging techniques have been used to understand the microbiota-gut-brain axis. With the use of magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), it has been shown that the bacterial strain *L. rhamnosus* JB-1 was capable of increasing the neurotransmitter glutamate and its precursor glutamine in addition to N-acetyl aspartate and \( \gamma \)-aminobutyric acid (GABA) (724). Interestingly, the scale and timing of the response varied across the affected metabolites. In a recent study, diffusion tensor imaging was used to identify global changes in white matter structural integrity occurring in a diet-dependent manner in rats (1136); although not surprising, microbiota analysis indicated changes in bacterial populations as a function of diet. By using a machine learning classifier for quantitative assessment of the strength of microbiota-brain region associations, changes in brain structure were found to be associated with diet-dependent changes in the gut microbiome.

2. Human studies

By combining human brain imaging techniques with neuropsychological measures, a landmark study investigated how ingestion of a fermented milk drink, combining four different bacterial strains, was able to affect brain function in healthy women (1493). Alterations were observed in resting brain activity showing that ingestion of the fermented milk product was associated with changes in midbrain connectivity centered on the periaqueductal gray, along with other brain network regions including the prefrontal cortex (PFC), precuneus, basal ganglia, and the parahippocampal gyrus, which likely explain the differences observed in activity during the tasks. Efforts have also been made to evaluate interactions among gut microbiota composition, brain microstructure, and a cognitive test (i.e., the Trail Making Test, an easily administered test that involves motor speed, attention, and cognitive flexibility) in obese (\( n = 20 \)) and non-obese (\( n = 19 \)) individuals (514). The gut microbiota composition, specifically the abundance of the Actinobacteria phylum, of obese and non-obese subjects was linked with the cognitive testing scores, as well as alterations in neural activity in the thalamus, hypothalamus, and amygdala, suggesting that obesity affects the microbiota composition and subsequent cognitive performance (514).

Two separate studies have investigated the association between IBS, changes in the microbiota, and brain-related alterations (847, 1198). An fMRI analysis showed that a *B. longum* strain reduced responses to negative emotional stimuli in multiple brain areas, including the amygdala and fronto-limbic regions, compared with placebo (1198).

### Table 5.—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species, Parameter</th>
<th>Probiotic</th>
<th>Treatment (Time)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish</td>
<td><em>L. rhamnosus</em></td>
<td>4 wk</td>
<td>↑ Exploration, attention. ↑ Brain <em>bdnf</em>, serotoninergic system genes.</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila</td>
<td><em>L. plantarum</em> L168</td>
<td>2–3 days</td>
<td>↑ Social behavior in kdm5-deficient flies. ↓ Intestinal permeability ↓ Number of intestines with defects in kdm5-deficient flies. ↓ 5-HT levels in kdm5-deficient flies. Restored intestinal barrier integrity. KDM5 demethylase affects social behavior through the gut-microbiome-brain axis. 3.5-fold increase in median survival of kdm5-deficient flies. Only GF flies with kdm5-deficiency were viable.</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OFT, open-field test; EPM, elevated plus maze; FST, forced swim test; SIT, social interaction test; TST, tail suspension test; NOR, novel object recognition; MWM, Morris water maze; CRD, colorectal distension; GF, germ free; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; PFC, prefrontal cortex; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; LTP, long-term potentiation; PVN, paraventricular nucleus; NTS, nucleus tractus solitarius; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; HPA, hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal; MMSE, mini mental state exam; MS, multiple sclerosis; TBI, traumatic brain injury; IFN, interferon; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; DA, dopamine; CRF, corticotropin-releasing factor.
ply, the probiotic marginally reduced depression but not anxiety while increasing quality of life scores in patients with IBS, with improvements associated with changes in brain activation. The second study (847) investigated the relationship between brain region activation using fMRI, behavioral characteristics, and microbiota composition in healthy women. The analyzed participants (n = 39) were separated into two identifiable groups based on microbiota composition: a Prevotella-high group with 7 participants and a Bacteroides-high group of 32 participants. Differential responses to negatively-valenced images were observed such that negative effect was associated with functional and structural differences in the right hippocampus within the Prevotella group. Although small scale, this is one of the first reports of behavioral and neurobiological differences related to microbial composition in healthy humans. It represents an exciting prospect for a better understanding of how differences in emotional, attentional, and sensory processing responses may be directed by the gut.

Brain imaging techniques have also begun to be used to explore the possible interactive role of gut microbiota and brain function in various neuropsychiatric disorders. For example, a recent study investigated both gut microbiota and choline concentrations in the anterior cingulate cortex in the prodromal stage of schizophrenia (648). Increased relative abundance of the orders Clostridiales, Lactobacillales, and Bacteroidales were observed in fecal samples from individuals who were designated ultra-high-risk. Moreover, changes in the composition of gut microbiota indicated the increased production of short-chain fatty acid (SCFAs) which was coupled with increases in the levels of choline in the anterior cingulate cortex (648).

Preclinical studies have increasingly shown compelling evidence and consensus that microorganisms inhabiting the gut influence brain structure and function from birth and through the first years of life (321). In an essential first step in translating neonatal data into clinical neonatal populations, one group (251) tested whether microbial composition at 1 yr of age is associated with cognitive outcomes and fMRI measures. The investigators subtyped three different groups of infants based on their bacterial composition. Cognitive function at 2 yr of age differed significantly between clusters. A higher diversity was associated with lower scores on the overall composite score, and the visual reception scale, as well as the expressive language scale by 2 yr of age, suggesting a slower rate of development. Exploratory analyses of neuroimaging data suggested that the gut microbiota had minimal effects on regional brain volumes at 1 and 2 yr of age (251).

Ongoing work in the field of brain imaging includes an approach to connect gut microbial ecology (1317) with large-scale brain networks (704). Such approaches will aid in our ability to determine how the microbiota influences brain function and potentially identify multiple mediators of the gut-brain axis. To date, the number of studies from which to draw a consensus is few, and further examination of the interaction between gut microbes, brain, and effect in humans is needed to inform preclinical reports that microbial modulation may or may not successfully influence cognitive function and subsequent behavior.

G. Techniques to Measure the Microbiome: Who Is There and What Are They Doing?

In microbiome research, answering these two headline questions (of who is there and what are they doing?) is central to investigating and understanding the dynamics not only within the microbiome but also between it and other systems, such as the brain. It involves the multicollaborative efforts in both bioinformatic and sequencing approaches in tandem with biology and medicine.

1. Bioinformatics

The field of bioinformatics includes all work where computational algorithms are used to study biological phenomena (938, 954). Generally, bioinformatics refers to biostatistics, data analysis, and computational biology. Due to the massive amounts of data that need to be processed when working with the microbiota, bioinformatics has played an important role in developing the field, and vice versa (577, 635). Indeed, endeavors such as the Human Microbiome Project (1099a) and MetaHIT (1226) have only been possible thanks to our rapidly improving capacity to handle big data (953). Fortunately, fields like ecology and statistics have dealt with comparable problems in the past, albeit often on a different scale (587, 953, 954). Methods like multidimensional scaling and metrics such as diversity originate from these fields and are frequently used as powerful tools to analyze the microbiome, once they have been modified to suit microbiome data. Here, we will focus on the analysis of the microbiome, as well as alternate data sets like proteomics and metabolomics, which are often incorporated into microbiome analysis. For a review of metabolomics, metagenomics, or transcriptomics, please see Reference 465 and Table 6 (587, 953, 954).

2. Microbiome sequencing

Rapid improvements in sequencing technology have facilitated the development of two techniques that are integral to answering these questions: 16S sequencing and whole genome shotgun sequencing (302). While the techniques are arguably similar, they are not mutually exclusive but provide complementary readouts that can inform each other (318) (see Figure 2). A consequence of these rapid improvements in sequencing technology has been a multitude of similar protocols that each have their own set of biases in their results. This makes pooling the data from two differ-
ent studies more complicated (318). Recent efforts have been made to consolidate and standardize sequencing and analysis protocols, to improve overall quality and compatibility of research in the microbiome field (818, 973, 1210).

A) 16S AMPLICON SEQUENCING. 16S or amplicon sequencing represents a comparatively cheap way to measure the relative abundance of microbes present in a sample using next-generation sequencing technology. The idea behind the technique is to use polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify a highly conserved genetic sequence that is present in all bacterial members of the microbiota. These amplified sequences, or amplicons, can then be clustered based on their genetic relatedness and tallied to give an estimate of their relative abundance in a sample. While the technique can theoretically be performed using any amplicon, in practice, regions of the highly conserved 16S ribosomal RNA subunit are often used.

The relative composition of the microbiota on its own can be used to classify and differentiate samples. In addition, the popular bioinformatics tool PICRUSt (Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States) (851), along with Tax4Fun (68) and Piphillin (709), enable the prediction of the functional potential of the microbiota from 16S output by cross-referencing the identified microbiota with known genetic sequences (851). Thus there is valuable information to be gained from 16S sequencing, although there are also important limitations. First, 16S sequencing cannot identify novel microbial species nor account for intraspecies variation and mutations as the technique is restricted to genetic reference sequences that must be defined in a database a priori. Second, PCR introduces a bias in 16S tables, as some amplicon sequences will inevitably be amplified more efficiently than others (9, 1336) (FIGURE 2).

B) WHOLE GENOME SHOTGUN SEQUENCING. Whole genome shotgun sequencing (WGS) is more expensive and demanding on computational resources than 16S sequencing, but also capable of providing a strain level resolution of both microbiota abundance and functional capacity. In WGS, all DNA in a sample is isolated and sequenced using next-generation sequencing technology. After filtering out unwanted DNA (e.g., human DNA from a human stool sample), the remaining sequences can be used to either construct de novo genomes or align the sequences to a reference database.

WGS offers several advantages over 16S (318, 1237). Notably, there is usually no PCR amplification step in WGS, removing one source of bias. Furthermore, because the entire genome is sequenced, a much more reliable estimation can be made of the functional potential of the microbiome, and it is also possible to identify novel strains or mutations in a sample. Overall, the higher resolution of the information generated by WGS makes it possible to infer more about the microbiota in the sample.

Besides the cheaper cost, there are some situations where 16S is preferable to WGS. In samples that are heavily contaminated by off-target DNA (usually from the host, e.g., in biopsy samples), the signal of WGS can be too low for accurate measurement. Because 16S sequencing relies on the 16S subunit ribosomal RNA, which is unique to prokaryotes, most host contamination is not an issue. Furthermore, 16S sequencing can be performed when lower amounts of genetic material are available, which can be a key consideration when working with environmental samples like soil.

### Table 6. Tools used in the analysis of the gut microbiome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Based On:</th>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biomarker profiling</td>
<td>Microbiota composition</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>NGS</td>
<td>Somewhat cost effective; semiquantitative</td>
<td>Lacks functional information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metagenomics</td>
<td>Microbiota functional gene capacity</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>NGS</td>
<td>Can achieve strain-level resolution</td>
<td>Expensive; computationally intensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolomics</td>
<td>Metabolic productivity</td>
<td>Metabolites</td>
<td>LC/GC-MS</td>
<td>Targeted or untargeted; semiquantitative</td>
<td>Origin of metabolite is unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metatranscriptomics</td>
<td>Microbial functional gene expression</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>NGS</td>
<td>Host and microbial gene transcripts</td>
<td>Requires RNA preservation; host genes may dominate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metaproteomics</td>
<td>Protein expression</td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>LC/GC-MS</td>
<td>Semiquantitative</td>
<td>Protein origin not clear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NGS, next generation sequencing; LC/GC-MS, liquid chromatography/gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.

**3. Metrics to describe the microbiome**

Working with a highly complex ecosystem such as the microbiome introduces many challenges, especially with regards to parsing a large amount of high-dimensional data.
into human-readable metrics. Fortunately, fields like ecology and statistics have dealt with comparable problems in the past, albeit often on a different scale. Methods like multidimensional scaling and metrics such as diversity originate from these fields and are frequently used as powerful tools to analyze the microbiome, once they have been modified to suit microbiome data. Perhaps the most important point to consider when analyzing microbiome data is that both 16S and WGS produce compositional data, data that contain information about the ratios between parts of the whole, but never contain information about absolute counting numbers. Many of the properties of compositional data are distinct from those of classical data sets, and classical statistical tools such as Pearson’s correlation coefficient are often not appropriate. Fortunately, compositional data analysis is a well-studied and documented field of statistics. Recently, great efforts have been made to apply compositional data analysis techniques to the microbiome field.

A) COMPARATIVE RELATIVE ABUNDANCE. The most straightforward method of comparing two samples is to compare the presence of specific microbes. It should be noted that because of the nature of the output of both 16S sequencing and WGS, it is very rare to be able to work with absolute counts of organisms. Rather, relative abundance is used to compare samples. This is an important point since relative abundance can change in situations where absolute levels may not, and vice versa.

B) DIVERSITY. Going one level further than comparative relative abundance, microbiome diversity can be used to quantify the degree of heterogeneity within a sample or the difference between two samples. There are many different formulas that produce diversity metrics, all of which can be categorized into three closely related classes: α, β, and γ diversity. α-Diversity describes the diversity within a sample, while β-diversity describes the diversity, or dissimilarity, between samples. γ-Diversity is rarely used and describes the total species diversity over all.

FIGURE 2. Sequential schematic illustrating the steps involved in modern bioinformatic analysis of microbiota samples. The process begins with the extraction of a bacterial genome that is sequenced and digitized; upon identification of the species involved, diversity and abundance are analyzed delivering a functional analysis chart and a principal component (PCo) analysis plot for reference and inference. OTU, operational taxonomic unit.
samples, comparable to \( \alpha \)-diversity in a single sample. Different formulas for diversity lay different weights on factors like the richness of a sample in terms of the number of different species represented, or the evenness of the distribution of the species in a sample. It can often be valuable to calculate diversity using several different metrics, depending on the research question.

C) **Principal Component Analysis.** A common way to visualize high-dimensional data, like relative abundance, is by applying a multidimensional scaling (MDS) algorithm. Principal component analysis (PCA) is both common and appropriate in microbiome research, but other algorithms are available and sometimes useful. In PCA, the distances between every combination of two points in a set are taken as an input, and a set of coordinates is generated as an output. These coordinates can be used to plot each sample as a point in two-dimensional or three-dimensional space, using the principal coordinates as the axis. On the plot, points that are closer together represent samples that are more similar in composition.

D) **Functional Metagenomics.** The analysis of function represents a high-level readout of the microbiome that can give insight into the effect or consequence that a change in the microbial community can have on its host. Functional metagenomics are often a product of either WGS or the application of functional prediction tools on 16S data sets. The reliability of functional analysis depends on the availability of high-quality curated data on the metagenome in question (657). Promisingly, the classical ecology concept of functional “guilds,” groups of taxonomically distinct but functionally related organisms, has been proposed to apply to the microbiome (1674).

E) **Robustness.** Recently, robustness has been explored in the context of the microbiome (485). Robustness as a metric expresses information about the degree of change a community will undergo after a perturbation and how fast and to what degree it can recover, if at all. Robustness highlights an interesting aspect of complex ecosystems. For example, a species, or clade (a branch of a phylogenetic tree), can have little or no effect on its environment by itself but plays an important role in the maintenance of a steady state. This phenomenon is known in ecology as a keystone species, whereby the disappearance of that species will cause the ecosystem to drastically change or even collapse (1046).

### 4. In silico models of the microbiome

Although human and animal models have many advantages, there are numerous situations where the specific constraints of an in vivo model can overcomplicate an experiment. In cases like this, in silico models of the microbiome can be utilized. Metabolic models of the microbiome, often utilizing our growing capacity to produce, process, and handle big data, have yielded invaluable understanding in microbe-microbe interactions and microbe-environment interactions (968, 1443). For instance, Flux Balance Analysis (FBA) models have been used to predict and understand the behavior of microbes given their environment (1139, 1484, 1664). The generation and refinement of genome-scale metabolic reconstructions (GENREs) is essential for realistic modeling of these types of interactions, which will be facilitated by the recent publication of a large database containing 773 high-quality human gut GENREs (967). In silico models of the microbiome can be used not only to answer experimental questions but also to verify the reliability of the results. One of the current limitations of the field is the difficulty in finding a gold standard data set with which to validate statistical models for microbiome data. Often, there is no sufficiently large data set of the required type available. However, synthetic data sets, generated by programs like SparseDOSSA (696), can be used to tackle this problem by generating realistic samples from a completely known and controllable source. Hopefully, with the development of evermore powerful computing technologies, and intricately designed programming suites, in silico modeling will increasingly complement the necessary use of animal models in fundamental microbiome research.

### III. Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis Across the Lifespan

As stated earlier, the microbiota has been our constant companion in life throughout evolutionary history. This rich ecosystem is not static, but rather is in a constant state of flux across the lifespan. Within individuals, small day-to-day variations in microbiota composition are generally observed (339), but these fluctuations become most obvious when we take a wider view across the lifespan (see **Figure 3**). At both extremes of life, the microbiota is characteristically different from the typical adult gut microbiota in both levels of diversity and representation of specific taxa (304, 1301, 1648). It has been hypothesized that these periods of transformation in the microbiota may be likened to sensitive periods, during which the microbiota is not only responsive to external influences (and therefore amenable to treatment) but also highly influential with regards to the overall health of the host (196, 575, 621). In the neuroscience literature, a sensitive period is defined as a developmental time window during which the brain is more sensitive/vulnerable to environmental inputs (660). Originally applied to the development of different sensory systems, sensitive periods are now being investigated for higher order behaviors as well, such as language development and cognition (236, 661, 1602). It is likely to be biologically relevant that sensitive periods in the microbiota align with sensitive periods in the development or decline of other bodily systems; this includes, but is not limited to, the innate immune system, the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, and brain development in general (196, 575, 602).
this section, we discuss three broad sensitive periods (early life, adolescence, and aging) and examine the role of the microbiome in determining host brain function during these critical windows.

A. Early Life

There is some controversy about when the human GI tract is first colonized, with recent studies reporting the existence of a placental microbiota and in utero colonization of the fetus (1,324,736), while others suggest that this evidence is limited by a lack of contamination controls (861,899,1188,1482), maintaining that the placenta and womb are sterile (799,861,899,1043,1188). If in utero colonization does occur, it seems to have a limited effect on the early postnatal microbiota composition relative to the initial seeding of the microbiota during birth (either by Cesarean section or per vaginum; see sect. VI). This is not to discount the potential impact of prenatal transfer of even small numbers of bacteria and other microorganisms from the mother to the infant. As stated earlier, GF mice, which have never had microbial colonization, have been invaluable tools in parsing the role of the microbiota in gut-brain signaling across the lifespan (931) (see sect. IIA and FIGURE 1).

Indeed, GF status during pregnancy has dramatic effects on offspring development in rodents. For example, the blood-brain barrier (BBB) typically develops around the second week of embryonic life, with permeability decreasing sharply at approximately embryonic day 15 in the mouse (208). However, GF mouse embryos exhibit increased BBB permeability and low expression of the tight junction protein occludin on embryonic days 16–18, effects that were maintained postnatally and into adulthood (208). Importantly, this study identified that BBB integrity could be restored by postnatal recolonization of the microbiota, implying a causal role for the microbiota in ensuring development of the BBB. Aside from maternal GF status, other prenatal maternal factors such as diet, obesity, immune activation, and stress, all of which are known to alter offspring mental and physical health outcomes, have also been found to influence offspring microbiota composition in rodents and/or humans (226,562,690,725,1261,1437,1688).

As for all stages of life, it is difficult to give a strict definition of what constitutes a healthy microbiota during early life. However, it is known that the microbiota tends to follow one of a few trajectories of development, with early-colo-

FIGURE 3. Timeline graph indicating changes in microbial diversity across the human lifespan, from birth through aged, including infancy, childhood, adolescence, and adulthood, accompanied by typical changes in neural development, indicating concomitant neuronal processes occurring during specific stages of life. Blue bar depth means to signify time period during which indicated processes are greatest.
nizing species shaping the long-term composition (992). Soon after birth, the microbiota is typically characterized by relatively high abundances of Enterobacteriaceae, Bifidobacteriaceae, and Clostridiaceae, but low levels of Lachnospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae at the family level (185, 293, 1647). As the infant matures, strict anaerobes gradually take over as the dominant taxa, and there is an increase in overall diversity to adult-like levels by around 1–3 yr of age, coinciding with weaning and a shift to solid food intake (823, 1147, 1648). This rapid development at weaning is observed across species and was recently shown to be critical for protection against later development of immunopathology in mice. However, even after weaning, the microbiota continues to change. The composition and function of the microbiota in healthy children (measured at 7–12 yr of age) remains significantly different from the healthy adult microbiota (676). In particular, the gut microbiota of children is functionally enriched in pathways supporting ongoing development (e.g., genes involved in vitamin synthesis and de novo folate synthesis, anti-inflammatory pathways; Ref. 676).

The infant and child microbiota is susceptible to a range of environmental influences, from birth mode (vaginal vs. C-section) (156, 454), prematurity (540a), and birth location (home vs. hospital) (331) to diet (including breastfeeding vs. formula feeding) (77, 671, 1681), maternal gestational diet and weight gain (1352), pet ownership (77a, 1519), physical illness (757), antibiotic use (835, 1647), and stress (235, 621, 1688). Although some of these factors exert a diminishing influence on the microbiota over time (e.g., the effects of birth mode on microbiota composition are no longer apparent by 6 wk of age; Refs. 293, 667), these early life factors may still have long-lasting implications for the physical and mental health of the individual (235, 575, 1043), consistent with the idea that there are sensitive periods for microbiota-gut-brain interactions. Longitudinal studies testing this hypothesis are currently limited, but emerging research in both humans and rodents suggests that early-life microbiota manipulations can alter trajectories of physical and mental health or cognitive performance.

In humans, the currently available evidence for enduring effects of early-life microbiota changes on host physiology and brain health, although moving more towards mechanism and causation, is largely correlational. The evidence supports a link between early-life microbiota composition, or antibiotic use, and later metabolic and immune function (specifically overweight/obesity and asthma/allergy; Refs. 8, 76, 1180, 1386, 1412, 1437). Correlations have also been observed between childhood microbiota composition and behavioral temperament (291), functional activity/connectivity in the brain (235, 566), and cognitive function (251). Beyond correlation, preliminary clinical trials of probiotic interventions for at-risk children have yielded promising results with regards to reducing risk for GI problems (703, 1601), sepsis (1152), and even autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (1163, 1165) and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (1165), although a recent study of postnatal probiotics (L. rhamnosus HN001 or B. animalis HN019) found no effect of either strain on later neurocognitive outcomes (1388). Such investigations are becoming more widespread based on strong preclinical evidence that early-life disruption of the microbiota alters a wide range of behavioral and neural outcomes both during development and later in life (308, 437, 867, 1050, 1119, 1428). Preclinical research also provides cause to focus on early-life microbiota interventions. Several groups have now shown that early probiotic interventions mitigate the effects of antibiotics, C-section delivery, early-life stress, maternal high-fat diet, and maternal immune activation on infant outcomes (see Table 5) (226, 234, 345, 348, 552, 568, 690, 834).

B. Adolescence

Adolescence has been labeled a time of “storm and stress,” reflecting the unique challenges associated with this stage of life (627). In addition to the well-known hormonal fluctuations that occur during adolescence, the brain undergoes vast reshaping, including pruning, myelination, volumetric changes in various regions, and changes in functional connectivity (170, 171, 255, 1406) (Figure 3). Rapid physical development of the body and brain coincides with dramatic shifts in social networks (notably increased independence from caregivers), diet, sleep patterns, and exposure to alcohol and drugs. Faced with this cocktail of stressful new experiences on a background of unstable hormones and altered brain function, it is perhaps not surprising that adolescents are vulnerable to mental health problems (789, 869, 1172). Given that all of these factors have been linked to alterations in the microbiota-gut-brain axis in adults, exploration of the microbiota during the sensitive period of adolescence has the potential to provide both insights and interventions to improve adolescent well-being (529, 1023, 1024).

There have been very few studies directly comparing the adolescent and adult microbiota in humans. In a small cohort (n = 22) of healthy 11- to 18-yr-old adolescents, a correlation between age and microbiota composition was observed such that older adolescents were more similar to (but generally still separate from) the adult microbiota profile (12). At the genus level, adolescents had higher relative abundances of Bifidobacterium and Clostridium, but lower relative abundances of Prevotella and Sutterella. These differences are similar to those reported in other studies of the child microbiota (682), indicating that there is a gradual transition from childhood to adulthood rather than a distinct adolescent profile, although further work is needed to confirm this result. Initial reports show that certain features of the microbiota correlate with both diet and metabolic outcomes in adolescent populations (419, 721, 1413), sug-
gesting that more in-depth analyses of the functional relevance of the microbiota in adolescence are warranted. This pursuit would be aided by more preclinical studies in this area as there is a paucity of research addressing the question of age specificity. In rodents, it has been shown that sex differences in the microbiota emerge only after puberty onset (987) and that probiotic treatment during a period of early-life stress reverses stress-induced changes in the timing of puberty onset (347). Furthermore, long-term antibiotic-induced depletion of the microbiota from adolescence alters adult cognition, social behavior, and anxiety (429). This chronic treatment also reduced central levels of BDNF, oxytocin, and vasopressin and altered tryptophan metabolism in adulthood. While this particular study did not provide clarity with regards to the idea that adolescence is a sensitive period (because the treatment continued into adulthood and no adulthood-only treatment was included), there are now a number of reports that early-life microbiota interventions are more efficacious when directly compared with the same interventions administered in adulthood (226, 437, 1134, 1434). Overall, this work provides further support for the existence of early-life sensitive periods of microbiota-gut-brain interaction for at least some behavioral and neural outcomes.

C. Aging

Aging is a slow process of deterioration of various homeostatic functions accompanied by an increased prevalence of disease (920). The United Nations defines “older persons” as those over 60 yr of age, which roughly translates to 20 mo in a rodent model (1217). Distinct hallmarks of aging are apparent from the genetic level (genomic instability, epigenetic alterations, telomere attrition) to the cellular level (mitochondrial dysfunction, cellular senescence, stem cell exhaustion, oxidative stress, altered proteasomal activity, and autophagy), including an imbalance of key messengers (decline in growth factors, neurotransmitter imbalance, deregulated immunity) and altered receptor sensing (altered stress axis activity, deregulated nutrient sensing), ultimately disrupting the homeostasis of the aging organism (920) (FIGURE 3). Aging is also associated with changes in gut physiology, including hypochlorhydria, gastric motility disorders, and degenerative changes in the ENS, yielding dramatic effects on the composition and function of the gut microbiome (830).

While the composition of the adult human gut microbiota is generally stable if unperturbed, its stability deteriorates in old age (303). Diet and physical activity, two factors that generally decline in later life, can dramatically affect well-being, cognitive performance, and the microbiota at any stage of life, but their effects seem to be exaggerated in older individuals (1556). Aside from this loss of stability, or perhaps because of it, characterization of the aging gut microbiome has proven difficult. First, the timing of the transition to an “elder-type” microbiome is not as clearly demarcated as the shift from an infant-type to an adult-type microbiome. Furthermore, while comparisons of adult and elderly individuals have identified clear differences in the microbiota composition between these two groups, the differences between studies have been sizable, perhaps due to cultural, geographical, or methodological variances (152, 154, 304, 1081, 1124, 1302, 1362). Decreasing diversity of the gut microbiota, generally associated with adverse outcomes in adults, has been linked to aging (153) and age-related impairments like frailty in humans (304, 715). In contrast, aged (24 mo old) mice have been shown to exhibit increased diversity compared with younger adult mice (1345). In terms of specific taxa, some studies have observed a decrease in beneficial Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium in aging (651). A reduced Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes ratio has been reported in Irish and French elderly compared with young adults (303, 985), although this effect was not observed in a comparison of Italian centenarians, elderly, and young adults (153). Studies in semi-supercentenarians (people between 105 and 109 yr of age) have found specific taxa such as Akkermansia to be more abundant, suggesting distinct gut microbiota changes at this extreme of life might be promoting healthy aging and longevity (152, 1544), as well as restore intestinal permeability and subsequent immunomodulation in aged mice (175).

Despite difficulties in identifying consistent aging-related compositional changes in the microbiota, further evidence supports the hypothesis that the microbiota plays a functional role in (un)healthy aging. A recent study demonstrated that suppression of the gut microbiome using broad-spectrum antibiotics restored arterial function in old mice (20–24 mo old) to levels observed in young animals (8–10 wk old), which was coupled with normalization of both oxidative stress and inflammation (225). Earlier studies in rodents demonstrated that GF mice live longer than conventional controls, linking gut microbiota to the decline of immune system function, or senescence (586, 622). More recently in a human population, the ELDERMET study reported breakthrough research in which age-related shifts in gut microbiota composition were linked to various functional health measures, including frailty, cognition, depression, and inflammatory markers (303, 304). For example, one study found that the more diverse the diet, the more diverse the microbiota, which was linked to improved health and reduced frailty (304). Specific microbial taxa are also associated with reduced frailty in elderly populations, including Bacteroidetes (109, 682, 1551), Clostridium cluster XIVa, and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, one of many butyrate-producing bacteria with anti-inflammatory properties (109, 1551, 1694). In contrast, the bacterial family Porphyromonadaceae has been linked to declines in cognition and affective disorders (92, 328). This nicely parallels results in a preclinical study showing that aged mice have a
higher relative abundance of *Porphyromonadaceae* and that the levels of this family correlate with increased anxiety-like behavior (1345).

Altered aged gut microbiota compositions have also been proposed to contribute to “inflammaging” (1483), the heightened proinflammatory status and decline in adaptive immunity progressively expressed in older age (542). Inflammaging contributes to the speed of the aging process and may progress the development of age-related diseases (1634), from neurological disorders like Alzheimer’s disease (585) to metabolic and other physical disorders like heart disease, osteoporosis, and type II diabetes (194, 543, 875). Both aging and stress weaken the integrity and function of the GI barrier (783, 1483) and negatively affect BBB permeability (491, 1066) potentially accelerating inflammaging. By utilizing various models targeting the gut microbiome including pre-, pro-, and postbiotics, recent studies have shown a role for the gut microbiome in regulating neuroimmunity from middle to old age which have implications for therapeutic interventions combatting age-related neurodegeneration and cognitive decline (177, 535, 1000). One prebiotic study examining the effect of diets differing in sugar, fat, and fiber content on the gut microbiota of mice humanized with microbiota from healthy or frail older people, reported that the frailty-associated gut microbiota did not reciprocally switch to a younger healthy-subject like state (1506). Furthermore, supplementation with prebiotics was associated with fewer detected effects in humans than diet adjustment in animal models (1506).

Further evidence of a link between the microbiota and inflammaging comes from studies showing compositional changes in the microbiota that occur with age induce subclinical intestinal inflammation in elderly individuals with a high incidence of chronic disease (616), while reduced levels of *Akkermansia* following FMT from old mice into young GF mice was associated with an inflamming phenotype in the recipient young mice (546). An important component of the immune system and potential contributor to inflammaging are microglia, which are the brain’s resident immune cells. As key players in the brain’s immune orchestra, microglia shape neuronal wiring and activity, synaptic plasticity, and phagocytosis and support the survival of neurons and neuronal progenitors via the secretion of growth factors (919, 1466) (see sects. IV, C and E, and V). However, during aging, microglia develop into a highly reactive and unbalanced state promoting cognitive dysfunction including altered brain plasticity and neurodegeneration (176, 934, 1466, 1574). Recent studies have shown that GF mice display deficits in microglia maturation and function, while recolonization or administration of key gut microbiota metabolites such as SCFAs restore microglial function (489). Such studies suggest that targeting microglia could present an interventional approach to ameliorate neurodegenerative disease (177, 1000).

Indeed, administration of microbiota-targeted diets to prevent the age-associated decline of beneficial *Bifidobacterium* has been found to have positive effects on gut microbiota composition and associated health. A recent study found that a 14-wk-long dietary intervention with prebiotics increased both *Bifidobacterium* and *Akkermansia* in middle-aged mice (177). Moreover, the abundance of *Bifidobacterium* strongly correlated with genes involved in colonic health in the early phase of aging (1544). Similarly, *Bifidobacterium* species have been shown to be negatively correlated with pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine levels in humans, indicating that modulation of *Bifidobacteria* may represent a target for reducing the inflammatory response (1144).

Thus far, dietary restriction has been the most effective strategy to demonstrate an increase in lifespan across a whole range of investigated species, including nonhuman primates (329, 536, 547, 903, 1002, 1294, 1665). This, once again, highlights diet as a key determinant of healthy aging, possibly via modulation of the gut microbiota. Studies in rodents support this interpretation, finding that short-term to life-long caloric restriction leads to structural changes of the gut microbiota (499, 547, 1665) with the genus *Lactobacillus*, among others, positively correlated with lifespan (1665) and accompanied with changes in microbial metabolite production such as SCFAs (1460). Moreover, an intriguing FMT study in the short-living killifish showed that exchange of microbial communities from young to middle-aged fish increased longevity in the older group (1392). This illustrates the potential for gut microbiota modulation as a therapeutic strategy to benefit an aging host.

**IV. PATHWAYS OF COMMUNICATION**

There are many pathways of potential communication between the gut microbiota and the brain, from intricately innervated and highly modifiable neuronal pathways to incredibly subtle and difficult to measure small molecule messaging systems, both locally in the gut and distally in the brain. In the following section, we will introduce many of these communication methods (Figure 4). However, much work is needed to fully resolve the exact mechanisms as to how bacteria in the lumen of the gastrointestinal tract can exert such marked effects on brain and behavior.

**A. Autonomic Nervous System**

The autonomic nervous system (ANS) is a neural relay network, with neurons located within the central and periph-
eral nervous systems, controlling bodily functions without conscious effort (autonomously), such as breathing, heartbeat, and digestion. The ANS comprises the sympathetic and parasympathetic branches. Combined with activity from the ENS and modulation by the CNS, the ANS is responsible for physiological homeostasis, as well as responding to endocrine, motor, autonomic, and behavioral areas. The individual components of the microbiota-gut-brain axis communicate with each other bidirectionally, both antagonistically and synergistically, within the ANS (1082). In combination with the HPA axis, the ANS comprises a vast and complex network of integrated communication between the brain and the gut, involuntarily establishing and regulating host physiological homeostasis (723). The ANS, in combination with neuronal and neuroendocrine signaling, can induce CNS-modulated changes in the gut (top-down effects) (1013). Key GI functions such as gut motility and permeability, epithelial fluid maintenance, luminal osmolarity, secretion of bile, carbohydrate levels, mechanical distortion of the mucosa, bicarbonate and mucus production as well as the mucosal immune response and intestinal-fluid handling, are all controlled by the ANS (1599).

Incoming visceral information from the gut via the ANS is processed by the CNS, which then directs responses essential for survival. Further processing of this information involves positive and negative feedback loops which act on
peripheral organs (188). The ANS provides the gut with the most direct neurological response available, leading to rapid changes in gut physiology, through innervation of the target organ, in both health and disease (160), such as with the pain response (1012) and stress (1530).

Direct or indirect ENS-microbiota interactions can occur as a result of ANS activity. The sympathetic and parasympathetic systems can influence ENS neurocircuitry, resulting in changes in motility that can affect the rate of delivery of pre- and probiotics to the small intestine and colon, including resistant starches and dietary fibers, and other critical microbial nutrients (971). Local GI autonomic activation can be triggered by interoceptive afferent feedback from the gut as well as CNS cognitive and emotional efferent modulation (1012).

Microbes can communicate with each other via metabolites, similar to those recognized by host cells and can thereby interact with gut ANS synapses (1255). Microbiota-derived neuromodulatory metabolites include tryptophan precursors and metabolites, serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT), GABA, and catecholamines (FIGURE 4). Multiple research groups (283, 690, 941, 1399, 1580) have demonstrated that the microbially modulated metabolite 4-ethylphenylsulfate is sufficient to induce anxiety-like behavior in mice. Indeed, the gut microbiota has been shown to modulate locomotor activity in Drosophila, probably via bacterial-derived metabolites (277, 1338). Furthermore, vagal sensory neuron activation via Campylobacter jejuni gut inoculation of mice resulted in direct activation of the neuronal activation marker c-Fos in the vagal sensory ganglia and the primary sensory relay nucleus for the vagus nerve, the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS) in the medulla oblongata (588). These findings indicate that gut autonomic nerves carrying sensory information that can signal directly to the brain upon local GI microbial metabolite stimulation.

Sympathetic gut innervation involves subclasses of postganglionic vasoconstrictor as well as secretion and motility suppressing neurons. Altered neurophysiology of sympathetic innervation results in altered GI transit, motility, and secretion, primarily via modification of cholinergic transmission and sphincter contractions on smooth muscle (556, 723). The size and quality of the intestinal mucus layer are believed to be mediated by sympathetic innervation where mucosal immune system modulation (479) and microbial composition and behavior alterations (commensal and pathogenic) were seen (957, 1255).

1. The vagus nerve and beyond

The vagus nerve is the 10th cranial nerve and the fastest and most direct route that connects the gut and the brain. Its name is derived from the Latin for wandering, due to its extensive innervation, which allows collection of information from different visceral organs (144). It is composed of 80% afferent and 20% efferent fibers (14, 1216), which tonically transmit vital information from the GI, respiratory, and cardiovascular systems (bottom-up), and provide feedback to the viscera (top-down).

The gut is innervated by the hepatic and celiac branches of the vagus nerve, with decreasing density moving caudally from the proximal duodenum, ileum, and the ileocecal junction as well as the remainder of the small and large intestines up to the level of the transverse colon (1584). Vagal afferents form three different types of connections in the gut: intraganglionic laminar endings and intramuscular arrays, which both end in muscle wall, as well as terminal axonal endings in the mucosa, and a recently described connection with a subset of enteroendocrine cells, now referred to as neuropods, which form synapses with vagal neurons (750). Depending on their location and type, vagal afferents are ideally suited to detect stretch, tension, or intestinal molecules such as bacterial by-products, gut hormones, or neurotransmitters. Due to the vast variety of receptors expressed on vagal afferents, they are thought to be polymodal, in that they respond to a variety of signals that are mechanical, chemical, or hormonal in nature (143). Nevertheless, evidence suggests that there are distinct populations and subpopulations of vagal afferents responding to specific stimuli, including stretch (1609) or gut hormones (471).

The vago-vagal anti-inflammatory reflex loop comprises vagal efferents originating mostly in the medullary dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus, which can modulate circulating levels of proinflammatory cytokines. Stimulation of the reflex can result in vagal modification of macrophage activation (1174), which is an important and well-documented mechanism involved in the pathophysiology of inflammatory bowel diseases (1082) (see sect. VIIIIM). A reduction in parasympathetically controlled intestinal transit has been associated with an increase in small intestinal bacterial overgrowth and bacterial translocation (1545). Moreover, pain responses in functional bowel disorders of the gut-brain axis such as IBS are associated with altered parasympathetic activity (283). Furthermore, a biphasic vagal activation consisting of gastro-vagal inhibition and sacral activation has been correlated with the incidence of acute stress (993) which potentially could lead to altered regional regulation of the microbiota.

Sensory vagal fiber cell bodies reside in the nodose ganglia and synapse on various nuclei of the brain stem. Vagal fibers from the GI tract mostly synapse bilaterally on the NTS; whereas afferents from the intestine synapse within the subnucleus commisuralis and medialis in the intermediate to caudal part of the NTS (40). From there information is relayed to other brain stem nuclei and forebrain structures (1260). Also, multisynaptic pathways ascending from the NTS link
information from the viscera with the entire brain. Most of the sensory vagal afferents are glutamatergic, where second-order NTS neurons express α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylisoxazole-4-propionate (AMPA), N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA), and metabotropic glutamate (mGlu) receptors. Expression patterns of these neuropeptides and the target region of the axons can be used to subcategorize NTS neurons, allowing the identification of NTS neurons responsible for specific emotional and behavioral responses (979). For example, activation of projections of CCK and immunopositive neurons to the parabrachial nucleus results in decreased food intake without influencing anxiety-related behavior (1277). In contrast, projections to the lateral hypothalamic area induce feeding behavior upon activation and projections from the NTS to the bed nucleus of the stria terminals and the central amygdala are involved in anxiety as well as fear and avoidance behaviors, respectively (161). Furthermore, neuronal projections to the nucleus accumbens and the basolateral amygdala are capable of modulating memory facilitation after physiological arousal (787, 1284). By either direct or multisynaptic projections, the NTS is capable of influencing major neurotransmitter systems such as norepinephrine (A2 cell group in the NTS and locus coeruleus), dorsal amygdala (nucleus accumbens, ventral tegmental area), and 5-HT (dorsal raphe). It appears that the NTS is well suited to coordinate the integration of interoceptive feedback transmitted via the vagus nerve from the gut to the brain and from the brain to the periphery, thereby acting as an excellent hub for microbiota-gut-brain signaling.

Studies utilizing vagotomy have clearly demonstrated the importance of constant bidirectional vagal signaling for appropriate brain function including host behavior. In humans, there have been older reports that ablation of the vagus nerve, a facet of gastrectomy formerly used for the treatment of peptic ulcer, resulted in an increase in the incidence of psychiatric-related disorders (222, 1604). Moreover, vagotomy reduced proliferation and survival of newborn cells, decreased the number of immature neurons (1117) and activation of microglia in the dentate gyrus (DG) of the hippocampus (1282), all symptoms that can also be found in psychiatric disease (see sect. VIII). In addition, subdiaphragmatic vagal deafferentation (1558), a method that eliminates abdominal vagal afferents but keeps most efferents intact (1107), highlights the involvement of vagal afferents in anxiety-like (elevated plus maze, open-field test, food neophobia) and fear-related behavior (auditory-cued fear conditioning) (810). Furthermore, it demonstrated an enhancement in reinforced left-right discrimination and reversal learning (812), sensorimotor gating (pre-pulse inhibition), and attentional control of associative learning such as conditioned taste aversion (811).

Consistent with the data collected on vagotomy, studies on vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) support the role of the vagus nerve in mood regulation. In humans, VNS is used for the treatment of refractory depression (211) in addition to chronic pain (270, 1250), Crohn’s disease (187), and certain epilepsies (839, 1183). VNS in rodent models has shown to increase adult hippocampal neurogenesis (609) and modulate the release of norepinephrine, 5-HT, and dopamine in brain regions related to anxiety and depression (211), as well as increase hippocampal BDNF expression improving depressive-like behaviors in chronic restraint stress animals (1367). Furthermore, a recent study in mice has shown that activation of GI vagal afferents influence reward behavior in mice (632), further reinforcing the concept that vagal signaling is involved in behavior.

Evidence that gut bacteria utilize vagal afferents to alter their hosts’ emotional and behavioral responses comes from studies observing changes in c-Fos expression in the cell bodies of vagal afferents following oral administration of Campylobacter jejuni (588). C. jejuni administration had previously been shown to induce anxiety-related behavior without initiating a systemic immune response (949). Moreover, vagotomy inhibited the effects of the prebiotic 2′-fucosyllactose in associative learning-related paradigms and hippocampal LTP (1558). Interestingly, animals subjected to subdiaphragmatic vagal deafferentation show altered gene expression in the nucleus accumbens (811). Furthermore, an increase in the concentration of indole in the gut, a microbial metabolite, has been linked to the activation of the vagus nerve (717), similar to findings which show that administration of L. rhamnosus increases the firing rate of the mesenteric nerve bundle, which contains vagal and spinal afferents (1185). Finally, recent studies in a genetic mouse model of autism (Shank3B−/− mouse) shows that the effects of L. reuteri on social behavior are no longer present in vagotomized animals (1356). Despite plenty of evidence implicating the vagus as a conduit for microbiome to brain signaling, it has thus far not been possible to map the neuronal networks underlying microbiota-gut-brain axis in detail, and more work will be required to disentangle such circuits.

B. Enteric Nervous System

At the interface between the microbiota and the host lies a network of neurons known as the ENS, positioned to respond either directly, or indirectly, to the microbiota and its metabolites. Broadly, the ENS is structured into two ganglionated plexi, the submucosal and myenteric plexus, and
is largely responsible for the coordination of gut functions such as motility and control of fluid movement (555). In the context of gut-brain signaling, the ENS communicates with the CNS via intestinofugal neurons to sympathetic ganglia with sensory information traveling via extrinsic primary afferent neurons that follow spinal and vagal afferent routes (555). These intrinsic and afferent neural pathways provide opportunities for factors derived from the gut lumen, and therefore potentially the microbiota, to influence not only gut function but also the CNS.

ENS structure and neurochemistry resemble that of the CNS, and therefore any mechanisms implicated in CNS dysfunction may also lead to ENS dysfunction (1240) or vice versa. While the development of the ENS occurs primarily during embryogenesis, key events such as proliferation of progenitor cells, differentiation of mature neuronal phenotypes and formation of functional neural circuits continue during the postnatal period (590), concurrent with the development of the gut microbiota (1623). For example, the density of ganglion cells decreases significantly across the first 3–4 yr of life (228). This provides a critical window for the microbiota to influence the ENS during critical neurodevelopmental periods. We have recently reviewed the mechanisms by which the microbiota influences ENS development and function which includes activation of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) including Toll-like receptors (TLRs), in particular, TLR2 and TLR4, which are involved in recognition of microbial molecules (700). Inferences on the role of the microbiota on ENS development can also be drawn from studies in GF rodents (700, 749) (see Table 2).

Regarding the expression of PRRs, the ENS has the molecular machinery to respond to viral RNA and LPS (the membrane component of gram-negative bacteria), through expression of TLRs 3, 7, and 4, respectively (98). Though an interesting observation, whether microbial factors can directly access the ENS, at least in a healthy state, remains unclear. Nonetheless, functionally, the absence of TLRs impacts functions dependent on the ENS. For example, TLR4-deficient mice display a decrease in fecal pellet output and stool water content, perhaps reflective of alterations in myenteric and submucosal plexus function, respectively (247), while TLR2-deficient mice display dysregulated small intestinal motility (224). A consistent finding across studies investigating members of the TLR family and the ENS have identified ultrastructural and neurochemical, as well as functional alterations between mice lacking TLRs, and their wild-type counterparts (700). Perhaps providing a more definitive role for the microbiota in this regard, GF mice also display significant ENS abnormalities in terms of ultrastructure and neurochemistry in the early postnatal period (325). These abnormalities observed in GF mice were not apparent in colonized animals, or following colonization with a defined bacterial consortia (ASF). This suggests that there is potential for particular bacterial species to play a role in determining the fate of ENS development and hints at the possible therapeutic application to offset detrimental factors which may negatively influence the trajectory of normal ENS development and function. In addition, GF animals also appear to display deficits in intrinsic sensory signaling, which one could infer might also consequently influence communication with the CNS. Moreover, this could be reversed upon conventionalization of the animals with microbiota from specific pathogen-free (SPF) donors (1027).

A recent study has provided mechanistic insight into how the microbiota might influence the ENS, implicating a role for 5-HT in this regard (414). Colonization of GF mice increased enteric neural 5-HT and expression of 5-HT4 receptors while colonization of GF mice lacking tryptophan hydroxylase 1 (TPH1) failed to restore enteric neuron numbers to those observed in GF and conventional Tph1 transgenic mice and decreased Nestin+ neural precursors, indicating reduced levels of neurogenesis (414). Moreover, colonization of GF mice in the presence of a 5-HT4 receptor antagonist negatively influenced the ENS. In contrast, stimulation of 5-HT4 receptors in GF animals had the opposite effect and restored normal gut physiology (414). This work provides a body of evidence implicating a role for microbiota-induced effects on 5-HT and its impact on the ENS, although there are subtleties in the findings that would suggest this pathway may differentially influence neurogenesis, differentiation, cell turnover, and gut function depending on the nature and timing of the interventions (Figure 4).

Antibiotic-induced disruption of the gut microbiota has also been applied as a method to determine the impact of this microbial community on the ENS and resulted in wide-ranging effects on ENS architecture (neuronal and glial), neurochemistry, and function (247) (see Table 3). Within enteric ganglia reside glial cells, which in antibiotic-treated animals were decreased (247), and evidence from GF animals suggests a more specific role for the microbiota in modulating mucosal enteric glial cell migration which was not restricted to the early postnatal developmental period (748). Notably, the density and proportion of substance P-containing neurons, a neurotransmitter involved in motor and sensory neurotransmission in the gut, were increased in response to antibiotic-induced disruption of the gut microbiota as was the density of TLR2, which when activated partially recovered deficits in gut function (247). These changes occurred concomitantly with a rebalancing of fecal bile acid profile reflected as increased levels of fecal taurocholic acid and a reduction of cholic acid in antibiotic-treated animals (247). However, whether such changes in bile acid profile are mechanistically linked to the structural and functional alterations in the ENS warrants further investigation. Notwithstanding this, in a mouse model of autism, not only was abnormal gut function observed, characterized by a constipation-like phenotype, ultrastructural...
and neurochemical changes in the ENS but also changes in fecal bile acid profile not dissimilar to those observed in antibiotic-treated mice, with elevated fecal taurocholic acid also observed in this mouse model of autism (593). However, shifts in the microbiota composition associated with stress and ENS abnormalities can occur independently of each other as observed in offspring of dams exposed to prenatal stress in which the most significant stress-induced changes in the gut microbiota failed to correlate with gut physiological and ENS parameters (592). Therefore, one must exert a degree of caution in interpreting concomitant changes in the microbiota and ENS as being intrinsically linked.

Microbial status, however, is not the only determinant of ENS function/activity, which also displays sensitivity to exposure to early-life stress (404). In a recent study, stress-induced alterations in ENS activity, characterized by stimulated acetylcholine release, was influenced by both maternal separation and the microbiota (404), thus providing evidence that the microbiota may underpin ENS-related gut dysfunction associated with early-life stress. At a single-cell level, GF status is accompanied by significant alterations in the electrophysiology and characteristic profile of enterohyperpolarization-type enteric neurons (intrinsic primary afferent neurons) reflected as decreased excitability and discharge (1025), which in the context of the microbiota-gut-brain axis may be especially important as these intrinsic afferent neurons may represent the first neural elements to sense changes in the microbiota with consequential effects on the gut and the brain. However, these single-cell changes may not necessarily manifest as changes in gut function, where secretomotor responses, and those stimulated by capsaicin, and presumably driven by the submucosal plexus, were comparable in colonic segments from GF animals and colonized controls (917).

In addition to displaying sensitivity to colonization with complex or simplified microbiota, the ENS also responds to specific bacterial strains, or components thereof, perhaps providing some insight into the microbial mechanisms which may affect ENS function. While some of these studies have taken a direct approach by stimulating enteric neurons with bacterial supernatants or conditioned media (793, 845), others have considered the epithelial barrier which separates the gut lumen from its underlying nervous system (30, 845). The latter approach has demonstrated that not only do different bacterial strains differentially influence enteric nerve activity but that they may do so by different mechanisms (981). Bacteroides fragilis, for example, likely as a consequence of its capsular exopolysaccharide, can influence ENS function while an L. rhamnosus strain (JB-1) does so via a G protein-coupled receptor-mediated pathway (981). Of note in this latter study, the authors show that the effects on the ENS are not dependent on diffusion of molecules from the epithelium to the myenteric neurons. Using a similar methodology, the same laboratory also demonstrated that the microbial-derived SCFA butyrate and epithelial-applied 5-HT could affect ENS activity providing some further insight into additional mechanisms by which the microbiota may interact with the ENS (845). Functional studies support that different microbial strains can differentially affect neurally-driven secretomotor responses (916). Further characterization of the mechanism underlying the effect of the L. rhamnosus strain (JB-1) on ENS function confirmed that an intact epithelium was required to mediate the effect of microvesicles derived from JB-1, which themselves recapitulated the effects of the strain per se on the ENS (30), indicative that epithelial elements may be an important intermediary in transducing microbial signals from the gut lumen to the ENS. The ENS is also central to facilitating changes in motility consequential to diet-microbe interactions (435).

Evidence now also suggests that there may be a reciprocal relationship between the ENS and the gut microbiota. Thus far, we have considered the impact of the microbiota on the ENS. However, the ENS appears to be able to exert control of the microbiota (1274). In zebrafish lacking an ENS as a consequence of a mutation in an SRY-related HMG-box (sox10 transcription factor gene), a “pro-inflammatory” microbiota profile developed, the effects of which could be ameliorated by transplantation of wild-type ENS precursors into sox10 mutants or by the introduction of “anti-inflammatory” microbes (1274). These data might challenge the dogma that alterations in the microbiota precede alterations in the ENS and thus lead to the proposal that changes in neural activity may precede alterations in the gut microbiota.

Abnormalities in the ENS are associated with life-threatening GI disorders including Hirschsprung disease and neuro-pathic chronic intestinal pseudo-obstruction (570). Also, the ENS has now been implicated in disorders of the CNS, including ASD, Alzheimer’s disease, and Parkinson’s disease, generally considered primary disorders of the CNS (1240). ASD is particularly noteworthy given the high level of comorbid GI symptoms observed (1240) (see sect. VIII A). Future studies are needed to fully appreciate the relative contribution of the microbiota in shaping the pathological effects of ENS dysfunction.

C. Immune System and Neuroimmunity

The GI tract harbors the densest concentration of immune cells in the body and is in constant communication with the trillions of microbes that inhabit our gut, either through direct physical contact or the release of secreted compounds (FIGURE 4). As such, one critical function of the single-cell layer of our gut is to limit the contact of intestinal microbiota with the visceral tissue, which it does by secreting a protective viscus mucus layer from goblet cells of the epi-
...This luminal-mucosal interface is where the majority of host-microbe interaction occurs, and the exchange of molecules through the mucous layer and epithelium serve to facilitate communication between the gut and the immune system through the recognition of self and non-self antigens (505), and thus to prime the immune system to identify potentially harmful pathogens.

In addition to providing a physical barrier, the epithelium contains a number of different cell types including enterocytes, secretory cells, chemosensory cells, and gut-associated lymphoid tissue (1213). The enterocytes express innate immune receptors and can release cytokines and chemokines, while the gut-associated lymphoid tissue utilizes lymphocytes to mount a more specific immune response (immunoglobulins). Chemosensory cells play a key role in defense against helminths (36), where secretory cells are involved in mucus release from goblet cells, the release of antimicrobials from Paneth cells, and the release of neuroendocrine compounds including ghrelin, somatostatin, CCK, peptide YY, and 5-HT among others from enteroenocdocrine cells (243).

Peptidoglycans, polysaccharides, and other antigens on bacteria that confer beneficial roles for the bacteria (protection against degradation) also allow the host immune cells to identify the numerous and diverse bacteria to the host, and to identify a change in the homeostatic balance of the gut. Epithelial PRRs recognize molecular patterns unique to bacteria and other microorganisms (pathogen-associated molecular patterns) (461, 1533) of which the TLR family is the most studied, and once activated can recruit inflammatory mediators, cytokine production, and chemokine-mediated recruitment of acute inflammatory cells (1455).

Along with activating the innate immune system, many commensal bacteria metabolites including neuromodulators, bacteriocins, bile acids, choline, and SCFAs are immunomodulatory (FIGURE 4). A growing body of evidence suggests that microbiota-host interactions at the level of the gut leads to the release of cytokines, chemokines, neurotransmitters, neuropeptides, endocrine messengers, and microbial by-products that can infiltrate the blood and lymphatic systems, or influence neural messages carried by the vagal and spinal afferent neurons to constantly communicate with the brain and update health status, and possibly regulate brain and behavior. However, it seems unlikely that any one of these classes of compounds is individually responsible for mediating all microbiota-gut-brain interactions, and these will be discussed individually in subsequent sections.

1. **Innate immune system**

The immune system is subdivided into two separate subsystems: innate and adaptive/acquired. Innate immunity is regarded as the body’s primary line of defense against potentially infectious organisms and involves the activity of cells derived from the myeloid lineage—monocytes and macrophages, basophils, eosinophils, and neutrophils, in addition to mast cells, platelets, and natural killer cells (1423). The gut microbiota influences the relative populations, migration, and function of various subsets of immune cells including helper T cells, regulatory T cells, mononuclear phagocytes, and innate lymphoid cells (458, 1283), and the mechanisms as to how a microbial population of the gut can modulate both the innate and adaptive immune responses at mucosal surfaces during infection, inflammation, stress, and autoimmunity are being unraveled (256, 477, 754, 1014, 1215). However, until recently, the interaction between microbes confined to the gut and immune cells of the brain had not been investigated.

As alluded to in earlier sections, microbiota-microglia interactions are receiving much attention of late as key mechanisms underlying microbiota-immune-brain interactions (3, 1243, 1576). The CNS-restricted microglia are innate sentinel immune cells that can detect subtle changes in the surrounding molecular milieu (162, 420, 663, 804, 1102, 1509), and are responsible for the mounting of neuroinflammatory responses (790, 1238, 1640). These resident cells compromising 5–12% of all cells in the brain are highly ramified and extremely plastic, and once activated can release a number of cytokines and chemokines, express numerous antigenic markers, regulate neurotransmitters, and undergo extreme morphological changes (1206). Cytokines and chemokines are chemical messengers involved in recruiting other immune cells to the vicinity, the repair of damaged tissue, and the restoration of homeostasis (275). Cytokines are generally classed as pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory, which facilitate or inhibit inflammatory processes, respectively, and both play an equally important role in the mounting of a suitable physiological neuroinflammatory response, and the return to homeostasis. Indeed, the balance between these anti- and pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemical messengers are key determinants in an appropriate defense of the host against infection or tissue damage.

Along with their established immune role in the CNS, the constitutively active microglia are critically involved in neuronal events at various stages in development and adulthood, including synaptic remodeling to improve neuronal network signaling (1327). Recently, it was determined that a diverse GI microbiota is necessary for the maintenance of, and maturation of, microglia in a healthy functional state (489). In contrast, the absence of a complex host microbiota (when using GF animals or antibiotic-treated mice) increased microglial populations, caused defects in microglia maturation, activation state and differentiation, altered microglia morphology (with longer processes and increased branching, terminal points and clubbing at synaptic boutons), and compromised immune response to bacterial or...
viral infection (489). These alterations in microglial phenotype were reversed with recolonization of gut microbiota, following 6 wk co-habitation of GF mice with control mice. These findings demonstrate that a healthy and diverse GI microbiota is essential for the continuous preservation of healthy microglia and proper brain function throughout our lifespans (359, 1486).

Aside from releasing cytokines and chemokines to recruit local immune cells, microglia also recruit monocytes from the periphery to the brain to aid in defense and cell debris clearing. While the multimodal influence of microbiota on physiological events at the level of the CNS has gained credence, more recently there has been a shift toward understanding the role of the microbiota in regulating neuroinflammation via intervention in the recruitment of local immune regulators (trafficking monocytes) from the periphery to the brain (1615). Evidence suggests that the trafficking of these monocytes to the brain appeared to be governed by tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α-mediated microglia activation (376) and was reversible in preclinical studies with probiotic intervention with VSL#3 (377). Indeed, the mediation of certain behavioral phenotypes as a consequence of prolonged HPA axis activation involves the trafficking of monocyte from the spleen (1615), a tissue with a high density of free fatty acid receptor type 2 (FFAR2) (489). These FFAR2 receptors are G protein-coupled receptors that have been localized to peripheral lymphocytes (1100). GI microbiota are responsible for the production of SCFAs, the natural ligands for FFARs, which were shown to reverse the detrimental effects on microglia density, morphology, and maturity in the absence of a complex microbiota (489). Taken together, these findings suggest that GI microbiota may govern centrally mediated events indirectly through regulation of monocyte trafficking to the brain and subsequent microglia activation, possibly via SCFA-mediated mechanisms.

2. Adaptive immune system

Adaptive immunity induces a specifically targeted response to pathogens and comprises cells originating in the lymphoid lineage (i.e., B and T lymphocytes). The adaptive immune system distinguishes itself by its specialization, specificity, memory, regulation, diversity, and tolerance (1554). Furthermore, these cells are also capable of secreting both pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines (296). The adaptive immune system develops postnatally and is, therefore, critically shaped by exposure to microbes. Furthermore, disease states such as allergy and asthma and/or the immune hypothesis of depression/schizophrenia are linked to the disruption of microbes and immune function.

Over the last decade, efforts have been made to increase our understanding of the potential role the adaptive immune system plays in the brain and behavior (46, 522, 1068). Recent intriguing studies in recombination activation gene 2 knockout (Rag2) transgenic mice, which lack mature B and T lymphocytes, showed that adaptive transfer of lymphocytes from stressed mice reduced anxiety, increased social behavior, and increased hippocampal cell proliferation compared with those receiving no cells or cells from unstressed donors (206), and that transferred lymphoid cells infiltrate the choroid plexus and the meninges (1330). Recently, intraepithelial lymphocytes (CD4CD8αα–double-positive T cells specifically) were shown to require the presence of L. reuteri, in combination with a tryptophan-rich diet, to reprogram intraepithelial CD4+ T cells into immunoregulatory T cells (268), evidence that gut microbiome influences interacts with the adaptive immune system. Furthermore, Drosophila social behavior appears to be regulated through immunoregulation and microbiota maintenance (277). This finding reveals that adaptive immune cells might be mediating the behavioral response to stress, thus representing an interesting novel pathway, which needs to be further explored.

Interestingly, adaptive immunity and the intestinal microbiota also seem to be acting synergistically towards modulating homeostasis. IgA plasma cells from the gut are able to access the CNS in the induction of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis multiple sclerosis mouse model, and that these cells suppress inflammation in a pathway that involves IL-10 (1272). In Rag2 transgenic mice, adaptive immunity influences microbiota composition (563). A link between stress, the microbiota, and the adaptive immune system has been illustrated in a recent study examining the impact of chronic stress on long-lasting altered levels of IL-10+ T regulatory cells (146). The expression of IL-10 was associated with an increased abundance of Clostridium, therefore reinforcing the hypothesis that microbes in the gut, the adaptive immune system, and the CNS may be working synergistically. Subsequently, it was shown that the impairment in the adaptive immune system in Rag1 transgenic mice is linked to alterations in cognition and anxiety-like behavioral tasks, which were ameliorated by treatment with a combination of L. rhamnosus and L. helveticus. This study, therefore, establishes that lymphocyte deficiency results in impairments in behavior, which can be normalized by probiotic treatment, implicating a specific role of adaptive immunity in the microbiota-gut-brain axis (1390). Adaptive immunity has also been shown to respond to specific bacterial antigens that are modulated by dietary components, suggesting that dietary modifications could be a therapeutic avenue for human disease such as IBD (1598). A link between the adaptive immune system, interferon (IFN)-γ signaling in the meninges, and social behavior was also illustrated (523). This study showed how IFN-γ acts as a link between meningeal immunity and neural circuits recruited for social behavior. Given the importance of the microbiome in shaping social behavior (see sect. VII B), further studies should focus on the possible role of the microbiome in shaping such immune-brain communication.
D. Enteroendocrine Signaling

Though enteroendocrine cells (EECs) represent only 1% of epithelial cells in the GI tract, they are critically important for the maintenance of gut homeostasis due to the pleiotropic effects of their secreted signaling molecules. Ten different types of EECs have been described so far, all of which are sensory cells, coordinating changes in the gut-nutrient luminal content with metabolic and behavioral responses, such as the regulation of insulin secretion or food intake (606). Two of the best understood EECs are enteroendocrine L cells and enterochromaffin cells which are abundant in the distal small and large intestines, where the majority of bacterial taxa reside. They can establish direct contact with the luminal constituents via the apical surface, including bacterial metabolites. They have a long lifespan (181, 1518), potentially enabling them to integrate into the local signaling network of the ENS, glia and immune cells of the GI submucosa.

1. Enteroendocrine L cells

Enteroendocrine L cells secrete glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and peptide YY (PYY) in the postprandial state. Both peptides are potent anorexigenic hormones involved in the modulation of eating. The receptors to these peptides are expressed locally in the gut enteric neurons and vagal afferents, as well as in the CNS, including the brain stem and hypothalamus (408, 1258). GLP-1 and PYY can stimulate satiation and inhibit eating, acting either directly on the hypothalamic centers of appetite control, or indirectly via the vagal-brainstem-hypothalamic pathway (860, 1418). Which of these pathways is more important for the effects of peripherally released hormones on food intake is still not fully understood. Notably, a new route of communication between L cells and the ENS has recently been discovered (180, 181, 750). A basolateral cytoplasmic process of the L cells, the neuropod, was shown to establish a functional synaptic contact with the enteric glia and vagal afferents. The existence of synaptic connections between L cells and the ENS suggests that the EECs signaling from the gut to the brain can respond more precisely and much faster than we initially thought and that the CNS can have a tuning effect on the L cells.

In the proximal gut, the release of GLP-1 and PYY is activated mainly by luminal nutrients, providing a postprandial spike of peptide release. For instance, L cells can sense carbohydrates via the sodium-coupled glucose transporter (SLC5A1) (597, 1249), long-chain fatty acids via FFAR1 and FFAR4 receptors (470, 670), and monoacylglycerols via the GPR119 receptor (1249). Strikingly, in the distal gut, the activation of L cells is triggered almost exclusively by bacteria-derived metabolites. Moreover, SCFAs can stimulate the secretion of GLP-1 and PYY through the FFAR2 and, to a lesser extent, the FFAR3 receptor (900, 1221, 1499). Bacteria-derived secondary bile acids (litho-, cholic acid, deoxycholic acid) can activate the G protein-coupled bile acid receptor Gpbar1 receptor (TGR5) in L cells, promoting the peripheral release of GLP-1 and PYY (774, 1487, 1529). Indole was shown to induce GLP-1 secretion (285). Furthermore, bacterial LPS were recently shown to enhance GLP-1 secretion, suggesting the involvement of TLRs in L cell activity (855, 1095).

Because bacterial fermentation of nondigested nutrients continues between meals, bacterial metabolites can support the activity of colonic L cells and the secretion of anorexigenic hormones for many hours after a meal (606). This basal secretion of GLP-1 and PYY is thought to play an important role in the control of food intake, body weight, and metabolism since obese individuals are generally characterized by decreased serum levels of both GLP-1 and PYY (408). Changing the fermentation capacity of the gut microbiota through chronic dietary supplementation of prebiotics or probiotics was quite successful in decreasing food intake and body weight and improving glucose tolerance in preclinical models of obesity and diabetes (see TABLES 4 AND 5; for further details, see review in Ref. 1203). Some prebiotics, a polysaccharide inulin, as well as FOS and GOS were shown to increase the production of both GLP-1 and PYY (242, 498). Certain Lactobacillus strains were capable of stimulating GLP-1 production both in vitro and in vivo (94, 1154, 1377). Altogether, these findings clearly demonstrate the importance of bacterial metabolites and adequate diet in the maintenance of metabolic health, and that gut microbes can regulate the secretion of GLP-1 and PYY.

Although EEC L cells are perhaps the best-studied component of the host-microbe dialogue at the enteroendocrine interface other protagonists have also emerged. Autoantibodies directed against the peptide α-melanocytestimulating hormone (α-MSH), which is involved in appetite control, have been discovered in both healthy individuals and in subjects with eating disorders (519, 520). Many of these antibodies represented the IgA class, which points to an intestinal origin of such antigens. This was a genuinely intriguing discovery because it raised the possibility that across evolution, in close interactions with the host, bacterial taxa could have acquired protein mimetics of mammalian appetite-regulating hormones. This endows gut bacteria with a powerful tool to control nutrient supply by manipulating host food intake. A few years later, a caseinolytic protease B (ClpB) heat shock protein was discovered in gut commensal E. coli, an antigen mimic of α-MSH which confirmed this hypothesis (1471). Moreover, it was shown that ClpB plasma levels were elevated in a variety of eating disorders, and its concentration has been correlated with various psychopathologic traits (215). Moreover, chronic intragastric delivery of ClpB-expressing E. coli in mice stimulated the production of α-MSH-reactive antibodies and decreased food intake (217, 1471). Interestingly, peripheral α-MSH was shown to trigger the release of PYY and GLP-1.
from EEC L cells in the gut via activation of the melanocortin 4 receptor (1149). This suggests PYY and GLP-1 could mediate the effects of bacterial ClpB on satiety. These ground-breaking findings posit that evolution of molecular mimicry between gut bacteria and the host (1129) can affect host eating behavior. Most intriguingly, humans seem to carry autoantibodies to some appetite-regulating peptides including PYY and ghrelin (520), and the corresponding bacterial antigens, as well as their physiological role, have yet to be unraveled.

2. Enterochromaffin cells

Enterochromaffin cells (ECs) produce the majority of 5-HT in the body from dietary tryptophan (see also sect. IV.E). 5-HT activates a diverse family of receptors on intrinsic and extrinsic afferent nerve fibers in the GI tract and mediates many GI functions including intestinal peristalsis, electrolyte secretion, pain perception, and inflammatory responses (1007). Unlike L cells, interactions between gut microbiota and ECs are much less understood.

Taking advantage of GF mice, recent studies have shown that specific gut bacterial strains, such as the spore-forming clostridia taxa, can upregulate the expression of colonic TPH1 (the rate-limiting enzyme in the production of 5-HT), boosting 5-HT biosynthesis in the gut, and increasing intestinal transit in the host (1248, 1645). It is unclear whether this was a direct interaction of bacteria with ECs or indirect stimulation of ECs with bacterial metabolites. However, a single intrarectal injection of deoxycholic acid (a secondary bile acid produced by clostridia) could partially replicate the effects of the bacteria (1645). Interestingly, earlier findings suggest that secondary bile acids can mediate the effect of gut bacteria on 5-HT synthesis in the host. ECs were shown to express TGR5, and administration of deoxycholic acid increased 5-HT secretion in colonic tissue of the wild-type, but not Tgr5 transgenic mice (33). There is also evidence that bacterial LPS can increase 5-HT secretion via TLR4 activation in ECs isolated from Crohn’s disease patients, but not from healthy subjects (794).

Variations in intestinal 5-HT production are unlikely to have a direct effect on the brain because 5-HT cannot cross the BBB (457). The availability of tryptophan for the brain, as a source for 5-HT synthesis, is also partially determined by the metabolism of tryptophan along the physiologically dominant kynurenine pathway (785) (see sect. IV.E). However, by modulating vagal afferent activity (1007) and inflammatory responses in the gut (1357), 5-HT released from EC cells can potentially have an impact on gut-brain signaling. Perhaps the best-known example of such an interaction is during chemotherapy-induced nausea and emesis, caused by a massive release of intestinal 5-HT and consequent activation of vagal afferents in the gut (561). More recently, altered 5-HT signaling has been associated with IBS (1006) (see sect. VIII.M). Moreover, in a mouse model of ASD (the BTBR T+ Itpr3/J mouse) (see sect. VIII.A) (828, 1036, 1075), a strong association between the reduction in the relative abundance of Blautia species from Clostridiales order and a decrease in 5-HT production in the gut was observed (593). Further studies utilizing specific bacterial strains with pro-5-HT activity (such as bile-metabolizing clostridia) are required to shed more light on the role of gut microbiota and intestinal 5-HT in gut-brain communication.

Adding to the complexity of this task, it is worth noting that EC-produced 5-HT is not only secreted towards the intestinal submucosa, but a substantial amount of 5-HT is continuously released in the apical direction, which can be easily detected in the gut lumen (1168). Furthermore, gut bacteria were shown to deconjugate host-produced catecholamines via the β-glucuronidase enzyme pathway, and thus generate free luminal 5-HT (64, 645). The physiological consequences of these processes, either for the host or the microbiota, are not yet known or will be the subject of future studies.

E. Neurotransmitters

Microbial endocrinology is becoming an important concept in advancing our knowledge of the microbiota-gut-brain axis, helping move our understanding from correlation to causation (942). One of the main facets of the concept of microbial endocrinology is based on the shared neurochemical language that exists between host and microbe. It has been long understood that microbes are capable of producing neurochemicals that we typically associate with mammalian organisms. Landmark studies in the 1990s were the first and demonstrate that bacteria respond to host neuropeptide signaling molecules (947, 948), including norpinephrine and epinephrine, and that the microbiota can affect host behavior via the gut-brain axis (949). Since that time, the microbiota has been shown to synthesize and respond to several key neurochemicals (e.g., 5-HT, GABA, among others) that are involved in host mood, behavior, and cognition. Many of these host- and microbial-derived neuroactive molecules are also important signaling molecules in host-microbiota interactions at the intestinal interface.

1. Catecholamines

Catecholamines play diverse roles in host physiology, ranging from the stress-induced fight-or-flight response (1415) to influencing gut integrity (1028) and affecting host motivational behavior as well as decision-making (1473). Likewise, norpinephrine and epinephrine induce wide-ranging responses in bacteria, including the promotion of pathogenesis and growth (945). The catecholaminergic system was one of the first demonstrated to mediate host-microbe crosstalk, and holds important clinical implications for
both animals and humans (943). Although it was previously accepted that susceptibility to illness following acute stress was a consequence of a suppressed immune system, it was shown that the host production of norepinephrine caused the induction of bacterial virulence genes, thereby driving infection and mortality (942). Norepinephrine signals these changes in bacteria via several methods, including possible receptor-based mechanisms. At increasing levels within the host, norepinephrine exerts a chemotactic effect on bacteria, which can increase bacterial migration toward the host intestinal mucosa (950). Second, catecholamines can behave as siderophores, which cause the release of iron from host-iron sequestering proteins, increasing the availability of iron for bacteria, thereby enhancing bacterial growth (548). Also, norepinephrine can act as a quorum sensing molecule to increase the expression of bacterial virulence genes through interaction with bacterial quorum sensing histidine kinase (371, 1070). In GF rodents, circulating norepinephrine concentration is higher compared with conventional rodents (807), and within ileal, cecal, and colonic lumens, dopamine, norepinephrine, and epinephrine are detectable (64).

Enteric nerves contain the requisite genetic machinery to synthesize dopamine and norepinephrine, but lack phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase, the enzyme that converts norepinephrine into epinephrine (336). This is an important consideration because the microbiota, and in particular the bacterial enzyme β-glucuronidase, have been demonstrated to play a critical role in converting host norepinephrine and dopamine from a biologically inactive to a biologically active form (64). It was recently demonstrated that certain bacteria contain plasma membrane monoamine transporter and organic cation transporter proteins which enable the transfer of extracellular norepinephrine into the bacterial cell (944).

A variety of bacteria, many of which are found within the human GI tract, are recognized to produce catecholamines that are identical in chemical structure to those produced by the host. Evolutionary adaptations, including late horizontal gene transfer (711), have been proposed to explain bacterial metabolic pathways of neuroendocrine molecules. Briefly, members of the genus *Escherichia*, including human gut commensals, are known to produce catecholamines, such as norepinephrine (1369). *Bacillus* are likewise known to produce norepinephrine in addition to dopamine (1514). Although several host cell types in the GI tract are responsive to catecholamines (124), it is largely unknown how catecholamines of bacterial origin influence host physiology.

### 2. GABA

Both host and bacteria have the capacity to convert the amino acid glutamate to GABA (1391, 1432), the major inhibitory neurotransmitter of the host nervous system. Indeed, *Escherichia* spp. (1257) and *Lactobacillus* spp. (1385) have been demonstrated to synthesize GABA. Additionally, several fermented foods used by *Lactobacillus* spp. also contain millimolar levels of GABA, such as the Chinese paocai (885). Interestingly, certain *Lactobacillus* spp. identified in fermented foods are also known to synthesize glutamic acid (1661). Although the importance of the bacterial production of GABA in the host intestinal lumen is unclear, GF mice exhibit significantly lower luminal GABA levels compared with mice that have a microbiota (999). Interestingly, it has been shown that the probiotic *E. coli* strain Nissle 1917 (EcN) can produce a GABA associated analgesic lipopeptide, C12AsnGABA OH (1184). They were able to demonstrate that the addition of the C12AsnGABA OH moiety to GABA facilitated diffusion across the epithelial barrier, allowing the activation of GABA receptors on sensory neurons. It is currently unknown if this is a common feature of other microorganisms in the GI tract. In a study examining *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Bifidobacterium* spp. isolated from the human GI tract, five strains were found to convert GABA from monosodium glutamate (108). Recently, evidence from the Human Microbiome Project has suggested the fecal microbiome contains the capacity to encode glutamate decarboxylase, the enzyme that converts glutamic acid to GABA (1208). The oral administration of *B. dentium*, a GABA-producing bacterial species isolated from human feces, was shown to inhibit visceral hypersensitivity in rats, suggesting functional insight into the in vivo function of bacterial-produced GABA (1208). Likewise, bacteria express receptors capable of sensing extracellular GABA (623). It is therefore likely that host production of GABA can influence the microbiota. Indeed, the administration of GABA to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* culture has been demonstrated to increase the organism’s virulence (379). Further studies are required to reveal the functional dynamics and importance of glutamate and GABA as mediators of host-microbe crosstalk.

### 3. Histamine

Histamine is a biogenic amine that is synthesized from histidine via histidine decarboxylase. This enzymatic pathway is conserved among mammals and certain species of bacteria, and therefore represents an important area of host-microbe communication (88). In mammals, histamine plays several roles in host physiology including modulating wakefulness (1480) as well as a diverse array of immune functions. Several host immune cell types synthesize histamine, including mast cells and gastric enterochromaffin-like cells (50), which are found in the GI mucosa, are at the interface of host-microbe interaction. Mucosal mast cell degranulation within the gut can affect host intestinal integrity (1312) and has been related to visceral pain (100). The bacterial production of histamine has long been recognized as an important food safety concern (330). Interestingly vagal afferents can respond to histamine (779), suggesting a route by which microbial-derived histamine may interact with the
host nervous system. Although the microbiota contains bacterial species capable of synthesizing histamine, it is not yet clear how this influences host physiology. For example, commensals *Morganella morganii* and *E. coli* have been shown to produce biogenic amines (1222), including histamine (121, 803). Indeed, the human fecal microbiota is enriched with bacterial species that express the histidine decarboxylase gene, suggesting a capacity to synthesize histamine within the host gut (102). Although little is known about how the microbial production of histamine in the host gut affects host physiology, in vitro evidence has shown histamine produced by *L. reuteri* suppressed human monocyteoid production of TNF-α via the TLR (1489). Perhaps most interestingly, *L. reuteri* was shown to modulate host gut immune function (517), as well as intestinal inflammation via the histamine H2 receptor (565). It is clear that histamine functions as a neuroendocrine-immune mediator of host-microbe crosstalk; however, how host histamine may impact microbial function remains to be uncovered.

4. Serotonin, tryptophan, and kynurenine

Serotonin (5-HT) is a neurotransmitter produced from tryptophan via the enzyme TPH. The capacity to synthesize 5-HT from tryptophan is widely conserved among mammals and several bacterial species (88). In mammals, 5-HT plays diverse roles ranging from modulation of host behavior to impacting GI motility as well as influencing bone remodeling and erythrocyte health (1409). Although EC cells of the GI tract are responsible for over 95% of the body’s 5-HT production (540), the gut microbiota are understood to impact the host GI serotonergic system (645, 1118). Indeed, it has been long appreciated that the bacterial species *Clostridium perfringens*, a member of the human and rodent microbiota, modulates gut production of 5-HT (116). It was recently demonstrated that *C. perfringens* modulates host colonic 5-HT synthesis via host TPH1 (1645). Since microbial products such as SCFAs modulate host TPH1 (1248), there may be microbial regulation of host GI motility via the gut serotonergic system (574). Likewise, 5-HT concentrations in the cecal and colonic lumens are significantly reduced in GF mice (645). The conventionalization of GF mice with a microbiota resulted in increased concentrations of intestinal luminal 5-HT. Moreover, in the same study, the conjugated, biologically inactive form of 5-HT was found at a higher percentage in GF mice, whereas the unconjugated, biologically active form of 5-HT was found in greater concentration in conventionalized GF mice. Indeed, transporter-based mechanisms for the update of extracellular 5-HT were recently highlighted in a probiotic strain of *Lactobacillus*. As a neuroendocrine signal of host-microbe crosstalk, 5-HT has been shown to modulate bacterial motility and induce the expression of virulence genes in bacteria via a quorum-sensing mechanism (151, 816). GF animals exhibit increased circulating tryptophan levels, which are corrected following colonization with a microbiota (308). This was associated with elevated hippocampal 5-HT, which was not corrected by colonization. GF rats, on the other hand, have reduced circulating 5-HT levels (1606), as well as reduced 5-HT in the hippocampus (357). As the serotonergic system is involved in several human behavioral and physical maladies (see sect. VIII), it has become ever more important to understand how the microbiota influence 5-HT along the microbiota-gut-brain axis.

Tryptophan can be diverted away from 5-HT production, into the kynurenine pathway. Indeed, it has been estimated that ~90% of available tryptophan is funneled towards the production of kynurenine (1118, 1292). This activity consists of several enzymatic steps that can differ depending on tissue type. For example, indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase (IDO-1) is found in most tissues including the intestine, and tryptophan-2,3-dioxygenase (TDO) is found in the liver (82). Kynurenine and its metabolites, including kynurenic acid and quinolinic acid, have been implicated in mental health (269, 1340). In GF mice, there is reduced metabolism of tryptophan along the kynurenine pathway, which is likely partly responsible for the increased circulating availability of tryptophan (308, 785). Importantly, hepatic TDO is strongly regulated by glucocorticoids (385, 1127), while IDO is immune responsive. Since the microbiota modulates host circulating glucocorticoids and their production in response to stress (1434), as well as educating the immune system, the microbiota may indirectly affect host kynurenine production via glucocorticoid control of liver TDO (82, 1115) or immune-based IDO activation (1433). Moreover, a correlation was seen between the reduction of *L. reuteri* in mice following chronic stress with an increase in serum kynurenine concentrations, mediated via a proposed mechanism based on bacterial-regulation of GI IDO via H2O2 production (986). This is consistent with the fact that kynurenine pathway enzymes such as IDO are sensitive to the redox environment (594).

In addition to the metabolic pathways leading to the production of 5-HT and kynurenine, microbial-derived indole derivatives of tryptophan are increasingly recognized as vital in the crosstalk between microbiota and host (17). For example, indole production from the bacterial metabolism of L-tryptophan can result in diverse changes in host physiology. It can be further metabolized by the host and/or microbial pathways into biologically active metabolites (871). Indeed, indole has been demonstrated to affect host intestinal epithelial barrier integrity (97), modulate intestinal inflammation (849), and protect against mortality following chemically induced colitis (1366), as well as positively affect host longevity (1403). In human feces, indole is detectable at micromolar concentrations (387), thereby warranting increased translational investigation of indole effects on host-microbe crosstalk in humans. Recently, microbial metabolites derived from tryptophan have been implicated in microglial control of astrocytes in the CNS (453).
Indole-3-propionic acid and other biologically active compounds are produced in the liver from microbiota-derived indole, supplied via hepatic portal circulation (871). Indole-3-propionic acid has also been reported to be produced by Clostridium sporogenes (453) and is usually undetectable in GF animals. GF mice were found to only have indole-3-propionic acid following colonization with C. sporogenes (1606). Indole-3-propionate has been shown to affect host intestinal inflammation and to be reduced in patients with active colitis (35). Also, indole-3-propionic acid may affect other aspects of host physiology, including glucose metabolism (6).

Isatin, another bacterial and host metabolite of indole (583), has been demonstrated to affect anxiety-like behavior in rodents (717). Interestingly, isatin is detectable in a range of host tissues, including human cerebral spinal fluid and the hippocampus (1029), and has been shown to be elevated in patients diagnosed with bulimia nervosa (218). Although isatin has been reported in micromolar concentrations in both blood and host tissues (1029), the precise details of the pathway control points (be they microbial, host, or at the host-microbe interface) leading from microbial-produced indole to the subsequent potential impact of isatin on host health, brain, and behavior, such as anxiety, remain unclear. Indole metabolites have also been recognized to act on the aryl hydrocarbon receptor, a xenobiotic receptor distributed throughout the GI tract (871). Microbial-endocrinology-based media has recently been developed to study the production mechanisms of microbial neuroendocrine signals within different host-simulated environments (1566) and may help shed some light on this important issue.

In addition to bacterial-derived indole and its byproducts, the gut microbiota is also associated with the production of tryptamine from tryptophan, a monoamine similar to 5-HT, and at least 10% of the human population have the necessary gut microbiota decarboxylases for this reaction (1611). Although there are data from neuropharmacological and electrophysiological studies to suggest that it might activate postsynaptic receptors for tryptamine independent of those for 5-HT, its CNS function remains unclear (743). Much attention has been directed towards the GI tract, in which tryptamine activates the 5-HTT receptor expressed in the colonic epithelium to control colonic transit (150, 358).

F. Branched Chain Amino Acids

Branched chain amino acids (BCAAs), such as valine, leucine, and isoleucine, are considered essential amino acids because they cannot be synthesized de novo and must be obtained from the diet. They participate indirectly and directly in a variety of biochemical functions in the peripheral and central nervous systems (220, 515, 1407). These include protein synthesis, insulin secretion, energy production, brain amino acid uptake, and immunity in humans and animals. In addition, BCAAs are considered key nitrogen donors involved in inter-organ and intracellular nitrogen shuttling. The liver and skeletal muscle play a role in inter-organ shuttling of BCAA nitrogen, whereas in the brain intercellular shuttling is predominant (1407). Although vital for normal physiological function, excessive amounts of BCAAs are considered toxic and can cause severe tissue damage, especially to the CNS, as evidenced from the neuropathology associated with maple syrup urine disease, an autosomal recessive metabolic disorder affecting BCAA levels (1031). Furthermore, disruption of BCAA levels by branched chain ketoacid dehydrogenase kinase and SLC7A5 mutations has been shown to have extensive implications for survival and function of several neuronal circuits (1109).

BCAAs and other large neutral amino acids are transported quite readily across the BBB for transamination or delivery to neurons (333, 1128). However, the influx of leucine exceeds that of all others (1394, 1657). BCAAs also act as a regulator to promote intestinal development, nutrient transporters, and immune-related function.

Gut bacteria produce a higher proportion of BCAAs relative to the other amino acids, but whether this influences host BCAAs availability remains to be determined (381, 1091), although a number of amino acids are altered in GF animals (1606). Microbial-derived BCAAs include valerate, isobutyrate, and isovalerate. Notably, these bacterial metabolites have been shown to influence epithelial physiology and the mucosal immune system of the host (169, 1329). It has been shown that the addition of BCAAs to tryptophan yeast extract increases the yield of BCAAs in Clostridia (482), suggesting that amino acids can be used for SCFA and BCAA production by gut bacteria. Interestingly, supplementation with a BCAA cocktail has been shown to promote well-being and extend the lifespan of mice (374) similar to the benefits conferred by caloric restriction (614, 1535). A recent study showed that caloric restriction could establish a structurally balanced composition of the gut microbiota in mice indicating a potential association between caloric restriction, BCAA supplementation, and the gut ecosystem (1665). Furthermore, BCAA mixture supplementation has been shown to influence gut microbiota and metabolism (1644). At 15 mo of age, BCAA mixture-supplemented BALB/c mice compared with the control group were shown to display decreased Bacteroidetes and increased Firmicutes phyla (1644). The decrease of Bacteroidetes phylum was related to reduced LPS-binding protein leading to reduced inflammation in BCAA mixture-supplemented mice (1644). Other findings have reported a strong relationship between changes in Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes ratio and obesity (257). Interestingly, previous studies have found that BCAA supplementation modulates the expression of endogenous intestinal β-defensin, which...
can regulate porcine LPS (1251). Consistent with the role BCAAs play in inflammatory disorders, acute amino acid starvation was reported to suppress intestinal inflammation via a mechanism dependent on the serine/threonine-protein kinase general-control-nonderepressible 2 (GCN2), an amino acid deficiency sensing enzyme (1241). More recently, the role of amino acids was associated with assessing seizure susceptibility. Specifically, it was demonstrated that the restriction of peripheral ketogenic amino acids is necessary for mediating microbiota- and ketogenic diet-dependent increases in seizure resistance (1133).

G. Bile Moieties

Bile acids are best known for facilitating the absorption of dietary lipids and lipid-soluble vitamins from the gut lumen. Primary bile acids are synthesized in the liver from cholesterol:cholic acid and chenodeoxycholic acid in humans, and cholic acid and α/β-muricholic acid in mice (1295). After conjugation with either taurine or glycine, primary bile acids are released into the intestine to assist with lipid digestion and are recycled back into the liver (1488). Bile acids have been recognized as potent and versatile signaling molecules in and around the GI tract. By activating nuclear farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and plasma membrane TGR5 receptor, bile acids were shown to regulate systemic lipid, cholesterol, and glucose metabolism, as well as energy and immune homeostasis (238, 397, 1488).

Recent advances in deciphering genome and metabolic capacities of the gut microbiota have shown bidirectional communication between the host bile system and gut bacteria, potentially leading to neural modulation. On the host side, bile acids help to limit the expansion of the bacterial population within the GI tract. Deficits in luminal bile acid levels have been associated with small intestine bacterial overgrowth, activation of inflammation, and subsequent damage to the epithelium (702, 922). Bile acids can exert antimicrobial effects directly, due to their membrane-solubilizing properties (119). Furthermore, by activating FXR signaling, bile acids can induce the expression of antimicrobial defense genes in the host [such as the inducible isoform of nitric oxide synthases (iNOS) and the antibacterial lectin RegIIIγ] protecting the gut from epithelial deterioration and bacterial translocation (702, 745). These findings suggest that bile acids act as an important component of intestinal antimicrobial defense.

On the bacterial side, selective pressure of conjugated bile acids has favored the expansion of bile-metabolizing bacterial taxa in the human gut. Strikingly, all major gut-associated bacterial divisions, including Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, and Bacteroidetes taxa, were shown to express bile salt hydrolase (BSH) enzymes which allow deconjugation of bile acids from taurine and glycine (120, 741). Bacteria with BSH activity have benefited from enhanced tolerance against bile and better survival in the gut environment (120, 433). The expansion of microbial BSH activity has increased the diversity of bile acids in the host (744). Deconjugated bile acids are less effectively reabsorbed from the small intestine and thus can leak into the large intestine, as recently reviewed (655). Numerous studies have explicitly demonstrated that bacteria-mediated transformation of host bile has a notable impact on host physiology, regulating bile acid and cholesterol metabolism, host energy balance, and weight gain (641, 1169, 1324, 1578).

From the perspective of microbiota-gut-brain signaling, the role of bile acids in the regulation of barrier function and immune status of the GI tract is of particular interest. Activation of TGR5 has generally been associated with anti-inflammatory effects and protective features towards the intestinal epithelium (1313, 1594). The beneficial effects of TGR5 activation are at least in part mediated through direct action on the GI immune system (155, 298, 643, 701). Multiple reports regarding TGR5 function (559, 641, 702, 1557) have indicated that a very fine balance between host- and microbiota-produced bile acids is required to support epithelial barrier function and control the inflammatory response in the intestine. Maintenance of epithelial integrity is critically important not only for the gut but also for brain health (see sect. VIII). To this end, alterations in gut microbiota composition which result in changes to the repertoire of bile acids present can have an impact on the gut-brain axis signaling via the inflammatory route of communication.

In support of this, it was recently shown that deficient bile acid deconjugation was associated with impaired intestinal barrier function and behavior in a mouse model of ASD (the BTBR T+ Ipr30/J mouse) (593). Moreover, such changes were coincident with a substantial reduction in the relative abundance of bile-metabolizing bacterial taxa (Bifidobacterium and Blautia). An understanding of the bile-metabolizing capacities of specific probiotic strains would be important in parsing the relationships between bile and the brain. However, even though the two of the most widely used probiotic genera, Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus species, demonstrate on average very high BSH activity, there are only scarce data available on their impact on host bile metabolism. For example, the multispecies VSL#3 probiotic (carrying Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium strains) was shown to enhance bile acid deconjugation and upregulate hepatic bile acid synthesis via the intestinal FXR/FGF15 signaling pathway (417). BSH-active L. reuteri NCIMB 30242 reduced cholesterol levels and increased plasma bile acid levels in hypercholesterolemic subjects (994).

Intriguingly, some recent evidence indicated that bile acids can affect the brain function directly. First, it has been shown that bile-induced activation of FXR/FGF signaling in the ileum can suppress hypothalamic agouti-related pep-
by hepatocytes, resulting in 1–15 μM concentrations of propionate and butyrate in circulation, whereas acetate is found within a range of 100–200 μM (173, 366, 1192). This is supported by reports showing that exogenously administered SCFAs are metabolized in the same SCFA-specific preferential manner (i.e., butyrate > propionate > acetate) (178). As such, only acetate has been detected in cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) at approximate concentrations of 35 μM (1088), whereas no data on human physiological concentrations of propionate and butyrate in the brain or CSF have been published to our knowledge.

1. SCFA uptake and metabolism

SCFAs can diffuse through epithelia as nonionized SCFA (996), or through transporters such as the pH-dependent hydrogen-coupled monocarboxylate transporter 1 and 4 (780, 1457), the sodium-coupled monocarboxylate transporter 1 (1055), and, specifically for butyrate, the liver organic anion transporter 7 (1368). In the cell, SCFAs are mainly metabolized via the Krebs cycle as an energy source. This subsequently boosts mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), which is known to be an ATP and a homeostatic cellular energy sensor (426) that has been shown to be implicated in brain physiology (1122) and behavior (1638).

2. Epigenetic modulation of SCFAs

All SCFAs induce histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitory effects, with butyrate being the most potent inhibitor of class I and IIa HDACs (317, 393). In addition, acetate can be converted to acetyl-CoA, which leads to increased histone acetylation (1400). Finally, SCFAs have recently been implicated in histone crotonylation, even though the relevance to brain physiology and behavior needs to be further investigated (509).

Butyrate has been used as a tool for investigating the role of HDAC inhibition in brain physiology and behavior (for a comprehensive review covering this topic, see Ref. 1429). This is usually achieved by acute intraperitoneal or subcutaneous administration of supraphysiological doses of butyrate, resulting in an immediate burst of increased histone acetylation. As such, studies using this methodology might not necessarily translate to gut microbiota-derived butyrate. These studies revealed that such an acute dose of butyrate enhances (re-)learning and memory, decreases depressive-like and perseverative behavior, and increases sociability in a mouse model of ASD (210, 527, 841, 881, 1339, 1513). It is also interesting to note that using this method of administration results in a stresslike effect and increased anxiety-like behavior (560, 617). This might be explained by the fact that FFAR3 is expressed in the peripheral nervous system, activation of which results in increased sympathetic nervous system activity (806).

H. Short-Chain Fatty Acids

Perhaps the most examined gut microbial-derived metabolites are SCFAs, of which more than 95% consists of acetate, propionate, and butyrate. SCFAs have been implicated in a variety of host processes including GI function (581), blood-pressure regulation (1204), circadian rhythm (1450), and (neuro)immune function (490), even though their role in brain physiology and behavior has received less attention. Nonetheless, decreased fecal SCFA levels have been reported in various disorders where brain physiology and behavior are changed, including anorexia nervosa (1073) and Parkinson’s disease (1531). In animal models, decreased gastrointestinal SCFA levels have been associated with Alzheimer’s disease (1670) (see sect. VIII) and in some studies chronic stress (974). Conversely, in humans, increased SCFA levels have been associated with obesity (513, 1232, 1342), chronic psychosocial stress in children (1037), and ASD (1589). However, a more recent report demonstrated decreased fecal acetate and butyrate levels in children with ASD (910). Such data implicate the potential of SCFAs to be a key player in microbiota-gut-brain axis communication, which we will explore in this section.

The primary source of SCFAs is the microbial fermentation of specific host-indigestible dietary fibers (114, 958), which is supported by the fact that GF animals and antibiotic treatment results in drastically lower SCFA levels (81, 687, 1146, 1675). Other sources of endogenous SCFAs include the breakdown of proteins by the microbiota (1646), host metabolism of long-chain fatty acids and pyruvate into acetate (820), as well as the consumption of alcohol (1315). Finally, minor amounts of SCFAs can be attained by the consumption of fermented foods (581). Levels of SCFAs in human feces are ~60 g/kg for acetate, 10–20 g/kg for propionate, and 3.5–32.6 g/kg for butyrate (958, 1022), which is in line with the typically cited 60:20:20 ratio (424). Gut-derived SCFAs are absorbed by the host epithelium, after which butyrate, in particular, is used as an energy source for colonocytes (314, 629). The remainder of butyrate, as well as the majority of propionate, is subsequently metabolized

3. SCFA receptors

SCFAs can activate several G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR), of which free fatty acid receptor 2 (FFAR2, also referred to as GPR43) and 3 (FFAR3, also referred to as GPR41) are the most investigated (221, 864, 1100). Expression of both receptors has been reported in the colon, various immune cells, and the heart. Only FFAR2 is expressed in adipocytes and skeletal muscle, whereas FFAR3 is expressed in the peripheral nervous system and the BBB (689, 824). No expression of FFAR2 has been reported in the brain to our knowledge (1105).

A lesser-known colonic GPCR activated by butyrate is the receptor for niacin: hydroxycarboxylic acid receptor 2 (1481). Another receptor activated by butyrate is the olfactory receptor family 51 subfamily E member 1 (OR51E1 for humans; olfactory receptor 558, Olfr558 for mice) (1219). Importantly, since both receptors are activated by butyrate in higher micromolar to millimolar concentrations, it is unlikely that circulating butyrate could activate either of these receptors in non-gut or -liver tissue. The only one activated by acetate and propionate is the GPCR olfactory receptor family 51 subfamily member 2 (OR51E2 for humans; olfactory receptor 78, Olfr78 for mice) (1205), which has been detected in the brain of rodents, but not humans (1656). It is clear that more work is needed to understand the relative contribution of these receptors especially at key time windows across the lifespan where their expression may vary, to microbiota-brain signaling.

4. SCFA-induced enteroendocrine signaling

FFAR2, FFAR3, OR51E1, and Olfr78 are expressed by colonic enteroendocrine L cells (530, 765, 766, 1219, 1468), of which FFAR2 and FFAR3 activation results in the secretion of GLP-1 and PYY into the peripheral circulation (282, 463, 1221). Also, SCFA signaling stimulates the production of PYY in enteroendocrine cells, which is mostly mediated by HDAC inhibition (856). Importantly, acute delivery of propionate to the human colon using an unlabelled propionate ester resulted in increased PYY and GLP-1 levels (271), even though this was not replicated in a later study (231). Interestingly, an infusion of acetate into the human distal, but not proximal, colon also results in increased circulating PYY, stressing the importance of spatial differences across the colon in regard to SCFA-induced enteroendocrine signaling (1543).

Another well-studied hormone affected by SCFA-induced signaling is leptin, of which the secretion from adipocytes is enhanced following SCFA stimulation (1637, 1660). In addition, administration of butyrate to fasting mice results in elevated levels of GLP-1, GIP, PYY, insulin, and amylin. The same was reported for propionate regarding GIP, insulin, and amylin, whereas no effects were observed in acetate-treated mice (900). These data may implicate the diurnal variation of anorexigenic hormones (518), as SCFA levels also show a diurnal variation (876, 1450), potentially involving SCFA-driven changes in anorexigenic hormones in daily host eating behavior. Interestingly, long-term supplementation of tributyrin, a butyrate prodrug, to high-fat diet (HFD)-induced obese mice, resulted in an amelioration of heightened leptin, resistin, and insulin levels associated with obesity (1568). In diet-induced obese, low-density-lipoprotein receptor transgenic mice, butyrate decreased insulin levels (60). As such, the acute administration of propionate and butyrate led to an increase in various anorexigenic hormones, while long-term supplementation decreased levels of such hormones in models of diet-induced obesity. Importantly, it has been reported that acetate promotes glucose-stimulated insulin and ghrelin secretion as well as obesity (1191), which is in line with some reports indicating that obesity is associated with increased SCFA levels (513, 1232, 1342). As such, more research is needed regarding the mechanisms by which SCFAs affect host metabolism and endocrine systems, to achieve a better understanding of the exact role SCFAs play herein under conditions of increased endogenous SCFAs and SCFA supplementation.

Initial reports illustrated that SCFAs can stimulate the secretion of the neurotransmitter 5-HT into the lumen of the gut, as well as into the vasculature (553). Later it was shown that the central, but not the oral or caudal compartment, of the colon secreted 5-HT in response to SCFAs, highlighting once again the importance of spatial differences across the colon (607). In addition, butyrate induces the secretion of 5-HT in vitro, which was not the case for acetate (1645). Conversely, SCFAs failed to stimulate 5-HT secretion from primary mouse enterochromaffin cells (989). Furthermore, SCFAs stimulate colonic TPH1 gene expression indicating increased 5-HT production (1248, 1645). Overall, more research is needed to be performed on the mechanisms as to how SCFAs affect colonic, systemic, and CNS serotonergic signaling, with an emphasis on spatial differences across the colon, including the 5-HT receptors, seeing that acetate has been shown to decrease 5-HT3B expression (149).

5. SCFA-induced vagus nerve activation

It is well established that SCFAs can activate the PNS, where FFAR3 receptor has been detected in the enteric neural plexus, the portal nerve, and autonomic and sensory ganglia (415, 752, 1105, 1617). Also, Olfr78 has also been detected in the PNS (1205). Locally, in the gut, FFAR3 is expressed on nitricergic and cholinergic enteric neural plexuses (751, 752). Interestingly, butyrate can increase the proportion of myenteric cholinergic neurons through HDAC inhibition (1404), indicating that SCFAs might play a role in the homeostasis of ENS physiology. Particularly important for gut-brain axis signaling, activation of FFAR3 on the vagus nerve innervating the portal vein results in increased neuronal activity in the dorsal vagal complex, para-
brachial nuclei, and hypothalamus (415). Oral administration of butyrate to fasting mice results in decreased neuronal activity in the NTS and dorsal vagal complex, as well as increased activity of orexigenic NPY-positive neurons in the hypothalamus, indicating a dynamic regulation of SCFAs on hypothalamic neuronal circuitry (891). Overall, it is clear that SCFA-induced vagus nerve signaling results in the activation of various neurons in the CNS. However, more research needs to be performed investigating which specific neuronal populations are activated by SCFA-induced vagus nerve signaling throughout the brain and how this relates to behavior.

6. SCFAs and host systemic immunity

SCFAs have been implicated in the first line of defense between the microbiota and host intestinal barrier permeability. First of all, SCFAs can enhance the mucosal barrier through the stimulation of mucus production (101, 526, 747, 1608), which is likely mediated through FFAR3 (1300). In addition, SCFAs have been demonstrated to alleviate impairments in epithelial barrier integrity by stimulating tight junction assembly (1182, 1502, 1586). Furthermore, SCFAs have been shown to modulate immune cells themselves (335, 798). These include the regulation of neutrophil chemotaxis and inflammation (864, 1565, 1567), the suppression of cytokine production from myeloid cells (1090, 1159) and by regulating T regulatory, T helper 1, and T helper 17 cell differentiation (31, 65, 1158, 1393, 1459). Overall, SCFAs influence the immune system in an anti-inflammatory manner.

7. SCFAs: direct effects on the brain?

Current research indicates that gut microbial-derived SCFAs might be able to affect brain physiology through direct interactions. Specifically, acetate has been reported to be present in the cerebrospinal fluid, and gut microbial-derived acetate has been shown to reach the brain and trigger PNS signaling (1088, 1191). It is therefore interesting to note that acetate signaling in the hypothalamus promotes an expression profile of regulatory neuropeptides that favor appetite suppression (551).

Considering the relatively low levels of propionate and butyrate in the circulation, whether these SCFAs can directly impact the brain is still very much uncertain. However, it is important to note that a propionate concentration as low as 1 μM can affect the BBB, indicating that physiologically relevant SCFAs could potentially impact the brain (689). Notably, a study tracing radiolabeled butyrate in primates found that only 20% remained after 5 min post-administration, with the brain only taking up less than 0.006% (805). As such, more research needs to be performed to validate whether gut microbial-derived propionate and butyrate can directly affect brain physiology.

8. Gut-derived SCFAs, brain physiology, and behavior

As stated earlier, SCFAs have been shown to ameliorate deficits in microglia morphology and immaturity as seen in GF mice (489). In addition, FFAR2 transgenic mice have severely malformed microglia even though microglia do not express FFAR2, indicating that FFAR2 plays an indirect role in microglia function and homeostasis (489). GF mice also have increased BBB permeability, which can be rescued by SCFAs (208). Interestingly, the latter study also reported that butyrate rescued deficits in histone acetylation in the frontal cortex of GF mice (208). This is in line with previous reports showing that butyrate supplementation in drinking water increased brain histone acetylation (192, 1049).

Pigs supplemented with butyrate have increased hippocampal granule cell layer volume, hippocampal neurogenesis, and altered hippocampal glucose metabolism (1534). In addition, 9-mo-old, but not 12-mo-old, diet-induced obese, low-density-lipoprotein receptor transgenic mice have decreased hippocampal cerebral blood flow and functional connectivity, spatial memory, and increased hippocampal microglia activation, all of which were rescued by butyrate supplementation (60). Acute and targeted administration of propionate to the human colon using an inulin-propionate ester results in a reduced anticipatory reward response to high-energy foods in the striatum (231). Furthermore, intrarectal administration of propionate in rats undergoing chronic unpredictable mild stress ameliorates deficits in reward-seeking and anxiety-like behaviors (886). Also, pigs supplemented with butyrate have increased glucose metabolism in the nucleus accumbens (1534).

Overall, data from GF mice indicate that SCFAs play a role in the maintenance of homeostasis within the CNS. In addition, particularly the hippocampus and striatum seem to be affected by gut-derived SCFAs, indicating that SCFAs may play a modulatory role in learning and cognition (60, 1534), as well as reward-associated behaviors (231, 1540). A recent study has shown that supplementation of a mix of the principal SCFAs (i.e., acetate, propionate, and butyrate) in drinking water ameliorated long-lasting psychosocial stress-induced HPA axis hyperactivity, intestinal permeability, and alterations in anhedonia in mice (1540). Also, SCFAs decreased anxiety- and depressive-like behavior in selective behavioral tests, which was not present when mice had previously undergone psychosocial stress. This was accompanied by decreased gene expression of the mineralocorticoid receptor in the hypothalamus, hippocampus, and the colon, as well as decreased CRF receptor 1 and 2 in the colon. Such data further implicate SCFAs in stress-related disorders and reinforce the role SCFAs play in microbiota-gut-brain communication (1540).

It is worth noting that not all of the effects of SCFAs on behavior have been positive. For instance, increased SCFA levels have been implicated in the pathophysiology of Par-
kininson’s disease in mice (1304). In addition, intraventricular infusions of propionate result in increased repetitive behaviors, impaired sociability, as well as epileptic and convulsive responses and are therefore used as a reliable model of ASD (955, 956). As such, the authors of these latter studies hypothesized that one of the underlying mechanisms in ASD pathophysiology is an overproduction of propionate by the gut microbiota, resulting in elevated propionate levels in the brain and contributing to the ASD phenotype (955). However, more research is needed to understand whether propionate can influence the brain directly and whether this results in the same phenotype as that found in intraventricular propionate infusion-induced ASD.

I. Spinal Mechanisms

From an anatomical perspective, it is usually described that there are two distinct neuroanatomical routes for neural signaling from the intestine to the brain with the non-painful (satiety, distension, motility, and other homeostatic functions) mediated predominantly by vagal/pelvic innervation as described previously and the painful sensory stimuli conveyed by splanchnic innervation (1563). However, it must be stated that the sensory tone of these signaling pathways is not mutually exclusive and that physiological events relating to the status of the gut including distension, motility, inflammation, pain, pH change, cellular damage, or temperature (219) can also be transmitted through spinal splanchnic innervation via NTS of the brain stem (292, 1250) to higher centers in the brain.

Stimuli associated with pain, inflammation, discomfort, and injury are carried through spinoreticular, spinomesencephalic, spinohypothalamic, and spinothalamic tracts to the brain (1044), and the specific role of the GI microbiota in visceral pain response will be discussed in section VIII. The spinoreticular tract projects to the dorsal reticular nucleus in the brain stem to regulate the affective-motivational component of pain. The spinomesencephalic tract relays information about the intensity and location of the painful stimulus to the periaqueductal gray and other brain regions. In contrast, the spinohypothalamic tract communicates with the hypothalamus, which together with other neuroanatomical loci coordinates emotional, autonomic, and behavioral responses to the nociceptive information, mediating the sensation of pain, heat, cold, and touch (1044). The thalamus functions as a relay station where multiple somatic and visceral information converge. Once the information has been processed, further inputs are conveyed to cortical regions including the anterior cingulate cortex, the insula, and somatosensory cortex to be interpreted as a painful stimulus. Once the nociceptive information has been processed, the descending pathways (from brain to spinal cord) can exert an inhibitory or facilitatory effect on the sensation of pain. However, under normal physiological conditions, at the level of the spinal cord, the neurons involved in nociception are under “gated” control. Until a certain threshold of stimulation is surpassed, these neurons are quiescent, and no nociceptive information is propagated to supraspinal sites.

J. Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis

The HPA axis is one of the main neuroendocrine systems in the human body and one of the key non-neuronal routes of communication within the microbiota-gut-brain axis. It is best known as the principal neuroendocrine coordinator of the response to stress (1009). Upon disturbance of homeostasis, corticotrophin releasing factor (CRF) is released from the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus, stimulating the release of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) from the anterior pituitary (FIGURE 4). This hormone is secreted into systemic circulation and targets the adrenal cortex, ultimately resulting in a release of glucocorticoids (416). Once in systemic circulation, they are distributed throughout the body. In the brain, glucocorticoids interact with high-affinity mineralocorticoid receptors and lower affinity glucocorticoid receptors (662, 1395, 1516). The primary function of the HPA axis activation is to prime the body for the “fight or flight” response (1009). One of the main outputs is negative feedback in which glucocorticoids act back on the hypothalamus and pituitary gland inhibiting adrenal secretion. At the same time, the activity of the PVN is regulated by multiple afferent sympathetic, parasympathetic, and limbic circuits (1395).

1. Connecting the microbiota to the HPA axis

The seminal research linking the gut microbiota to the HPA axis has come from work with GF mice (see TABLE 2). In response to restraint stress, male GF mice exhibited a hyperresponsive HPA axis, characterized by elevated levels of plasma corticosterone (308, 1434), suggesting that the exposure to microbiota has a specific timeframe of functionality (1434). Alterations in hippocampal NMDA and 5-HT1A receptor mRNA expression have also been recorded in GF mice (1092). Both of these receptors are known to influence CRF release from the hypothalamus, and changes in their expression may contribute to altered HPA function in GF animals. In humans, patients with irritable bowel syndrome had exaggerated ACTH and cortisol responses to CRF infusion (444) together with an altered microbiota (475, 605). It is clear that although the microbiome regulates the HPA axis, the opposite is also true. In animal models of stress with HPA axis alterations, there are marked changes in microbiota composition (see sect. IVJ and TABLE 2).

2. Dialogue between the HPA axis and other pathways of microbe to brain communication

The HPA axis interacts with other non-neuronal as well as neuronal pathways of communication between the gut
and the brain. Interestingly, there is interplay between the vagus nerve and the HPA axis. In rodents, vagal stimulation increased the expression of CRF mRNA in the hypothalamus (685). Furthermore, plasma levels of ACTH and corticosterone were strikingly elevated after vagal stimulation.

Immunity–HPA axis interactions are implicated in a variety of stress and inflammatory disorders; thus it is not surprising that such cross-talk may also affect microbiota-gut-brain signaling. In animal models of psychological stress, gut permeability is increased, and translocation of native bacteria into the host occurs (421). Activation of the mucosal immune response via exposure to bacteria and bacterial antigens beyond the epithelial barrier induces pro-inflammatory cytokine secretion and ultimately activates the HPA axis. In the absence of the resident microbiota, members of the TLR family have low or absent expression profiles in the gut, thus compromising appropriate neuroendocrine responses to pathogenic threats (1116). In TLR4 transgenic mice, activation of the HPA axis in response to gram-negative bacteria is absent (601). Although much has been learned from these and other important studies linking the microbiota to HPA activity, it is evident that more scrutiny needs to be placed on this influential relationship.

K. Peptidoglycans

PRRs, as their name suggests, detect distinct microbial patterns or structures of microorganisms such as proteins, lipids, glycans, and nucleic acids (among others) known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (1229), for example, LPS on the surface of gram-negative bacteria and peptidoglycan (PGLN) on the surface of gram-positive bacteria (1030). Consisting of sugars and amino acids, PGLN are a critical part of most bacterial cell walls. The thickness of the bacterial cell wall is governed by the thickness of the peptidoglycan. In gram-positive bacteria, the cell wall can be between 20 and 80 nm thick, whereas in gram-negative bacteria it is much thinner, measuring 7–8 nm (340). Throughout evolution, multicellular organisms were required to develop the capacity to differentiate self from non-self; given the large number of microorganisms in the environment, the host had to evolve a controlled and specific structural recognition of these bacterial cell wall components (1271, 1573). Similarly, PGLN is a large polymeric molecule which structurally is similar between organisms, but critically the core sugar backbone is made of repeating disaccharides, which vary significantly between bacterial species (1335, 1573). This sugar backbone is also cross-linked with amino acid side chains, which can be cross-linked in different conformations, adding an additional layer of complexity (1573).

The innate immune system has evolved to detect both complete and fragmented peptidoglycan using numerous PRRs both intracellularly and at the cell surface (775, 1030). There are four peptidoglycan recognition proteins (PGLYRPs 1–4) which bind to peptidoglycan in bacterial cell walls via recognition of the muramyl pentapeptide (or tetrapeptide) structures (1291). Interestingly, PGLYRPs are also capable of binding LPS using different binding sites to those used for binding PGLN, meaning they can bind to both gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial cell walls (1291). The exact method by which PGLYRPs induce cell death through binding to PGLN is unclear, but it is thought to be facilitated by the activation of enzymes that induce oxidative and stress response cell suicide (770, 771). Interestingly, a recently discovered anti-inflammatory protein, Micro Integral Membrane Protein, found on the surface of L. plantarum, has been shown to enhance gut barrier function and can modulate microbiota and inflammatory cytokines (1651).

The gut microbiota is an important source of PGLN where it translocates from the mucosa to the systemic circulation under physiological conditions (312). In the circulation it has been found to interact with bone marrow-derived neutrophils, a cell not normally observed in the gut, indicating that PGLN may be present also at body sites far removed from the gut (57). Interestingly, these studies revealed that PGLNs and their receptors from commensal microbes resident in the gut were present in the brain of normal developing mice. The PRR nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein-1 (Nod1) which identifies meso-2,6-diaminopimelic acid-containing PGLN was recognized as the key regulator of the systemic effects of PGLN. Moreover, these results suggest that PGLN can cross the BBB under normal conditions and that viral or bacterial infection is not required for this breach. PGLYRP-2 was highly expressed (along with Nod1) in neurons, implying that PGLN may influence neurons directly (57). While PGLYRPs have predominately been implicated in innate immunity, their role in behavior has also recently been explored. In the bacterial peptidoglycan sensing molecule Pglyrp2-transgenic mouse, major sex-dependent alterations of motor and anxiety-like behaviors in aged mice were observed. Furthermore, there were subtle alterations of synaptic-related gene expression in brain regions associated with the processing of emotional stimuli (56). These data suggest that bacteria are sensed by the innate immune system and may affect brain function and development.

V. MICROBIOTA AND SYNAPTIC PLASTICITY

Neuroplasticity is an important aspect of neural function in both the GI tract and the brain and, as a result, should be a crucial consideration when examining microbiota-gut to brain communication processes. However, the extent that the microbiota can exact long-lasting neuroplastic changes
in the host’s gut or brain is understudied, and currently unresolved. In the following section, we examine what the current state of the field is and identify important future potential avenues of study.

One important and well-characterized method of measuring any potential long-term effects of microbial influence on the innervation of the gut, and neural network of the brain, is through the measurement of synaptic plasticity. Plasticity specifically refers to a long-lasting change to a system, with a specific functional purpose. Plasticity of the synapse involves alteration of the synaptic strength, where increased or decreased neuronal activity results in long-lasting changes in synaptic weights distributed throughout a network (172). Much work has been performed studying synaptic plasticity of the CNS, but recently it has become ever more apparent that neuronal plastic changes also occur in the ENS (422, 918). In the following section, we will examine what is known and what future directions are most compelling.

### A. Synaptic Plasticity in the CNS

From initial observations by Ramón y Cajal over a century ago (742), to the development of Hebb’s rule in 1949 (649), with first experimental evidence emerging from seminal work by Eric Kandel and colleagues soon after (232, 258), investigating synaptic plasticity has long been at the forefront of our quest to understand the mechanisms of the working brain. CNS synaptic plasticity specifically refers to the cellular molecular and physiological processes underlying cognitive and emotional behavioral phenotypes such as learning and memory (86). In the brain, activity-dependent modification of the synapse is believed to be pivotal in involved in the brain’s capacity to assimilate transient experiences to form perseverant memory engrams (299). Here, an activity-dependent persistent strengthening of synaptic efficacy is described as long-term potentiation (LTP) (963). In the widely studied unidirectional trisynaptic excitatory pathway of the rodent hippocampus (817), any significant enhancement in synaptic efficacy lasting at least an hour after a conditioning stimulus is believed to be LTP (1099). LTP is used as an indicator of healthy brain function and is impaired in many rodent models of neurodegenerative disease (1099). Furthermore, deficits in LTP usually accompany cognitive dysfunction (890, 902, 1605), and it is regarded as a reliable, functional readout for neurophysiological processes.

A change in the state of plasticity can involve presynaptic, postsynaptic, or extrinsic factors modifying the synapse. These changes can be short-lived, resulting in a more dynamic synaptic state, or long-term, resulting in a change in synaptic efficacy that can last for several months if not longer (758). Synaptic plasticity in the brain is particularly vulnerable to disruption by factors that alter cognition in neurological and psychiatric disease. Examples of processes that involve alteration of synaptic plasticity include synaptic remodeling (1690), synaptogenesis (118), axonal sprouting/pruning and dendritic remodeling (1348), as well as (neurogenesis) and recruitment (564), many of which have been shown to be influenced by gut microbes (984). Most importantly, any sensory, motor, inter-, or CNS neuron-neuron connection is a synaptic connection. Therefore, any mechanism that can alter the plasticity of the synapse can be considered as having a potential impact on the phenotype associated with the synapses involved; in the CNS neuronal synapse, this includes cognitive and emotional phenotypes. For example, the hippocampus is highly responsive to glucocorticoids (937), which can be metabolized by the microbiota (1259) into the steroid and pro-hormone 11β-hydroxy-androstenedione (11β-OHAD) (183, 1259). Hormone binding to receptors in the CA1 region of the hippocampus leads to multiple cellular responses, including changes in synaptic function, and, in excess, neuronal injury (279). Many models of microbiota perturbation have been used in the study of synaptic plasticity and cognitive function. However, in totality, this area has lagged behind other physiological or behavioral readouts in parsing the role of the microbiome in brain function.

1. **Germ-free animal studies of CNS and the implications for synaptic plasticity and behavior**

GF animals have been utilized in examining the involvement of the microbiota in CNS synaptic plasticity (see Table 2). However, for perhaps logistical reasons for setting an electrophysiological rig within a germ-free setting, there has been limited direct plasticity measures published. Nonetheless, GF animals have altered expression of genes implicated in neurophysiology in the amygdala (1428) and hippocampus (276). This ultimately leads to an altered transcriptional profile, combined with a marked increase in immediate-early gene (Fos, Egr2, Fosb, Arc) expression in the amygdala (674), as well as enhanced expression of gene splicing factors and alternative exon usage (1427). A recent study, utilizing the GF mouse model, linked maternal diet, gut microbiota imbalance, and ventral tegmental area plasticity with behavior modifications (222).

BDNF is an important plasticity-related protein. It has been shown to be involved in synaptogenesis (368) and neurogenesis (1456), as well as restoring LTP in aged rats when overexpressed (1252; but see Ref. 469). Moreover, BDNF is believed to play an important role in anxiety and depression in humans and has been reported to be expressed in lower than normal levels in the GF mouse cortex and hippocampus (308, 437). The gut microbiota have been shown to directly moderate brain stem and hypothalamic expression of BDNF, where conventionally raised mice differed significantly from GF mice (1331). Moreover, studies from Gareau et al. (569) showed BDNF expression as measured by immunohistochemistry was decreased in the CA1 hippocampal brain area in GF stressed mice compared with
stressed controls. GF studies also show that microbes can also regulate NMDA receptors (NMDAR) (1092), and these proteins have an important role in brain development and neural plasticity (134, 1123, 1434). Further studies into microbiota-driven modulation of neuronal NMDAR and BNDF expression are warranted.

2. Antibiotics in animal studies of the CNS and implications for synaptic plasticity and behavior

As previously stated, antibiotic-induced ablation of the gut microbiota in rodents results in long-term effects on neurochemistry and behavior (272, 429, 615, 1092) (see TABLE 3). In a recent long-term treatment regime, application of a broad-spectrum antibiotic for 7 wk (1060) resulted in behavioral deficits in the hippocampus-reliant novel object recognition (NOR) task as well as decreased neurogenesis. These deficits were corrected with adoptive transfer of Ly-6ch monocytes into antibiotic-treated animals, coupled with access to a running wheel (voluntary exercise), or probiotic treatment. In another study, 2 wk of antibiotic cocktail administration resulted in marked changes in hippocampal BDNF tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB) signaling, transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) phosphorylation, and overall heavily reduced hippocampal CA3-CA1 synaptic activity. This was somewhat ameliorated by treatment with the probiotic L. casei DG probably via a gut anti-inflammatory mechanism (615). Results such as these demonstrate that neurogenesis (1125, 1323), apoptosis, and synaptic pruning, and hence synaptic plasticity, can be regulated by microbiota signaling.

3. Prebiotics, probiotics, and CNS implications for synaptic plasticity and behavior

A number of studies have shown that administration of pre- or probiotics can alter components of neuroplasticity (see sect. II and TABLES 4 AND 5). Indeed, the prebiotic B-GOS elevated rat brain NMDAR levels (1319) and enhanced cortical responses to PFC-NMDAR activation. The electrophysiological phenotype induced by the prebiotic was consistent with improved behavioral attentional set-shifting and increased cortical glutamate [NMDA] receptor subunit epsilon-2 (GRIN2) A/B-containing populations of NMDARs (610), rather than increased cortical glutamate levels per se (1319). Furthermore, prebiotics and probiotics were recently shown to act therapeutically in middle-aged rats, improving spatial memory (1281).

The potential for coordinated hypothalamic synaptic plasticity modulation by a probiotic combination has also recently been shown. With the combination treatment of B. longum (R0175) and L. helveticus (R0052) on neural plasticity genes, hypothalamic BDNF was increased whereas growth-associated protein 43 (GAP43), glial fibrillary acid protein (GFAP), vimentine (Vim), synaptotagmin (Syt4), 25-kDa synaptic-associated protein 25 kDa (Snap25), and cell adhesion markers [Reln, semaphorine (Sema)] were all decreased relative to a stressed control cohort (19). Hippocampal NMDAR expression was also increased in the DG and CA1 following daily consumption of the probiotic L. fermentum strain NS9, which also enhanced radial maze memory compared with antibiotic-treated rat (1591). In a seminal in vivo, hippocampal electrophysiology experiment, neurophysiological and behavioral impairments in a diabetic rat model of diabetes mellitus were rescued with the probiotic treatment of L. acidophilus, B. lactis, and L. fermentum (389).

A novel probiotic formulation of bifidobacteria (SLAB51) partially restored deficits in autophagy and the ubiquitin-proteasome system, two neuronal proteolytic pathways that influence neuroplasticity in a mouse model of AD (3xTg-AD) (190). Moreover, chronic administration of L. rhamnosus (JB-1) induced region-specific alterations in GABA<sub>B1b</sub> and GABA<sub>A</sub><sub>α2</sub> mRNA (209). Furthermore, L. rhamnosus and B. longum reversed antibiotic-induced reductions in GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor α5 and δ subunits in the juvenile rat hippocampus (893). One further study found that L. reuteri could reverse observed social behavior deficits and restore hypothalamus oxytocin immunoreactive neurons to control levels as well as correct deficits in synaptic plasticity (1685).

Interestingly, memory dysfunction observed following short-term colitis, induced via infection with Citrobacter rodentium, was prevented following daily probiotic (mixture composed of an L. rhamnosus and L. helveticus) administration (569). These changes were coincident with changes in BDNF and c-Fos modulation in the hippocampus. Synbiotic approaches (combining specific potential probiotics with prebiotics) have also been utilized to investigate the effect of microbiota on synaptic plasticity. In a combined pre/probiotic study, L. casei and inulin fed rats enhanced 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> mRNA levels in the DG and CA1 areas of the hippocampus in healthy juvenile rats, indicating an early behavioral change as a direct result of synbiotic administration (107).

Multispecies approaches have also been used to examine brain plasticity modulation via microbiota. A recent study utilized a probiotic mixture comprising eight different gram-positive bacterial strains, VSL#3, which is believed to have an anti-inflammatory effect (800, 1048, 1247) and reduce pain in an animal model of visceral hypersensitivity (450). VSL#3, which contains Streptococcus thermophilus DSM24731, B. breve DSM24732, B. longum DSM24736, B. infantis DSM24737, L. acidophilus DSM24735, L. plantarum DSM24730, L. paracasei DSM24733, L. delbrueckii subspecies Bulgaricus DSM24734, was shown to rescue age-related deficits in LTP (451). VSL#3 was also able to modulate the expression of a cohort of genes in the cortex.
B. Synaptic Plasticity in the ENS

As previously mentioned (sect. IV), the ENS encompasses a large part of the ANS. Due to the organization and structure of the number, morphology, and neurochemical nature of the ENS networks within the ANS, it is believed to be more analogous to the CNS than other networks within the peripheral nervous system (576, 1496, 1618, 1619). Much like the CNS, the ENS maintains its capacity to adapt and reorganize its synapses throughout the host’s lifetime, similar to synaptic plasticity in the CNS (422, 918). It has been shown that enteric ganglia share some morphological similarities to CNS astrocytes (731), which lie adjacent to enteric neuronal cell bodies, which are very much like glia in the CNS (1653).

One specific example of ENS plasticity includes increased sensitivity at sensory nerve terminals in the gut, either directly or indirectly, by both chemical and mechanical stimuli (613). It is possible that bacterial infections can enhance pain signaling and induce a plastic state in the gut by directly activating sensory neuron afferents and modulating inflammation (286). It is believed that plasticity of a heightened pain response, known as central sensitization, contributes to chronic pain. The processes are remarkably similar to LTP in the hippocampus where increased synaptic transmission, and hence sensory neuronal plasticity, can be promoted via the application of glutamate (858), substance P (1655), or calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) (710), onto ascending excitatory pathways, leading to CNS sensitization on second-order spinal neurones (451). While it is obvious that gut microbiota can influence central neuroplasticity, much has yet to be discovered, especially in terms of mechanistic insight and whether microbiota interventions may have a clinically relevant therapeutic option for modulating neuroplasticity in humans.

2. Antibiotics and ENS physiology

Currently, to our knowledge, there are no known studies directly examining ENS physiology utilizing antibiotics, but clearly such studies are warranted.

3. Prebiotics, probiotics, and ENS implications for synaptic plasticity

Some of the best links with gut neuroplasticity and microbiome come from studies focused on visceral pain. In a rat model of acute colorectal distension (CRD)-evoked pain, there was an increase in the number of spikes discharged, and a decrease in the threshold for action potential generation, during a standard depolarizing test stimulus. Prior treatment with L. rhamnosus (JB-1) (formally misidentified as a L. reuteri) blocked the CRD-mediated gut electrophysiological changes usually associated with acute visceral pain (952), where usually the myenteric intrinsic sensory neurons become hyperexcitable. From an earlier study (756), this strain was known to decrease CRD-evoked bradycardia and single unit dorsal root fiber discharge in the anesthetized rat. Direct gastric administration of L. casei Shirota strain has been shown to potentially act on the afferent vagal nerve, decreasing sympathetic nerve outflow and regulating tissue-specific efferent sympathetic nervous activity via the CNS (1461). It has become apparent that commensal bacteria can signal to local sensory neurons to alter their excitability state, which itself can last long after the bacteria have been removed (1462), somewhat reminiscent of hippocampal synaptic plasticity. Even though much advancement has been accomplished examining the effect microbiota-gut-brain perturbations have on neuronal plasticity, and will continue to be instrumental in uncovering the fundamentals of ENS mechanisms of plasticity.

VI. FACTORS INFLUENCING THE MICROBIOTA-GUT-BRAIN AXIS

There are many factors that have been shown to have a modulating effect on both the brain and microbiota, includ-
In the following section, we will delve into the currently known consequences that each of these factors have on the microbiota-gut-brain axis and consider future possible directions of investigation.

### A. Genetics and Epigenetics

The relationship between host genetics and microbiota composition is an important and understudied area of research especially in the context of brain health. There are a growing number of studies examining the relationship between host genetics in humans and mice and the variation of the microbiota and its individual constituent taxa (189). For example, taxa abundance was significantly more correlated in monozygotic twins than in dizygotic twins, with Christensenellaceae being the most highly heritable family, while also being associated with low body mass index (BMI) (595), thus confirming the gut microbiota is an important mediator of the interaction between host-genetics and phenotype (1289, 1588). Therefore, it may be possible that gut-microbial products could affect neuronal transcription, and hence host behavior (1426). The microbiota may act as an important modulator of the host genome via gene-environment interactions (1424), and in time be recognized as a separate epigenetic entity (674, 1427).

**FIGURE 5.** Illustration identifying common factors known to impinge on microbiota-gut-brain activity, including diet, congenital heredity and associated epigenetics, environment, medications, exercise, and mode of delivery at birth, as well as the various behaviors known to be affected by microbiota-gut-brain axis perturbation, including cognitive and social behaviors, stress, fear, and food intake.
The microbiota is predominantly in direct contact with epithelial cells, but can also indirectly interact with peripheral neurons and immune cells (1426). In gut epithelial cells, HDAC3 was shown to be critical in maintaining host-commensal signaling (34). Furthermore, pharmacological inhibition of HDAC activity reversed early-life stress-induced visceral hypersensitivity and anxiety (1064), behaviors dependent on appropriate microbiota-gut-brain axis signaling (405, 1120). Future studies should focus on whether bacterial-derived modulators of HDAC activity can modify such physiological and behavioral outcomes.

Another component of genetic-microbiome interactions is at the level of regulatory RNAs or noncoding RNAs which represent a cornerstone of molecular regulation of transcription, gene expression, and protein abundance (44, 1114). Animal models of microbial manipulation, including the GF mouse (see Table 2), have become invaluable tools in the study of the microbiota-gut-brain axis, and they have been key in studying one branch of the noncoding RNA network, microRNAs (miRNAs). miRNAs regulate gene expression through translational repression and inhibition, and they are viable targets for intervention in neuropsychiatric disease (705, 1113, 1344). The gut microbiota has been shown to regulate the expression of miRNA in the amygdala and PFC of GF mice (673). Similarly, depletion of the gut microbiota with an antibiotic cocktail also impacted miRNA expression levels in these specific brain regions. For example, within the amygdala, miR-183-5p was decreased in GF mice, but in recolonized mice, the expression of this miRNA returned to control levels. Also, the hippocampus has demonstrated susceptibility towards gut microbiota diversity and abundance modulation with expression of seven miRNAs altered in GF mice which were subsequently restored to control levels in recolonized mice (276).

B. Mode of Delivery at Birth

Mode of delivery has a tremendous impact on the establishment of the microbiota of infants, as the moment of birth is the first opportunity for large-scale bacterial colonization. The initial seeding of microbiota during vaginal delivery (i.e., natural birth) occurs during parturition, as the infant passes through the birth canal, where they are exposed to the maternal vaginal microbiota. A seminal study has shown that there is vertical transmission of bacteria from the mother to the newborn, with the microbiota of vaginally-delivered babies closely resembling the vaginal microbiota of their mothers (454).

On the other hand, when a C-section is performed, vertical transmission of vaginal microbiota from the mother to the baby is circumvented, as the C-section delivered newborn does not pass through the birth canal. Instead, the initial colonization of bacteria in C-section delivered babies seems to be dominated by bacteria typically present on the skin and in the environment, with increased levels of Staphylococcus spp (454). Moreover, in that study, there was no relation between the specific skin microbiota of the mother and the microbiota of their C-section born infants, demonstrating that bacterial colonization originates from nonmaternal sources. In comparison to vaginal delivery, the C-section procedure is associated with decreased colonization rates of Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides, and Lactobacillus (156, 1181), and with a decrease in diversity and richness of the microbiota (77, 718, 1421). Interestingly, a recent study has shown that in addition to these differences in bacterial representation, mode of delivery has an impact on the fecal virome of infants, with increased viral and bacteriophages diversity in babies born per vaginum (1015).

Despite at least 14 different cohorts showing differences in the microbiota of infants depending on the delivery mode, the full impact of the C-section procedure on the microbiota is still unclear; there are several confounding factors that can influence the results. Specifically, it has recently been shown that differences in microbiota may be dependent on body site (293). Another crucial factor to be taken into consideration is whether the C-section is elective or performed for a medical need, and if so, whether labor was initiated before the C-section procedure. In this case, the microbiota of the C-section born babies seems to be similar to vaginally delivered newborns as these infants come into contact with the birth canal during the early stages of labor (77, 293).

Longitudinal studies have shown that the differences between microbiota attributed to mode of delivery are transitory. Some studies report that birth mode effects on the microbiota can be absent as little as 6–8 wk after birth (293, 667) or as late as the first 2 yr of life (185, 718, 1147). However, despite this transient nature, early life differential colonization has a long-lasting effect, and several studies show a correlation between birth by C-section and increased risk of developing a variety of disorders including obesity, type 1 diabetes, as well as immune disorders such as asthma or allergies (166, 174, 718, 1069, 1270). C-section-born babies also have a higher risk of developing neonatal infections by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (454), or C. difficile (1181). Consequently, there appears to be a minor correlation between C-section delivery and poor school performance in adolescents (370). In animal models, delivery by C-section leads to transient alterations in the neural development including decreased dendritic arborization (284, 746) and increased neuronal cell death at birth (259). Both neurodevelopmental alterations are associated with early-life changes in vocalization. Furthermore, C-section can also induce long-term changes to dopamine function (184).
Independent of the elective or emergency nature of the C-section procedure, more studies are needed to understand the impact of delivery mode and how this impact can be minimized. It has been suggested that using vaginal seeding to transfer vaginal microbiota from the mother to the newborn may be an effective intervention (454). Although this technique seems to partially restore the microbiota in these babies, safety concerns have been raised (369, 1430) and further studies must be done to evaluate the effects. In addition to this, supplementation with probiotics and prebiotics can be used to decrease the impact of delivery mode on the microbiota (294, 1076). Factors such as diet (breast-feeding vs. formula), environment, and antibiotic use also need to be taken into consideration as possible modulators of the microbiome in early-life.

C. Diet

Diet has been shown to be one of the most critical factors modulating gut microbiota composition, and hence the brain and behavior (1523). Clinical and preclinical data have shown how different sources of diet significantly affect the composition of the gut microbiota (1309), and mood in non-mood disorder diagnosed individuals (1467). Moreover, the effects of diet can be dramatic in terms of both drastic compositional changes and the immediacy of such effects. For instance, individuals on either an animal- or plant-based diet, when switched to the other diet, experienced substantial changes in the gut microbiota composition, within 24 h (392). A different dietary pattern has been shown to influence β diversity of the gut microbiota composition, but not α diversity (110), which was quite divergent in individuals on an animal-based diet (392). Changes in our lifestyle and food preferences have had a drastic impact on our diet (67, 446, 1052, 1076, 1246). Indeed, different types of diet have been associated with different impacts on the composition of the gut microbiota. In fact, dietary iron has been shown to regulate insulin resistance to affect susceptibility to infection, highlighting the importance of evolved cooperative mechanisms (1306). However, much more research is needed to delineate if the effects of diets or dietary components on the microbiota are driving changes in overall brain function or happiness or if they are occurring in a microbiota-independent fashion.

1. Western diet

The Western diet, a diet rich in sugar, salt, and/or fat, has been widely regarded as a major contributing factor in the onset of metabolic disorders and associated pathological conditions. Individuals on a Western diet share a similar gut microbiota profile to that observed in obese individuals (392). Intake of a high-fat diet (HFD) consisting of only animal-based products, including meat and cheese, profoundly shifted their gut microbiota community and altered β diversity, within 48 h of consumption (253). In another study, five different inbred mouse strains and four different transgenic strains (Rag−/−, MyD88−/−, NOD2, and ob/ob) crucial for host-microbiota interaction, combined with over 200 outbred mice, were administered a Western high-fat and high-sugar diet. They reported a dramatic shift in the gut microbiota composition in animal groups due to consumption of the Western diet (253).

Furthermore, animal models fed a HFD demonstrated an alteration in their gut microbiota profile with a reduction in Bacteroidetes levels and an increase in both Proteobacteria and Firmicutes levels (664). This was also reported in a similar study where the animals fed with a diet rich in animal-derived saturated fats demonstrated a significant increase in Proteobacteria (Bilophila wadsworthia) abundance (433). It is worth noting that B. wadsworthia is a major member of sulfidogenic bacteria found in the human gut and have been shown to induce systemic inflammation (512). However, one must consider this increase could be attributed to the source of fat being animal based which is rich in saturated fats and salt. This further illustrates the critical role diet has on gut microbiota function (1607), and such strong shifts in gut microbiota profiles are subsequently poised to affect brain and behavior.

2. Mediterranean diet

The Mediterranean diet has garnered a lot of media attention for its numerous potential health benefits. A Mediterranean diet consists mostly of cereals (whole grains), nuts, legumes, vegetables, and fruits with moderate consumption of poultry and fish (492), and results in distinctive and identifiable gut microbiota characteristics (1053). Human intervention studies have shown that the consumption of a Mediterranean diet can dramatically reduce the incidence of neurodegenerative disorders (769, 1276, 1325), psychiatric conditions, cancer (1343), and cardiovascular disease (CVD) (186, 1517, 1629). Interestingly, the Mediterranean diet has been shown in a number of studies to correlate with a reduced risk of depression (26, 492, 859, 1042, 1305), probably due to the rich source polyphenols present in different components. The positive impacts of a Mediterranean diet are mediated by its anti-inflammatory potential but are also associated with marked changes at the level of the gut microbiota, resulting in an increase in the abundance of Bacteroides and Clostridium phyla, and a reduction in Proteobacteria and Firmicutes phyla (988) and associated metabolome (398). Furthermore, consumption of a Mediterranean or Western diet resulted in distinct mammary gland microbiota and metabolite profiles, with Lactobacillus in greater abundance in Mediterranean diet-fed monkeys, showing that diet can influence mammary gland microbiota, indicating a potential novel avenue for breast cancer prevention (1370).

A randomized controlled trial of dietary intervention in major depression (SMILES) confirmed a poor diet corre-
lated with a depressed cohort \( n = 67 \) and furthermore showed that the Mediterranean diet intervention (ModiMedDiet) improved depression scores (713). An earlier study in a cohort of 119 depressed patients, which again focused on providing dietary advice, provided some evidence of a beneficial effect of diet to the individual. However, participants in this study were at a mild level of depression at baseline and may have had less scope for improvement (537).

These studies are just the beginning of a new era of nutritional psychiatry (712). However, much more work is needed to define what the contribution of the microbiome in mediating such effects is and to determine how diet and its components imbue their effect on the microbiota-gut-brain axis.

### 3. Ketogenic diet

The ketogenic diet is a high-fat, low-carbohydrate diet that mimics the metabolic effects of starvation by forcing the body to use its primary fat reserves (99). It was devised based on the observation that fasting had anti-seizure properties. Administration of the ketogenic diet resulted in increased levels of the ketone bodies β-hydroxybutyrate, acetacetate, and acetone in the peripheral blood and urine. This elevation in the serum ketones has been shown to inhibit apoptotic proteins and improve mitochondrial activity, thus reducing apoptosis in neurodegenerative diseases (262). Moreover, a ketogenic diet mediates its neuroprotective function through the attenuation of oxidative stress and induction of protein expression of antioxidants (604), as well as the modulation of neurotransmitter levels such as GABA, monoamines, and glutamate (640, 1658). Therefore, a ketogenic diet can offer beneficial health effects towards ameliorating symptoms of neurological conditions including autism, depression, epilepsy, cancer, as well as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases (198, 572, 850). However, more recently the microbiome has emerged as a key player in the mechanism of action of the ketogenic diet.

One study showed the ketogenic diet increasing the relative abundance of *Akkermansia, Parabacteroides, Sutterella*, and *Erysipelotrichaceae* levels in the gut microbiota in mice compared with a control group, and that the gut microbiota is required for ketogenic diet-mediated protection against acute epileptogenic seizures (1133). Furthermore, colonization of GF mice with ketogenic diet-associated strains, *Akkermansia* and *Parabacteroides*, restored seizure protection. They also reported alterations in colonic luminal, serum, and hippocampal metabolomic profiles that also correlate with seizure protection (1133). This evidence demonstrates that different dietary components can induce differential effects on the brain via their actions on the gut microbiota. Further studies of the ketogenic diet in animal models of autism (1093) and schizophrenia (837) are also encouraging that such effects extend beyond epilepsy.

### 4. Carbohydrates

Carbohydrates come in two forms; digestible and indigestible. Digestible carbohydrates include starch and sugars, which are enzymatically degraded (glucose, fructose, sucrose, and lactose) and readily absorbed into the bloodstream and can stimulate insulin release into the bloodstream (1382). A diet rich in glucose, fructose, and sucrose can significantly increase the abundance of *Bifidobacterium* with a significant reduction in *Bacteroides* (472). Mice fed a fructose-rich diet demonstrated significant increases in *Coprococcus, Ruminococcus*, and *Clostridium* and a reduction in *Clostridiaceae* family (352). However, various *Clostridium* cluster species have been shown to be associated with inflammatory bowel syndrome (112), metabolic disorders (1626), and psychiatric conditions (889).

Undigested carbohydrates, i.e., resistant carbohydrates, which are often fermented by the microbiota residing in the distal part of the colon (1309, 1397), are often a source of microbiota accessible carbohydrates (744), which are a rich carbon source for the microbes and are known to influence the intestinal environment (927, 1402). As a result, most prebiotics are undigested carbohydrates known to induce beneficial effects on the host GI system via stimulating the growth of healthy gut microbiota.

### 5. Protein

Protein is an important component in our diet as it is the prime source of amino acids, the building blocks of life. Amino acids are critical for the synthesis of neurotransmitters and brain health. There are different sources based on their origin, such as plant- or animal-based protein (1382). Numerous studies have shown a strong correlation between protein intake and overall microbial diversity (964). Individuals on a plant-based protein diet reported low levels of *Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes* ratio with higher microbiota diversity compared with individuals on a high-fat, high-sugar diet (399). Different sources of protein in a diet have been shown to influence different gut microbiota profiles (1382). Rats treated with fish and chicken meat reported a significant increase in *Firmicutes* with a reduction in *Bacteroidetes* abundance in the gut microbiota profile. In contrast, intake of a soy-rich diet resulted in an increase in abundance of *Bacteroidetes* in the microbiota of rats. Furthermore, intake of chicken protein showed an increase in abundance in *Actinobacteria*, whereas administration of beef increased the abundance of *Proteobacteria* (1683).

An increase in a protein-based diet has been associated with increases in SCFAs and BCAAs (1382). For example, consumption of pea protein increases intestinal SCFA levels, which have been associated with anti-inflammatory effects and are critical for the maintenance of the mucosal barrier (798). On the contrary, consumption of a protein-rich diet is associated with increases in the abundance of *Bacteroides*
because they are crucial for the initial proteolysis of protein into amino acids in the gut. Intake of an animal-based diet showed significant increases in bile-tolerant anaerobes including *Alistipes*, *Bilophila*, and *Bacteroides* (341, 392). A similar effect was observed in a culture-based study comparing Italian children fed animal protein with children from rural Africa fed an agrarian diet; the Italian children showed an increased abundance of *Alistipes* and *Bacteroides* in their microbiota corresponding to protein intake (399). Clinical data have shown an increased abundance of *Alistipes* in the gut microbiota of individuals with depression (735). This further supports the role of diet in the modulation of the microbiota-gut-brain axis. Recent research has suggested long-term animal-based diets have a deleterious effect on the gut microbiota (1071). Therefore, more research is required to further dissect the underlying mechanisms of the effect of various components of a protein-based diet on the gut microbiota and its metabolites.

6. Fats

Diets rich in saturated and trans-fat are associated with a high incidence of CVD and an increase in total blood low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. For example, administration of a HFD to healthy subjects caused a decrease in *Bacteroidetes* levels with an increase in both *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* levels of gut microbiota (664, 1666). Similar changes in the phylum were observed with mice fed a high-fat and high-sucrose diet (1160).

Healthy fats, also known as polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), such as omega-6 and omega-3, have been shown to induce beneficial effects by lowering the onset of CVD and can be protective against depression, some cancers, arthritis, and cognitive decline (337), as well as to support visual, cognitive, motor, and social development in mice (252). Studies show intake of PUFAs increase the abundance of healthy microbiota including *Roseburia*, *Bifidobacterium*, and *Lactobacillus* spp. PUFAs have also been shown to prevent alteration of the gut microbiota (432). For instance, early-life exposure to omega-3 prevented the onset of metabolic disorders known to be mediated through a perturbed gut microbiota profile post-antibiotic treatment (753). Similarly, in adult mice, chronic exposure to omega-3 showed a significant increase in *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* spp. with higher bifidobacteria-to-enterobacteria ratio in adult mice exposed to omega-3 (1265). A recent study comparing 876 middle-aged female subjects showed that the administration of omega-3 was able to modulate gut microbiota by significantly increasing a diversity (1032). Docosahexaenoic acid levels from subjects on an omega-3 diet strongly correlated with 38 operational taxonomic units from the *Lachnospiraceae* family (1032), which have been reported to be in abundance in herbivorous animals (554). In humans, members belonging to the *Lachnospiraceae* family have been shown to be protective against *C. difficile* (1195) and metabolic disorders (287). The members of *Lachnospiraceae* are potent producers of SCFAs (464). Overall, more work is needed to understand the relative contribution of the effects of fats on the microbiota to their impact on brain function.

D. Environment

The environment we live in has perhaps one of the greatest impacts in shaping cross-cultural differences in health outcomes and microbiota composition. For example, different members of the gut microbiota have been shown to be involved in metabolizing more than 40 different environmental chemicals utilizing the enzymes azoreductase, nitroreductase, β-glucuronidases, sulfatases, and β-lyases (313). Conversely, these chemicals also modulate the gut microbiota composition (313), which may thus have effects on brain and behavior through the microbiota-gut-brain axis. For instance, bisphenol A (BPA) is an endocrine-disrupting chemical widely used in the manufacture of plastic containers. Exposure to BPA through diet results in a dramatic reduction in species diversity of gut microbiota in rodents, while increasing the abundance of *Proteobacteria* and *Helicobacteraceae*, and reducing *Clostridia* (848).

Heavy metals such as cadmium, mercury, arsenic, and lead are potent toxicants to a living organism (929); they are highly carcinogenic and can disrupt the immune system, damage nucleic acid structures, and cause oxidative stress (91, 738, 1536). Exposure to heavy metals can also alter the gut microbiota composition in both animals and humans (313, 929, 1671). Administration of heavy metals to animals dramatically perturbed their gut microbiota and metabolomic profile, increasing relative levels of *Lactobacillaceae* and *Erysipelotrichaceae* while reducing relative levels of *Lachnospiraceae* (216). Low levels of *Lachnospiraceae* have been associated with human depression (1089), hinting at a potential microbiota-gut-brain pathway for the mental health impact of exposure to various environmental pollutants.

Finally, extensive abuse of antibiotic usage by humans has contributed to an increase in the concentration of various antibiotics in our natural environment, including lakes, rivers, agriculture soil, waste, and surface water (455, 516, 1225). In combination with the high levels of antibiotics in many food sources, this will likely have widespread consequences not only for individual host health but also from a broader planetary health perspective (1218).

E. Exercise

Regular exercise is synonymous with good health including brain health. Moderate amounts of exercise can make a meaningful difference to brain structures and their function; for example, in rodents, voluntary exercise impacts the...
rate of neurogenesis (10, 1549). Exercise has also demonstrated a capacity to overcome age-dependent depletion of hippocampal neurogenesis (1550), offering a prospective potential for the reversal of aging within brain structures. Despite the extent of research looking at the relationship between exercise and brain structures/function, there is relatively little information currently available on the effect of exercise on the gut, its microbiota, and the influence of exercise on the gut-brain axis. Exercise along with a number of different factors, such as diet, infection, disease, and antibiotics (605, 961), also modulates the health and α-diversity of gut microbiota. Moderate levels of exercise are particularly beneficial in reducing levels of stress and building immunity along with positive changes in energy homeostasis and regulation (137, 1039). It is reasonable to think of exercise as being crucial to healthy interactions within the gut microbiota (288). The extent of beneficial effects of exercise on the brain via the gut microbiota remains unclear.

Research examining the reciprocal relationship between exercise and gut microbiota composition is still in its infancy, as such little is known about how the gut microbiota may contribute to an individual’s exercise performance (959). To date, the majority of studies undertaken have been preclinical animal studies that only indirectly address how exercise positively influences gut microbiota composition. The potential role of exercise-induced microbial changes in preventing HFD-induced obesity has been investigated in mice (495). The authors reported that voluntary exercise had significant effects on the relative balance of the major bacterial phyla (Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes) that was concurrent with prevention of dietary-induced obesity and normalization in glucose tolerance and that the change in ratio between Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes phyla was proportional to the distance ran (in the HFD-fed mice). In a case-control study, free access to exercise was associated with a significant increase in Blautia coccoides – Eubacterium rectale species, in principle, that positively correlated with protein and creatine kinase consumption, than both control groups matched for physical size, age, and gender. Notably, both the athletes and low BMI group had significantly higher proportions of the genus Akkermansia than the high BMI group, a factor generally associated with a healthier metabolic profile (863). Furthermore, indices of microbiota diversity of the athletes positively correlated with protein intake and levels of plasma creatine kinase with support for the protein and microbiota diversity relationship provided by a positive correlation between urea levels, a by-product of diets that are rich in protein and microbiota diversity, suggesting that both diet and exercise are drivers of biodiversity in the gut.

An increase of microbial diversity was once again reported in individuals who performed a 4-day cross-country ski march in Arctic conditions compared with controls (767), among other changes. To determine whether increasing physical activity and/or increased protein intake modulates gut microbial composition and function, a recent study (353) challenged healthy sedentary adults with an 8-wk combined exercise regime, with and without concurrent daily whey protein consumption. A combined aerobic and resistance training exercise regime led to modest alterations in the composition and activity of the gut microbiota of the sedentary individuals. The link of such changes to brain function requires further analysis.

Perhaps the most compelling argument for the benefits of exercise can be taken from studies that show the negative consequences during withdrawal of regular exercise, where symptoms of increased negative mood and fatigue were seen in healthy individuals in as little as 14 days, compared with controls (833). It may be that changes in mood and fatigue are associated more with the ability of the gut microbiota to control host tryptophan metabolism and levels of plasma kynurenine, which are strongly correlated with depression (301). A recent preclinical study investigated a potential peripheral mechanism through which exercise may bring about its beneficial effects via the kynurenine pathway of tryptophan metabolism and exercise-related PGC-1α expression (15, 638); increased expression of muscle-specific PGC-1α in transgenic mice was associated with greater resilience to chronic stress and stress-induced CNS inflammation (15).
Taken together, although exercise has many potential beneficial effects on the gut microbiota there is a need for longitudinal studies to resolve the many gaps in current knowledge and to fully understand the mechanisms that regulate changes in the composition and functions of microbiota especially in the context of brain health.

F. Medications and the Microbiome

Among the different therapeutic classes, antibiotics represent the most direct and effective way of targeting the gut microbiota (53, 719) (see TABLE 3). However, a growing body of evidence suggests that non-antibiotic drugs can also affect the composition of the gut microbiota, with potential implications for behavior, as well as the involvement of the microbiota in drug pharmacokinetics in general (310). In a recent large-scale observational study, the use of medical interventions was associated with a significant variation in the microbiota. Of the 69 microbiota covariates from the Belgian Flemish Gut Flora Project, 13 were drugs belonging to the following classes: antibiotics, osmotic laxatives, IBD medications, female hormones, benzodiazepines, antidepressants, and antihistamines (501). In another population-based study, deep-sequencing of the gut microbiotas revealed a relationship between the microbiota and 44 categories of drugs (1680). As expected, antibiotics were significantly associated with altered microbiota composition; more surprising was the number of other drug categories, including proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), metformin, statins, and laxatives that were also shown to have robust effects on the gut microbiota.

Polypharmacy, the concurrent use of multiple medications by a patient, has also been associated with gut microbiota changes. One study demonstrated that there was a significant negative correlation between the number of different drugs consumed and microbial diversity, although it is unknown if the lower diversity resulted in reduced cognitive function (1492). Specifically, the drug classes that had the strongest association with single taxa abundance were PPIs, antidepressants, and antipsychotics. Gut microbiota samples exposed to different drugs have also been analyzed through metatranscriptomic approaches. The impact of short-term exposure of human feces to various non-antibiotic drugs including cardiac glycosides, a gastric acid suppressant (nizatidine), an anthelmintic (levamisole), an analgesic (phenacetin), and sulfasalazine significantly changed the expression of microbial genes linked to drug import and metabolism (1004). Several studies have been performed in vitro to determine the antimicrobial activity of non-antibiotic drugs (618, 619, 842, 843), all of which have been shown to possess antimicrobial activity, with a strong potential for subsequent functional neural effects.

Given the rise of interest in the microbiota-gut-brain axis, it is not surprising that in recent years much effort has been placed on understanding the role of psychotropic medications. The antidepressant selective-serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), including sertraline, paroxetine, and fluoxetine (737), have antimicrobial activity against gram-positive bacteria such as Staphylococcus and Enterococcus (75, 320). In addition, the antimicrobial activity of some antidepressants has been confirmed by the synergistic effect of some SSRIs in combination with antibiotics, as well as their effects against some antibiotic-resistant bacteria (179, 1083, 1084). In one recent study chronic fluoxetine administration induced a depletion of cecal levels of Prevotella and Succinivibrio (373), while another witnessed a reduction in Lactobacillus johnsonii and Bacteroidales S24–7 which belong to a phyla that has been associated with the regulation of body mass (946). Another class of antidepressants, tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs), have been shown to prevent the growth of gut pathogens such as E. coli, Yersinia enterocolitica (363, 1061), and the parasite Giardia lamblia (1600).

Antipsychotics belonging to the non-antibiotic phenothiazines class and their derivatives have been shown to protect mice from E. coli infection (826). This action has been confirmed in a clinical study where promethazine was shown to exert a synergistic effect when combined with gentamycin in children with frequently recurring pylonephritis (1062). In a large cohort study in elderly hospitalized patients, antipsychotics were one of the three drug classes that exhibited the strongest association with single taxa abundance, together with PPIs and antidepressants (1492). Another recent study of patients with bipolar disease found that atypical antipsychotics induced a decrease in microbial diversity, with the effect being present in females but not in males (533). At the microbiota genus level, individuals in this bipolar cohort treated with atypical antipsychotics exhibited the strongest association with single taxa abundance, together with PPIs and antidepressants (1492). Another recent study of patients with bipolar disease found that atypical antipsychotics induced a decrease in microbial diversity, with the effect being present in females but not in males (533). At the microbiota genus level, individuals in this bipolar cohort treated with atypical antipsychotics exhibited a significant increase in Lachnospiraceae abundance and a significant decrease in Akkermansia. Finally, in the large-scale study of >1,000 drugs mentioned above, nearly all subclasses of the chemically diverse antipsychotics exhibited antimicrobial activity (970), raising the possibility that direct bacterial effects might be part of the mechanism of action of these drugs. This is in line with animal studies on antipsychotics whereby chronic administration of olanzapine and risperidone affects the gut microbiota composition (390, 391, 763, 1072). Overall, one cannot neglect the pressing need to examine any potential effect all orally consumed medications may have on our microbiota, and subsequent efficacy of the active compounds thereafter.

G. Stress

Stress is a state in which the normal homeostasis of an organism is disturbed due to an actual or perceived threat (401, 1018). Acute stress activates the HPA axis (see sect. IVJ), resulting in an immediate release of cortisol (or corticosterone in rodents; Refs. 440, 1395). This is an evolution-
arly conserved response that prepares the individual to defend against or escape from threat. After the threat subsides, normal homeostasis should return. However, when that fails to occur, chronic activation of the stress response results in dysregulation of the HPA axis (440) and an increased risk of subsequent maladies. In humans, stress-related disorders such as anxiety and depression cost the European Union upward of €160 million in 2010 (1130). As such, chronic stress is rapidly becoming a global societal challenge.

More than four decades ago, a link between stress and the abundance of lactobacilli in mice was discovered for the first time (1463). This finding was subsequently replicated in the rhesus monkey (87), and rat models of early life maternal separation stress (568, 1120, 1261). Many preclinical studies have since demonstrated that stress impacts gut microbial composition in a number of different hosts, including rodents (146, 592, 1164), pigs (1080), horses (959), and non-human primates (87, 89) (see TABLE 1). In one instance, chronic psychosocial stress induced an increase of Helicobacter pylori in the gastric mucosa, concurrent with an increase in serum corticosterone levels in mice (620). These effects appear to be replicated across different stress models. Psychological stressors ranging from water avoidance to maternal separation, heat, and acoustic stress and overcrowding have all been shown to change the composition of the gut microbiota (88, 146, 404, 690, 1121, 1442). In addition, maternal stress during pregnancy displays a distinct fecal microbiota profile (634, 652), which has generational consequences. The maternal microbiota influences offspring microbiota and correlates with hyperreactivity of the HPA axis, together with other perinatal factors, as a key determinant of offspring outcomes (see sect. IIIA). Furthermore, the transfer of the maternal vaginal microbiota from stressed dams to nonstressed pups is sufficient to alter their response to stress in adulthood (726). These findings also translated to humans in a population-based study whereby infants born to mothers with high cumulative stress during pregnancy exhibited an aberrant microbial composition (1688). It has become quite clear that many factors one comes in contact with daily can have an impact on our microbiota-gut-brain axis, some as subtle as food cravings to as long-lasting and impacting as congenital heredity and mode of delivery. In the following section (see sect. VII), we will delve further into behaviors modified directly and indirectly by the microbiota, after which we will examine the role of the microbiota-gut-brain axis in disease.

**H. Circadian Rhythm**

The circadian rhythm describes the 24-h cycle that regulates bodily functions, from rest/wake timing to cellular level metabolic processes in the majority of organisms, including humans, mice, and bacteria (1570). Modern day lifestyles contain many unavoidable and harmful disruptions to the circadian rhythm including jet lag and shift work. Both have been linked to metabolic (54, 1005) and psychiatric (1153, 1631) illness. Recently, the interplay between circadian rhythm and the microbiome is being investigated in the context of obesity, CVD, diabetes, psychiatric disorders, and neurodegenerative disorders (467, 896, 1570), which may have key implications for our understanding of the microbiota-gut-brain axis.

The mammalian circadian clock follows a feedback loop of transcription and translation. The positive transcription factors CLOCK and BMAL1 regulate expression of the inhibitory transcription factors Period (PER1/2) and cryptochrome (CRY1/2), which in turn repress CLOCK and BMAL1, restarting the cycle (1454). Interestingly, the average human circadian rhythm is 24.2 h which is amenable to the endogenous biological clock *zeitgebers*, light, and food (1570). Recent evidence has identified the microbiota as a potential circadian clock modulator, effecting change to the peripheral and central clocks (896, 1479). Furthermore, it appears that circadian disruption can alter the intestinal microbiota (1571). When there is a change in the light, food (diet), or the microbiome, the peripheral clocks are affected. Furthermore, disruption or dysregulation of the peripheral or central clocks can lead to serious negative consequences, including microbiome dysregulation, as one recent study has demonstrated utilizing transgenic mice containing deletions of circadian clock genes (1571). The researchers witnessed significant changes to the microbiome, and a dampening or abolishment of microbiota compositional oscillations (895, 1479, 1572). In one study in particular, the dysregulation of the microbiota was rescued by specifically timed feeding (1479).

From a human perspective, it has been reported that patients with IBD have an overall reduction in circadian clock gene transcripts (666). Since both circadian disruption and microbiome dysregulation express complex bidirectional regulation leading to immune activation and inflammation, it may be that inflammation acts as an intermediary between the circadian rhythm and the microbiome (666, 1570). The mammalian circadian clock may be modulated by the microbiome by tuning the amplitude of the circadian gene NFIL3 with the microbial metabolite LPS, potentially resulting in microbially controlled energy storage and body-fat accumulation (1593).

Upon examination of circadian clock mRNA in GF mice, it was reported that the microbiota was required for correct integration of liver clock-oscillations, which in turn regulate metabolic gene expression for optimal liver function (1067). Moreover, conventional mice treated with antibiotics demonstrated systemic disruption of microbiota diurnal rhythmicity (1478). Indeed, host homeostatic colonization disruption leads to a loss in microbiota compositional and biogeographical rhythmicity, which in turn disrupts hosts
rhythmicity (1478). Furthermore, a human study examining jet lag and mouse models of shift work found an arrhythmic microbiome that had a significantly altered microbiota composition that promoted metabolic imbalance, which was transferrable to GF mice via FMT (1479). A contemporaneous study reported that mice undergoing a 12-h phase shift, mimicking human shift work when fed a high-fat and high-sugar diet, experienced a significant decrease in microbial diversity and marked changes to their microbiota (1571). The exacerbation of shift work and jet lag's adverse metabolic effects by a HFD, or a high-fat and high-sugar diet, is especially poignant considering these lifestyles are often accompanied by poor eating habits, and the microbiota modulation presents as a potential therapeutic avenue for these conditions. More work is needed to understand the relationship between circadian rhythms, microbiota, and brain health, but it is clear that interrelationship will be physiological and perhaps clinically relevant.

VII. BEHAVIOR AND THE MICROBIOTA-GUT-BRAIN AXIS

The microbiota-gut-brain axis is poised to affect and be reciprocally affected by many factors, including social and cognitive behavior, fear, stress, and food intake (FIGURE 6). We are slowly beginning to understand the relative contribution this axis has to such complex physiology and behavior. In this section, we will examine these behaviors and propose possible therapeutic avenues.

FIGURE 6. An outline illustrating the variety of disease and disease processes the microbiota are currently implicated in; examples include psychiatric and neurodegenerative disorders, pain, stress, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), stroke, addiction, and obesity.
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FIGURE 6. An outline illustrating the variety of disease and disease processes the microbiota are currently implicated in; examples include psychiatric and neurodegenerative disorders, pain, stress, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), stroke, addiction, and obesity.
A. Food Intake

What we eat and when we eat is likely affected by the composition and function of our microbiota, where an array of orexigenic (i.e., ghrelin, NPY) and anorexigenic hormones (i.e., GLP-1, PYY, CCK, and CRF; see sect. IV, D and H) play a crucial role (518, 1541). This is supported by the fact that many conditions wherein food intake behavior is dysregulated, like anorexia nervosa and obesity (see sect. VIIIK), are associated with an altered gut microbiota (813, 863, 960, 1073, 1523–1525). In addition, amelioration of obesity by bariatric or Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery results in a change in gut microbial composition (603, 1508, 1669), even though this is not the case for weight gain in anorexia nervosa (960). Many conditions in which food intake behavior is dysfunctional share comorbidities with other psychiatric disorders (814, 921). As such, it is important to note that levels of depression, anxiety, and eating disorder psychopathology correlate with various measures of gut microbiota composition (813).

One of the most provocative propositions has been that gut microbes are under a selective and evolutionary pressure to manipulate the eating behavior of the host to enhance their own fitness, which can be done by inducing dysphoria until we consume the nutrient these microbes thrive on, or by generating cravings for food that specifically promote their own growth and survival (32). Gut microbial diversity plays a key role in this theory, as decreased diversity inherently has an increased prevalence of specific bacterial species, which might allow them to affect the host more efficiently. This is because these microbes have relatively less competition and have to spend fewer resources on survival while having more resources available for the manipulation of host eating behavior (32). Such theories are difficult to prove experimentally. However, an intriguing study in Drosophila points to a key role for the microbiota in food choice behavior, as when GF flies were given Acetobacter pomorum and Lactobacillus spp., it changed their overall food preference (874).

Various mechanisms through which the microbiota can affect food intake behavior have been suggested. Gut microbes can produce protein sequences of ≥5 amino acids that share an arrangement identical to various appetite-regulating peptides in the host (487, 520), which could trigger the production of immunoglobulins. These immunoglobulins can inhibit the degradation of such hormones, which has been reported for the orexigenic hormone ghrelin (1453). As mentioned earlier (sect. IVD), E. coli has been reported to produce caseinolytic protease B (ClpB), a small protein sequence and antigen-mimetic of α-MSH (215, 1471, 1472). Increased ClpB levels have been reported in anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, and binge-eating disorder and were correlated with various psychopathological traits (215). Administration of ClpB-producing E. coli decreases short-term body weight and food intake compared with ClpB-deficient E. coli (1471). As such, gut microbial-derived peptide sequences provide a pathway in which the gut microbiota can influence host-eating behaviors.

Another likely mechanism by which the microbiota can influence food intake behavior is by affecting the ability to sense and taste nutrients. Interestingly, obesity is associated with a decreased responsiveness to sweet and fatty tastes, which results in needing a higher intensity of such stimuli to attain the same level of taste perception (145). Anorexia nervosa is also associated with an impaired taste perception (396, 1445), and weight gain has been shown to ameliorate these impairments (66, 1110). Signals conveying taste are mediated through taste receptors on the tongue, which can be either transmitted directly through the solitary tract to the thalamus (214, 1275), or by the local secretion of anorexigenic hormones like GLP-1, PYY, and CCK (511, 990, 1361). The role of these anorexigenic hormones is supported by the fact that PYY transgenic mice have a decreased behavioral response to fat- and bitter-tasting compounds, of which their response to fat taste is improved after reconstitution of salivary PYY (846). A continuous supply of oral taste receptor cells plays a crucial role in this process, and the disruption thereof can be detrimental for taste signaling (510). In particular, the immune system is implicated in taste receptor cell renewal, as its activation results in the decrease of cell renewal and lifespan (322, 797, 1583). Moreover, systemically administered LPS results in decreased taste cell lifespan (322), as well as decreased taste preference (72, 355, 857), indicating systemic immunity additionally plays a role in taste perception. Overall, data indicate that the GI microbiota can affect taste perception, although more studies on microbiota-dependent mechanisms need to be performed to further validate this theory.

B. Social Behavior

Sociability may be classified as any form of interaction between more than one animal. It is a fundamental behavior for all species as it facilitates many beneficial outcomes such as learning, cooperation, protection, and mating. Interestingly, social behavior across the animal kingdom appears to be strongly influenced by the microbiota. Studies in GF mice showed they spend less time interacting with a novel conspecific compared with a conventionally colonized mouse (226, 428, 1356, 1427, but see Ref. 57). Moreover, while a conventionally colonized mouse will prefer to spend more time interacting with a novel conspecific over a familiar one, GF mice are unable to distinguish between either animal, which represents a cognitive deficit in the identification of social novelty (428, 1427). These findings of social deficits in the absence of a microbiota have been corroborated with studies of antibiotic administration in rodents. Several studies have documented that antibiotic administration, resulting in a marked reduction in gut microbial diversity, is...
associated with deficits in social behavior (418, 429). The precise mechanisms that underlie microbiota-mediated regulation of social behavior are unknown and most likely involve a multitude of biological pathways acting cooperatively.

However, several studies have taken great strides in elucidating how gut bacteria may influence social behaviors. For instance, knockdown of Pglyrp2 in mice resulted in a greater level of social interaction with conspecifics, which suggests that bacterial components such as peptidoglycan are capable of crossing from the gut into the brain (see sect. IVK) and influencing social behavior circuitry via this signaling cascade (57). The amygdala appears to be a brain region that is central to the influence of the microbiota on social behavior. GF mice display heightened expression of transcription factors related to neuronal activation (e.g., fos) in the amygdala relative to conventional controls (1428). Moreover, morphological analysis of the murine GF amygdala reveals extensive neuronal hypertrophy and dendritic arborization leading to an overall increase in the volume of the various amygdalar subnuclei (931). In response to social interaction, there are profound alterations in the transcriptome and spliceosome in the amygdala of GF mice, which may contribute to the social deficits observed (1427). These genetic and functional changes in the amygdala of GF mice demonstrate that this brain region is not only a crucial node in the neuronal circuitry underlying social behavior, but it is also the focal point through which the microbiota modulates this behavior.

As the microbiota appears to be an influential factor in shaping social behavior, it stands to reason that modulation of the microbiota through diet or probiotic administration can also affect sociability. Indeed, administration of L. reuteri to mice resulted in an increase in the circulation levels and expression of oxytocin, which was associated with an increase in social behavior (226, 1214). In another experimental rodent model, bile duct ligation-induced social withdrawal behavior in mice was ameliorated following treatment with the probiotic mixture VSL#3, in addition to lowering circulating levels of proinflammatory cytokines (i.e., TNF-α) (377). Consequently, modulation of immune signaling to the brain may also be an additional means through which the microbiota may influence social behavior. Dietary-mediated alterations in the microbiome may also have an important bearing on neurocircuitry of social behaviors, especially during development. Experimental dietary deficiency in polyunsaturated omega-3 fatty acids resulted in social behavior impairments in adult, but not adolescent, rats (1265). Moreover, supplementation of mice with omega-3 fatty acids prevented social behavior impairments following induction of allergy (410). While a PUFAs-rich diet may be exerting its prosocial effects via modulation of fatty acid membrane levels in the brain, it has also been shown to positively affect the composition of the microbiota (1223, 1266), and thus a role for the microbiota is likely (1264). Future studies should focus on translating these animal studies to the human disorders of social behavior such as ASD, schizophrenia, and social anxiety disorder.

### C. Cognition

There is increasing evidence supporting the idea that changes in the composition of the gut microbiota can influence cognitive function at multiple levels.

#### 1. Rodent studies

The complete absence of microorganisms can induce numerous disruptions of host cognition. It has been shown that GF mice exhibit an impaired ability to remember a familiar object when presented with a novel object (569, 935), as well as impaired working memory in remembering a familiar environment in the spontaneous alternation task (569). In addition, these animals exhibit altered BDNF expression in the hippocampus (132, 437, 569), which as stated earlier has an important role in synaptic plasticity and cognition (90, 929, 1092), suggesting a crucial involvement of microbes in regulating hippocampal-dependent memory function.

Antibiotics are known to disrupt the intestinal microbial community, which can result in detrimental effects on brain function and behavior (see TABLE 3). It has been shown that antibiotic administration from weaning age and onwards can induce gut microbiota changes, along with subsequent object recognition memory impairments and altered BDNF expression in the hippocampus when measured during adulthood (429). More recent studies have found similar impairments in object recognition memory after the administration of antibiotics in adulthood. An 11-day exposure to an antibiotic cocktail disrupted object recognition memory in adult male mice (550). This memory impairment was associated with changes in the expression of signaling molecules relevant to cognition (i.e., BDNF, GRIN2B, 5-HT transporter, and NPY) within the hippocampus among other memory-related brain regions. Similarly, chronic long-term antibiotic treatment was found to induce similar memory deficits in adult female mice (1060), along with decreased hippocampal neurogenesis.

Such findings highlight the importance of the gut microbiota in recognition memory performance and hippocampal function. Nonetheless, antibiotic-induced microbiota depletion has generated mixed results in other types of hippocampal-dependent memories. While chronic antibiotic treatment impaired spatial memory in the Morris water maze in adult rats (672, 1591), acute treatments did not affect this type of memory when administered in early life in rats (1119), nor when administered during adulthood in mice and tested in the Barnes maze (550). This suggests
differential effects based on rodent background, behavioral task, and especially in the type and/or duration of antibiotic treatment. Hence, further research is needed to clarify the effects of gut microbiota depletion on spatial memory.

A large number of studies have focused on exploring the beneficial effects of probiotic and prebiotic treatments in modulating health and preventing or restoring cognitive deficits associated with changes in gut microbiota (see Tables 4 and 5). In this regard, Lactobacillus strains have been widely used for this purpose. Indeed, different Lactobacillus strains were capable of restoring deficits in object recognition memory induced by chronic restraint stress (894), as well as preventing these deficits in GF mice (569), in a mouse model of colitis (483), and in immunodeficient mice (1390). These strains also proved effective in restoring spatial memory impairments induced by diet in immunodeficient mice (1126), age (729), hyperammonemia (936), and by gut microbiota depletion after chronic antibiotic treatment (1591). It has been found that probiotic treatment with selective Bifidobacterium strains in healthy mice can selectively improve object recognition memory, decrease the number of errors in a spatial memory test, and induce better long-term learning in fear conditioning (1321), indicating the beneficial effects of a rich microbiota in cognitive behavior. Furthermore, a recent study found a proco cognitive effect of the probiotic B-GOS in healthy rats (610). B-GOS fed rats showed an improved performance in the attentional set-shifting task, thereby indicating greater cognitive flexibility, along with an increase in cortical NMDAR function within the frontal cortex.

Other probiotic strains were able to induce similar beneficial effects. For example, probiotic supplementation with a mixture of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium strains considerably improved spatial memory deficits in diabetic animals (389). VSL#.3, a commercially available probiotic mixture consisting of Streptococcus, Bifidobacterium, and Lactobacillus strains restored object recognition memory impairment induced by chronic antibiotic treatment (1060) and cafeteria-diet supplementation (122). More recently, a mixture of “infant type” Bifidobacterium strains had the same beneficial effects improving the cognitive deficits in GF mice (935), suggesting the importance of early-life microbiota in adult cognitive behavior. Together, these data demonstrate the substantial impact of enriching the gut microbiota in reverting cognitive deficits associated with diverse factors.

2. Human studies

Although there is abundant evidence from animal research supporting the role of the gut microbiota in modulating cognitive function, only a few studies have examined the influence of gut microbes on human cognition. Most of these studies are relatively small, but some are promising nonetheless. One of these studies showed that the gut microbiota composition of obese and non-obese subjects was linked with scores in speed, attention, and cognitive flexibility in a Trail Making Test coupled with alterations in neural activity in the thalamus, hypothalamus, and amygdala, suggesting that obesity affects the microbiota composition and subsequent cognitive performance (514). In a more recent study, the microbiota composition in 1-yr-old babies was associated with cognitive development tested with the Mullen Scales of Early Learning showing differences in brain volume (251). They identified three groups depending on the microbial composition (i.e., high levels of Faecalibacterium, Bacteroides, and Ruminococcus) and found better performance in the group with higher levels of Bacteroides. This group was also less likely to be born via C-section, which fits with previous studies linking mode of delivery with child cognitive development (1209), highlighting the importance of gut microbiota colonization in cognitive development and function.

Probiotics have also been employed in humans demonstrating beneficial effects on cognitive performance in both healthy and diseased individuals. Treatment with Lactobacillus strains in healthy elderly subjects induced an improvement in cognitive test performance compared with a placebo group (297). In healthy women, consumption of a fermented milk product supplemented with a probiotic modulated the activity in brain regions involved in cognitive performance during an emotional attention test (1493). Improvements in memory tasks and subjective improvements in mood were observed in a study on healthy individuals receiving a prebiotic of oligofructose-enriched inulin (1389). B. longum 1714 which had shown proco cognitive effects in mice (1321) was shown to attenuate stress-induced cortisol increases reducing perceived and subjective anxiety, and moderately enhancing hippocampus-dependent visuospatial memory performance (38). Moreover, L. plantarum P8 was also found to alleviate stress and anxiety while reducing levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in stressed adults, accompanied by enhanced social-emotional cognition and verbal learning and memory (883). Recently, a probiotic mixture containing B. longum and different Lactobacillus strains positively affected cognitive function and metabolic status in Alzheimer’s disease patients (27). Furthermore, cognitive improvements in impulsive choice and decision-making were observed in patients diagnosed with fibromyalgia after receiving a multispecies probiotic intervention (1278), a group of patients that present with an altered microbiome as measured by disrupted microbiota metabolites (972). These results taken together indicate the potential efficacy of probiotics for improving cognitive function in both healthy and Alzheimer’s disease clinical populations. However, much more work is needed to understand why specific strains/interventions have the potential to modulate cognition and the constraints that exist on this. Moreover, it is clear that the combination of brain imaging techniques with neuropsychological and cognitive
measures will greatly enhance our understanding of the microbiota-gut-brain axis in regulating cognition in healthy and vulnerable populations.

D. Fear

One aspect of cognition that warrants deeper examination is that of fear regulation. Exaggerated fear is a core symptom of clinical anxiety and fear responding is closely linked to stress (983, 1018, 1233), which (as described in sects. VIG and VIIE) is tightly intertwined with gut-brain axis function. The expression and inhibition of learned fear is largely regulated by the amygdala, hippocampus, and PFC in adult rodents and humans (815, 868, 984, 1504), and these brain regions are all modulated by changes in the microbiota (132, 308, 346, 558, 673, 675, 933, 1092, 1125, 1428). Despite this, studies of the microbiota in fear regulation remain relatively scarce.

In humans, there are now a few functional MRI (fMRI) studies linking the gut microbiota to functional brain activity during observation of threat stimuli (negatively valenced emotional images). The initial study in this area demonstrated, in a sample of healthy women, that intake of a fermented milk product containing multiple probiotic strains could reduce the neural response to faces showing negative affect (fear or anger) (1493). Specifically, brain reactivity was reduced across a distributed network of brain regions including the PFC and parahippocampal gyrus. Another study from the same group later showed that natural differences in the microbiota composition of healthy women were associated with altered reactivity of the right hippocampus to negative emotional images (1494). Finally, in a recent study of adversity-exposed and control children, variation in Bacteroides and Lachnospiraceae were associated with brain reactivity to fear faces, particularly in the PFC (235).

Using animal models, emerging research is beginning to delineate the links between the microbiota and learned fear responses, or more specifically fear memory and extinction. With GF mice, short-term fear recall was shown to be impaired in the absence of the microbiota (674). Adult conventionally colonized, GF, and ex-GF mice underwent classical fear conditioning, whereby a previously innocuous conditioned stimulus was paired with an innately aversive unconditioned stimulus. Six hours later, GF animals exhibited low levels of conditioned fear responding relative to conventionally colonized animals, but this deficit was rescued in the ex-GF mice that were recolonized at weaning. These behavioral changes were accompanied by altered amygdala gene expression, specifically indicating elevated baseline amygdala activity and reduced responsiveness to the fear stimuli in the GF animals. Similar impairments of fear recall and additional enhancements of fear extinction are observed following acute antibiotic exposure in humans and rodents (79, 395, 1268). Although the broad-spectrum antibiotics used in these cases (doxycycline and D-cycloserine) were chosen for their direct neuromodulatory properties, the similarities to GF function suggest that it is worthwhile considering the possibility of an alternate microbiota-mediated mechanism in these cases as well.

Providing further support for microbial modulation of learned fear, fear responding is also altered by probiotic treatments, at least in rodents. These effects are strain-dependent, with different outcomes depending on the chosen probiotic. For example, B. longum 1714 enhanced fear learning and short-term memory in adult mice, without affecting extinction, while B. breve 1205 had no effect on either measure (1322). Another probiotic strain, L. rhamnosus (JB-1), slowed extinction learning in adult mice (209), whereas heat-killed Mycobacterium vaccae has the opposite effect, accelerating extinction learning in adult rats (541). Finally, administration of a probiotic formulation containing L. rhamnosus R0011 and L. helveticus R0052 has been shown to prevent the effects of early-life stress on fear behavior and its supporting neural network during development (234, 345). Specifically, young rats exposed to early-life stress exhibit a phenotype characterized by persistent fear memory and high rates of fear relapse following extinction (237, 344), a profile that is passed down the male line for at least two generations (i.e., the grand-offspring of the stressed males exhibit the same high-fear phenotype despite never directly experiencing stress) (234). Probiotic treatment rescued the normal trajectory of fear memory development in stressed rats, restoring an age-appropriate normal phenotype of rapid forgetting and low rates of fear relapse, not only in probiotic-exposed neonatal rats, but also their offspring (237, 344).

E. Stress-Related Behaviors

As described previously (see sect. VIG), an ever-growing body of preclinical studies using a variety of animal models has shown that stress can alter the gut microbiota (see TABLES 2–5). This relationship, like the microbiota-gut-brain axis itself, is bidirectional; the microbiota can modulate stress-induced alterations in anxiety, memory, cognition, and neuroinflammation (for reviews, see Refs. 372, 504, 540, 1243, 1364). Many studies were conducted using GF mice (see also sect. IIA and TABLE 2) (308, 357, 437, 931, 1092, 1434). Their collective findings were critical in shedding light on the existence of a link between the microbiota and stress. Moreover, this work paved the way for further research on the stress-microbiota link to explore potential therapeutic benefits for microbiota manipulations in the context of stress.

1. Stress susceptibility

Given the link between the microbiota and the stress response, a relationship between resilience and microbiota
composition has also been proposed (786). Current studies are exploring whether basal microbiota composition itself can predict stress susceptibility. For example, a single exposure to social stress in Syrian hamsters was sufficient to induce changes in the microbiota, where multiple encounters exacerbated the effect (1164). Microbiota was differentially affected depending on the outcome of the chronic social defeat encounter. Furthermore, with the use of a chronic social defeat stress protocol, mice with higher social avoidance scores had greater correlational changes in cecal microbiota taxa than controls (1447). When analyzing the fecal microbiome from rats more vulnerable to repeated social defeat stress, compared with those classified as more resilient, shotgun metagenome sequencing identified an increase in the expression of immune-modulating microbiota, including Clostridia, in the vulnerable rats (1175). The depressive-like behavioral phenotype was transferrable via FMT from stress vulnerable to naive rats, where ventral hippocampal microglial density and IL-1β expression were enhanced, when compared with naive rats receiving FMT from stress resilient donors (1175). In a different study, defeated mice displayed increased depressive-like behaviors that correlated with a reduction in the abundance of specific bacterial genera (1020). Future studies should focus on whether the microbiota provides a mechanism for stress susceptibility or resilience in both rodents and humans.

2. Probiotics and stress-related changes

There is growing evidence that specific manipulations of the microbiota might modulate the negative effects of stress, including stress-related behavior and HPA axis activation (1314). Much of this work has focused on administration of probiotics, and particularly Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus species, with promising effects observed on stress and related anxiety and depression in both preclinical and human studies (see also sect. VIII, E and F, and Table 5) (230, 1199, 1581).

In a randomized placebo-controlled fMRI study, a multispecies probiotic increased a buffer against stress-related negative effects on working memory, specifically in PFC recruitment, identifying the use of probiotics as a support recruitment, identifying the use of probiotics as a support for cognition under stressful situations in healthy individuals (1155). In a preclinical study, oral administration of a two-strain probiotic, L. helveticus and B. longum, significantly improved measures of HPA axis responsiveness to CRD, an acute stressor, rather than with either strain alone (22). Conversely, infection with pathogenic C. rodentium enhanced vulnerability to stress-induced memory impairments in mice, which was ameliorated by pretreatment with a combination of probiotics (L. rhamnosus and L. helveticus) (569).

Similar attenuation of stress responses has also been observed in chronic stress paradigms. For example, administration of L. helveticus NS8 to Sprague-Dawley rats improved behavior following chronic restraint stress (894). A different strain of L. helveticus, MCCI848, was also shown to ameliorate social defeat stress-induced anxiety- or depressive-like behaviors in mice (966). L. rhamnosus abrogated anxiety-like behaviors due to chronic social defeat stress. However, the gut microbiota alterations that manifested due to the stressor remained unchanged (147). Another recent study has shown that supplementation with L. plantarum successfully abrogated heightened stress responses due to chronic unpredictable stress and sleep deprivation stress and resulting in increases in host gut Lactobacillus species (436). Likewise, administration of C. butyricum prevented depressive-like behaviors associated with chronic unpredictable stress (1440).

The studies discussed so far were conducted in adult animals following acute or chronic stress, but probiotic treatments also ameliorate the effects of stress during vulnerable periods, such as in early-life stress. Several different probiotic strains have been tested in the maternal separation model with rats. A combination of L. rhamnosus and L. helveticus normalized fear behavior in stressed, maternally-separated pups and their later offspring (234, 345). The probiotic B. infantis normalized behavioral deficits in adult rats exposed to maternal separation (431). Interestingly, the same strain did not affect depressive-like behavior in animals reared in a stress-free early environment (430). Intervention with B. animalis and Propionibacterium jensenii restored some gut microbial perturbations in adult maternally-separated animals exposed to a “second hit” of stress in adulthood (105). Finally, the administration of B. bifidum G9–1 concomitantly to the maternal separation stress prevented juvenile hypersensitivity to acute stress (552).

The evidence for translating psychobiotic therapies into the clinic is becoming hard to ignore; studies are beginning to address the question of translation to humans. A combination probiotic L. helveticus R0052 and B. longum R0175 given to both rats and humans had an anxiolytic-like effect in rats and reduced urinary cortisol levels 24 h following administration in humans, suggesting a normalization of the HPA axis response to stressors (1034, 1035). Additionally, a study using the probiotic L. plantarum 299v resulted in decreased cortisol levels in a group of healthy adult students undergoing supplementation of probiotic during a period of exam stress (49), and L. plantarum DR7 was shown to alleviate stress and anxiety in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study with stressed adults (289). A B. longum species, already proven to reduce anxiety and stress responses during acute stress in mice (1321),
similarly reduced stress and anxiety measures in a population of healthy adults as well as improving cognition (38). Physical symptoms of exam stress, such as the onset of stress-induced GI symptoms and head-colds, proved amenable to probiotic treatment with L. casei (773) and B. bifidum (852). Studies in related IBS cohorts have also shown an improvement in stress-related GI symptoms due to probiotic treatments (449). While not all potential probiotic interventions showing promise in preclinical studies have translated successfully to human studies (782, 1279), there is enough evidence to warrant further investigation of potential probiotics as a therapeutic strategy to alleviate the detrimental effects of stress.

3. Prebiotics

Although it is accepted that certain prebiotics can alter the gut microbiota (164, 434), their effect on stress is less well understood. Administration of sialyl lactoses (human milk oligosaccharides) to mice exposed to social disruption prevented stress-induced colonic microbial disruption and anxiety-like behavior (1464). The prebiotics FOS and GOS have been shown to have anxiolytic effects in naive animals, protecting mice from the impact of chronic stress on the microbiota (229). In humans, one important small-scale placebo-controlled study in healthy individuals demonstrated that intervention with B-GOS decreased waking salivary cortisol levels as well as increased positive processing of emotional information (1337). In light of these results, it could be hypothesized that prebiotic intake could also modulate the HPA axis and have beneficial effects on stress-related disorders.

4. Other microbiota interventions

In addition to prebiotics and probiotics, other strategies to modify or deplete the microbiota have also been shown to alter stress responses. Although the mechanism is not clear, microbiota depletion using antibiotics has been shown to impact stress-related behaviors. Administration of nonabsorbable antibiotics during pregnancy alters the maternal microbiota and can influence the behavior of the offspring, including increased anxiety-like behavior (1497). However, prenatal and early postnatal administration of penicillin decreases anxiety-like behavior and sociability in a sex-specific way (867). Moving to a later time window of administration, exposure to antibiotics from early adolescence decreases anxiety and impairs cognition in mice (429). However, antibiotics are not the only interventional strategy that can modulate stress-related behavior. An FMT from stress-prone BALB/c to GF Swiss Webster mice increased anxiety-related behavior, whereas performing the transfer from the more stress-resilient Swiss Webster mice to GF BALB/c reduced the anxiety-like phenotype (132). Recently, FMT from mice exposed to chronic immobilization stress to conventional C57s induced anxiety-like behavior and suppressed hippocampal BDNF expression (722). From a more translational approach, FMT from depressed patients to microbiota-depleted rats was sufficient to increase anhedonia and anxiety-like behaviors in the rodent recipients (783). Although these studies did not include any measurement of the neuroendocrine response to stress, they demonstrate that microbiota composition may play a causative role in stress-related behavioral changes. A clearer understanding is needed to elucidate the role neurohormones play in these behavioral outcomes. Intriguingly, excessive stress combined with the use of stomach acid suppressants have also been shown to additively and independently affect the composition of stomach microbiota (962).

Indeed, multiple neurocognitive processes in the hippocampus were coincident with such changes in the microbiota composition (962).

VIII. DISEASES AND DISEASE PROCESSES

As the field of microbiota-gut-brain research has progressed and matured, the microbiota has been implicated in a growing list of psychological and neurological diseases and disease processes (FIGURE 6). Of note, many disorders of brain and behavior are related to exogenous stressor exposure, dysregulation of the HPA axis stress response (see sect. IVJ), and individual coping mechanisms, or resilience to stress, all of which are components of stress responding, that are now recognized as being modulated by the microbiota as described previously (see sects. IVH, VIG, and VIId). As we will outline below, the state of the evidence varies between disorders, with some in a preliminary stage of research where studies have been limited to correlational observations of altered microbiota composition in clinical populations, while there is stronger support for a causal role of the microbiota in other disease processes.

A. Autism Spectrum Disorder

Autism spectrum disorder is a heterogeneous group of neurodevelopmental disorders characterized by profound deficits in sociability, stereotyped or repetitive behavior in addition to anxiety and cognitive disturbances (997). With a worldwide prevalence of 1 in 68 children, it is more common in males, who are four times more likely to develop the condition than females (976). The behavioral disturbances are accompanied by profound alterations in key central physiological processes such as neuroinflammation, neurogenesis, neurotransmission and in the production of pro-social hormones, oxytocin, and vasopressin (1365). Although ASD may be classically thought of as primarily neurological in its pathology, there is growing evidence to demonstrate a role for the GI system and its resident microbiota in aspects of ASD symptomatology also. Approximately 70% of children with ASD report comorbid GI disturbances such as bloat-
ing, constipation, and diarrhea, indicating that gut physiology is indeed altered (1419). Interestingly, in a small open-label study in which children with ASD were treated with the broad-spectrum antibiotic vancomycin, there was a marked improvement in behavioral symptoms (759, 1310). Although antibiotics are not a viable long-term intervention strategy for the management of ASD, this study provided the field with a critical insight that the gut microbiota may contribute to the behavioral disturbances in this neurodevelopmental disorder. Several additional studies have observed significant alterations to the composition of the microbiota and in the production of microbial metabolites in children with ASD (524, 525, 760, 1162, 1590). Of note, there is a reduced abundance of the beneficial bacteria genus, Bifidobacterium, along with an increased abundance of potentially pathogenic Desulfovibrio and Clostridia genera in the gut microbiota of children with ASD, a result that was consistent across all studies. A more recent study identified that the gene cpb2, which encodes for the clostridial toxin b, is significantly more expressed in C. perfringens isolated from the fecal microbiota of children with ASD relative to controls (596). The β2 toxin has been shown previously to cause GI-related illnesses (i.e., diarrhea) and the increased presence of this gene in clostridial species in the ASD microbiota may thus help to explain the GI-related comorbidities observed in this neurodevelopmental condition. While several studies to date have characterized the alterations of the microbiota in individuals with autism, there is limited evidence to demonstrate whether targeting the microbiota through probiotic or dietary interventions can improve symptoms of ASD in humans. However, a small open-label clinical intervention demonstrated that FMT of a standardized microbiota cocktail to children with ASD was efficacious in improving the GI and behavioral symptoms (759). Although the sample size was small and the experimental design lacked a randomized, double-blind structure, the study provided promising preliminary evidence to demonstrate that the microbiota may indeed be a viable target as a treatment strategy for ASD. A more recent randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study demonstrated that a combination of a casein/gluten-free diet along with the prebiotic B-GOS led to an improvement in the behavioral symptoms of autistic children (608). These behavioral changes were accompanied by an increase in the relative abundance of the beneficial strain, B. longum, in the microbiota of autistic children. Further clinical trials are required with larger sample sizes and more rigorous study design.

Preclinical studies have been invaluable in providing the field with an insight into how the microbiota-gut-brain axis may be involved in ASD. Knockout of the shank 3 (autism candidate) gene results in profound autistic-like behavior in mice (1356, 1448). In addition to this, shank 3 transgenic mice also display alterations to the composition of their GI microbiota, with notable reductions in Lactobacillus, Prevotella, and Veillonella. Interestingly, treatment with L. reuteri improved social deficits in male, but not female, shank 3 transgenic mice. This corresponded with an increased expression of oxytocin mRNA expression within the hypothalamus of male shank 3 transgenic mice. However, the probiotic strain reduced expression of the neuropeptide in the hypothalamus of female mice, which may explain the absence of any improvement in social behavior in these animals (1448). Moreover, such results suggest that, other than modulation of oxytocin expression, there may be sexual dimorphic factors underlying L. reuteri’s mechanism of action.

The BTBR mouse, which displays an inherent autistic-like phenotype across multiple behavioral domains, also exhibits altered GI physiology similar to what is reported in the clinical setting (593). For instance, BTBR mice display prolonged intestinal motility indicative of constipation. Moreover, it has been reported that there is a breakdown in the permeability of the small and large intestine of these animals (334). This permeability deficit phenomenon may contribute towards bacterial or related components, translocated to systemic circulation, whereby they can elicit an inflammatory response (593). Profound alterations in the microbiota composition characterized by deficits in the relative abundance of Bifidobacterium and Blautia genera are also observed compared with C57BL/6 mice. The absence of these two bacterial genera was linked to additional deficits in bile acid signaling in these mice, which may contribute towards its observed GI physiology.

While genetic models such as the Shank 3 and BTBR are important tools in elucidating any genetic component to the alterations in the microbiota-gut-brain axis in ASD, it is also vital to model how environmental factors may contribute towards the neurodevelopmental disorder and their impact upon the GI microbiota. For instance, in utero exposure to the viral component and TLR3 agonist, Poly I:C, facilitated the development of autistic-like behavior in mice, while also increasing intestinal permeability and altering the composition of the gut microbiota (690). Moreover, exposure to the teratogen valproic acid (VPA) in utero has been shown to result in intestinal inflammation (1287), and dysregulation to the GI microbiota observed as changes in the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio (412) and an increase in the abundance of the species Desulfovibrio (524). Furthermore, VPA exposure leads to a deficit in social interaction in mice while also affecting serotonergic turnover in the amygdala (411, 412). Given the influence that the microbiota has on central processes such as serotonergic neurotransmission (1118), alterations to the gut microbiota through environmental insults such as in utero VPA or Poly I:C exposure may contribute towards the observed behavioral
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B. Major Depressive Disorder

Depression is the leading cause of disability worldwide, affecting 4.4% of the world’s population (1624). It is now known that Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) correlates with increases in pro-inflammatory cytokines (1492), which in turn activate the HPA axis possibly accentuating its hyperactivation associated with depression (290). Effective therapies for depression abrogate the heightened inflammatory response and decrease HPA axis activation (290, 1157).

There is burgeoning evidence of a role for the microbiota-gut-brain axis in MDD (539, 683). However, there is a need for better-designed studies with clear psychiatric and psychological phenotyping when it comes to depression and anxiety and the microbiota-gut-brain axis in patient populations. Currently, most of the studies have been conducted in preclinical models (442). As stated earlier, alterations in the microbiota composition and inflammation have been seen in the maternal separation model (1121) and the Flinders-sensitive rat model of depression (1495). Evidence from GF mice has provided further insight into the role of the microbiota-gut-brain axis in depression, showing that the absence of a microbiota reduces depressive-like behavior in the forced swim test (FST) (1679). Certain probiotic and prebiotic interventions have also been shown to reduce depressive-like behaviors in rat and mouse models, in addition to ameliorating inflammatory responses (209, 229, 431, 1495) (see TABLES 4 AND 5).

There are now a number of human studies that have found differences in fecal microbiota in MDD patients when compared with healthy controls (24, 735, 783, 1089, 1679). However, there is limited consensus between them in relation to the type of changes seen. In one study, Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus were reduced in 43 depressed individuals (24). Another study witnessed increased fecal bacterial alpha diversity, present in a cohort of 46 concurrently depressed patients, which was not seen in patients who had responded to treatment (735). One observation noted that Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria were increased, and Firmicutes were decreased, and negatively correlated with severity of the depressive symptoms (735). While interindividual variability was apparent, there were significant differences found in a variety of genera when compared with controls. The order Bacteroidales was significantly increased, and the family Lachnospiraceae was significantly decreased in another cohort of 37 depressed patients versus healthy controls (1089), at the moment, all correlative. Elevated cortisol output in addition to decreased fecal microbial richness was reported in a study of 34 MDD patients (783), and significantly different gut microbiota were noted in an MDD cohort when compared with matched controls (1679). Furthermore, a human FMT to rats resulted in a transfer of the depressive and anxious phenotypes (783), which was not observed with FMT from healthy human controls. Currently, it is difficult to reconcile the variations seen across the studies, and it may be reflective of the small sample size as well as medication effects. Large-scale studies in drug naive depressives are now warranted.

In an effort to address this, a recent study examined the microbiota composition of >1,000 individuals enrolled in Belgium’s Flemish Gut Flora Project and clustered individuals into four enterotypes based on their microbiome composition (1537). Intriguingly, those who had depression, or
lower quality of life score, were over-represented in an enterotype characterized by lower relative abundance of the genus Faecalibacterium, in addition to an overall reduced microbial load. On deeper analysis, the researchers found that there was an association between bacteria that produce the SCFA butyrate (Faecalibacterium and Coprococcus) and higher quality of life indicators. On the other hand, the levels of Coprococcus and Dialister were significantly lower in the people with depression. Many of the findings were replicated the Dutch LifeLines DEEP cohort as well as in a small cohort of clinically refractory depressed patients. Additionally, they identified potential microbial-derived metabolites that have neuroactive potential from the literature, identified relevant pathways in the shotgun metagenome data, and clustered them into a modular framework. In total, they curated and annotated 56 different gut-brain modules, each corresponding to a single neuroactive compound production or degradation process. These included modules involved in the potential ability of the gut microbiome to synthesize the dopamine metabolite 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC). Two other modules relevant to glutamate and GABA pathways also tended to be altered in depression. Interestingly, bacteria that have the capacity to generate or breakdown GABA have been implicated in changes in brain function and behavior (108, 1206, 1429). Although still correlative, they are among the most convincing to date to show a relationship between microbiota composition and mental health. Moreover, they agree with recent crowd-sourced funding approaches such as the American Gut Project, which have shown a link between microbiota composition with depression (1017).

A probiotic intervention study using an L. casei strain described improvements to mood ratings in a healthy elderly cohort following treatment, with most benefit for those who had lower mood at baseline (131). Furthermore, a triple-strain probiotic (L. acidophilus, L. casei, and B. bifidum) resulted in improvements in depression scores, in addition to beneficial metabolic effects in an MDD cohort (28). Importantly, L. rhamnosus HN001 supplementation during pregnancy resulted in significant lowering of anxiety and postnatal depression (1387). One recent study examined the effects of the probiotic GOS combined with a probiotic containing L. helveticus and B. longum on mild to moderate MDD in a placebo-controlled parallel study (778). Beneficial effects of the probiotic were observed, including decreases in depression scores as well as improvements in tryptophan signaling. Another recent open-label study in patients with treatment-resistant depression has shown promise for the probiotic C. butyricum as an adjunct therapy, used in combination with antidepressant drugs (1054). Cognitive performance was further enhanced upon treatment of MDD patients with the probiotic L. plantarum 299v (1293).

Several studies in the literature failed to show any benefit to depression scores on administration of probiotics in patients presenting with MDD (297, 1280). However, promising results in healthy controls and preclinical studies warrant further investigation in clinical populations (1034, 1035). Overall, systematic reviews of probiotics as a potential adjunct therapy in MDD are encouraging, describing that probiotics effectively improve mood in humans (691, 1199, 1581), although another recent meta-analysis calls into question the significance of these findings, highlighting the confounding comparability of studies due to strain differences and severity of disease (1094). It is of note that poor diet is now accepted as a risk factor for depression, as well as a therapeutic target (712, 713). Improvements in diet will likely lead to an increase in consumption of probiotic foods. There are limited studies on the effects of probiotics in depression. Nonetheless, there is some evidence for a reduction of stress in human studies, which is intrinsically linked with depression (1337, 1374). One study recently described no benefit from an 8-wk GOS interventional therapy for mild to moderate MDD (778). Polyphenols, such as resveratrol, have been described to reduce depressive-like behaviors, in addition to ameliorating increases in corticosterone and pro-inflammatory cytokines (1643), preclinically. Curcumin has described benefits in MDD patients (1094), but further clinical studies on potential benefits of polyphenols in MDD are needed.

C. Anxiety

Anxiety and depression frequently go hand-in-hand, and most studies of the microbiota-gut-brain axis in anxiety include measures of depression and vice versa. Much of the evidence for a link between anxiety and the microbiota-gut-brain axis comes from preclinical studies (539), whereby an anxious-like phenotype may be inferred from the animal’s behavior in certain environments, such as the open-field test, elevated plus maze, light/dark box, or in reaction to certain stressors (see TABLES 2–5). GF mice display diminished anxiety-like behavior in comparison with conventionally reared animals (308, 437, 1092) alongside exaggerated corticosterone responses to stress indicating altered HPA-axis function, although GF rats exhibit exaggerated anxiety responses (357). It is important to note that changes in anxiety due to probiotic treatment are species and strain dependent (209, 1321).

Improvements in specific anxiety measures have been shown in a small number of probiotic intervention studies of healthy control participants (1035) and in chronic fatigue syndrome (1239). Furthermore, a multi-strain probiotic [S. thermophilus (2 different strains), L. bulgaricus, L. lactis subsp. lactis, L. acidophilus, L. plantarum, B. lactis, and B. reuteri] was recently demonstrated to have anxiolytic effects in a small study of healthy controls (323). Interestingly, B-GOS significantly improved anxiety levels in indi-
individuals with IBS (1374). Intriguing recent work has identified Lactobacillus plantarum DR7 as a possible psychobiotic, where it alleviated anxiety- and stress-associated symptoms in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (289). However, there is a clear need for further translational studies to specifically examine the effects of psychobiotics on anxiety.

D. Schizophrenia

Schizophrenia is a complex and heterogeneous debilitating neural disorder, which has proven to be one of the most difficult psychiatric conditions to treat. It is characterized by both positive and negative neurobehavioral dysfunctions including, but not limited to, psychosis, cognitive dysfunction, delusion, apathy, and social withdrawal (1145). There have been ideas circulated purporting a role for the GI tract immune response in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia (1171, 1355, 1546), where risk factors include Toxoplasma gondii infection, food intolerances, GI inflammation, and cell barrier defects. There is evidence for both genetic and environmental etiological factors in the presentation of schizophrenia symptoms (423, 493). As a result of the immune system role associated with this psychotic disease and the key role the microbiota plays in establishing and maintaining immune function, much recent effort has examined possible influences of the microbiota in schizophrenia (123, 681, 1341). One recent study performed a metagenomic analysis of the oropharyngeal microbiota in schizophrenic individuals and healthy controls, identifying large differences at the phylum and genus levels (260). Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, and Actinobacteria presented with the most divergence between the two cohorts, with the fungi Ascomycota present in greater abundance in schizophrenia patients. Furthermore, a diversity was decreased in medicated and unmedicated patients, and FMT into GF mice had reduced hippocampal glutamate, and increased levels of glutamine and GABA, suggesting that the schizophrenic microbiome itself can affect neurochemistry, which may be relevant to the human condition (1678). Intriguingly, antibiotic use reduced microglia-mediated synapse engulfment in an in vitro assay, where reduced synapse density is a hallmark seen in postmortem cortical tissue from schizophrenia patients (1349). Upon examining electronic health records of a cohort of young adults, minocycline usage correlated with a modest decrease in incident schizophrenia (1349), indicating that much more work is needed to examine the involvement of the microbiome in schizophrenia.

No study has yet proven successful in altering any positive or negative behavioral symptoms while examining the potential for probiotic intervention in schizophrenia, in line with the severe nature and complexity of the disorder. However, some reports have indicated that at least some probiotic-induced alleviation of bowel problems commonly associated with schizophrenia are possible. In one human study, severe bowel difficulty was reduced over the course of the trial in a small group of outpatients (439), even though there were no assessed psychiatric symptoms altered. Furthermore, another human study found an association between levels of Candida albicans and gut discomfort (1354), where a trend for improved positive psychiatric symptoms occurred in males treated with a probiotic formulation (containing L. rhamnosus GG and B. animalis subsp. lactis Bb12), and who were seronegative for Candida albicans. Combined, accruing information is helping uncover a whole new array of potential treatment options for severe psychiatric illness, including consideration of bowel comfort in therapeutic analyses. More work is needed to increase the understanding of microbiota-gut-brain axis contributions in schizophrenia, increasing sample size and longitudinal analysis.

E. Bipolar Disorder

Another serious neuropsychiatric illness, bipolar disorder, is also hypothesized to have some origin associated with microbiota influence (128). Implications for the microbiome have emerged whereby patients presenting with bipolar mania were nearly twice as likely as other patients to have been recently treated with systemic antibiotics (1652). Fecal microbiome analysis has identified decreased abundance of Firmicutes, specifically Faecalibacterium, in patients presenting with bipolar disorder (496), which also correlated with self-reporting symptom severity, indicating a potential therapeutic avenue for these people. As the first of its kind, a recent probiotic clinical intervention indicated that probiotic therapy could reduce the rate of rehospitalization of patients who were recently discharged following hospitalization for mania (438).

F. Anorexia Nervosa and Cachexia

Anorexia nervosa has one of the highest mortality rates among psychiatric illnesses with the greatest impact on quality of life (55, 693). It is characterized by a perverted self body image resulting in self-imposed food intake restriction leading to subsequent severe weight loss, associated with hyperactivity and hypothermia (650). Currently, there is no definitive understanding of its etiology, nor are there any effective medications. Furthermore, there is no clear gut microbiota role or involvement ascribable in this disorder. Nonetheless, some recent evidence has seen potential gut microbiota involvement and identifies it as a possible intervention target (for reviews, see Refs. 813, 1298).

Cachexia is a metabolic condition characterized by the loss of skeletal muscle mass, both dependent and independent of fat mass loss, and is usually associated with severe illness including cancer and AIDS (506). The pathophysiology of
cancer cachexia includes reduced food intake and abnormal metabolism, leading to a negative energy/protein balance (507), where the primary drivers are mediated via inflammatory pathways and the CNS (506). However, the role of the microbiota in shaping such responses needs further attention.

G. Addiction

Recent research has investigated the microbiota-gut-brain axis in the context of addiction, which is defined as a chronic relapsing disorder where an individual continues to engage in a maladaptive behavior, despite negative consequences (832). Most studies to date have focused on the impact of drug use on the gut microbiota. In addition to drugs of abuse having a direct effect on gut microbiota (1193), addiction includes a myriad of comorbidities that have previously been linked to the microbiota-gut-brain axis, including stress and anxiety (88, 209, 229, 1635), depression (413, 1470), and chronic inflammation (37, 872, 906, 925, 1151).

The impact of substance abuse on the microbiota has been most extensively studied in alcohol abuse. Research has linked alterations in gut microbiota during alcohol consumption to increased intestinal permeability and hepatic inflammation (168, 227, 273, 914, 925, 1086, 1087, 1641), but a reduced gut microbiome can also protect from alcohol-induced neuroinflammation resulting in the alteration of intestinal and brain inflammasome expression (926). Preclinical supplementation with *L. rhamnosus* GG has been shown to lessen the severity of alcoholic hepatitis (227). Furthermore, altered microbiota composition with alcohol administration occurs not only with oral, but also vapor administration (1193), indicating that other nonorally administered drugs of abuse have the potential to impact the microbiota. Phenotyping of compulsive alcohol-seeking behavior shows intriguing correlations between specific gut bacteria and striatal dopamine expression in rats (716). Clinical research has linked negative effect during alcohol withdrawal to increased intestinal permeability, inflammation, and microbiota composition (866).

Available data on the effects of other substances of abuse on the gut microbiota indicate similar effects to alcohol. Clinical studies investigating tobacco smokers have associated smoking and cessation with changes in the gut microbiome and inflammation (37, 129, 158, 159). Chronic exposure to tobacco smoke in mice alters the microbiome and mucin gene expression (37). Murine models of opioid use have suggested a role for gut microbiota in the progression of morphine dependence and disruption of GI function (759, 872, 1202, 1583). Also, studies have linked the microbiota to altered intestinal permeability, inflammation, and metabolic processes in opioid-treated mice (760, 872, 1583). In a place preference task, rodents that received methamphet-

amino where shown to have reduced abundance in a genus of propionate-producing bacteria as well as decreases in propionate, compared with saline controls (1103). Prolonged administration of a nonabsorbable antibiotic in rodents enhanced cocaine place preference and locomotor sensitivity at low doses (5 mg/kg) of cocaine, but this effect was not seen at higher doses (10 mg/kg) (808). Interestingly, antibiotic intervention in alcohol and opioid studies reduced drug-associated increases in intestinal permeability, inflammation, and enteric neuron signaling (759, 925). Chronic treatment with the major psychoactive constituent of cannabis, Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), changed gut microbiota composition in mice (319). These changes contributed to a reduction in energy intake and prevention of HFD-induced increases in body weight and adiposity. This preliminary research suggests that there is an intriguing connection between gut microbiota and addiction. However, much work is still needed to definitively illustrate that gut microbiota composition can influence addictive behavior. Future research will need to investigate microbiota composition before drug administration to eliminate the confound of drug-induced microbiota changes and define “at risk” addictive phenotypes. The identified “at risk” phenotypes should be studied longitudinally to investigate how the microbiota changes before drug administration, during, and following abstinence. Furthermore, this mechanism should be investigated with a focus on the dopaminergic system, which is intricately involved in reward learning, locomotor sensitivity, and reinforcement of addictive behavior (1293).

H. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder that presents as inattention (i.e., difficulties in organization and focus), hyperactivity and impulsivity, or a combination of both (45). As yet there are limited studies on the role of the microbiome in ADHD, but there is a long history of investigations into the effects of diet on ADHD symptoms, with a Western-style diet being considered a predisposing factor for the disorder (688) and elimination diets, particularly exclusion of artificial food color, resulting in positive outcomes (1045, 1178, 1179). As discussed in section VI, diet has a profound influence on the gut microbiota (624, 951, 1262), suggesting that the microbiota may play a role in ADHD symptomology (1308). An analysis of a small clinical cohort of adults and adolescents presenting with ADHD supported this hypothesis, finding an increase in the genus *Bifidobacterium* compared with healthy controls, potentially via differential regulation of gut-based dopamine precursors (2). Promisingly, a perinatal probiotic intervention reduced risk for ADHD diagnosis later in childhood (1165), reflecting the potential for microbiota-based interventions in ADHD.
I. Post-traumatic Stress Disorder

Despite strong preclinical and recent clinical evidence for microbiota modulation of acute and chronic stress (see sect. VIG), direct investigations of the microbiota in clinical PTSD have been lacking; nonetheless, conceptually PTSD has been receiving increasing attention as a target for microbiome-based strategies (865). Several authors have hypothesized that there is a link between PTSD and the microbiota, yet to our knowledge, there has been only one published study (659). Further investigation into the human gut microbiome profile in situations of chronic stress is warranted.

J. Obsessive-Compulsive Disorders

Much speculation currently surrounds the possible involvement of the gut microbiota in obsessive-compulsive disorders (OCD; Refs. 1245, 1522); however, little current research exists. Stress and exposure to antibiotics both of which affect microbiota composition are proposed mechanisms coinciding with the onset of symptoms in patients presenting with OCD (764), both of which have been shown to negatively impact gut microbiota (540, 615). Furthermore, rodent behavior in the marble-burying test, which highlights perseverative, compulsive, and repetitive actions, can be influenced by the implementation of pre- and probiotics, suggesting a potential therapeutic benefit in future OCD treatment (762, 1104, 1321). Clearly more longitudinal human studies are needed to understand to what extent the microbiome is contributing to the symptoms of OCD (1245, 1522).

K. Obesity

Obesity is a major public health issue, increasing risk for a range of serious health problems and ultimately increasing morbidity of the individual at a substantial economic cost to society (1511). Obesity is known to express comorbidly with other centrally regulated disorders such as depression (249), bipolar disorder (591), anxiety disorders (1539), and altered social behavior (879). Alarmingly, the prevalence of obesity has increased recently in many countries worldwide, particularly countries where a Western-style diet is dominant (1090a). As described earlier (see sects. VIC and VIIA), the microbiota is highly influenced by diet (995) and plays a key role in the central regulation of food intake. Thus it is not surprising that the microbiota is also implicated as a key factor in obesity (for review, see Refs. 223, 237, 350, 1503). To date, most of the focus on microbiota-obesity interactions have focused on adiposity, glycemic response, and peripheral regulation of metabolism. However, there is a growing appreciation that the microbiota-gut-brain axis is involved (1437, 1503). For example, over the course of a single day, the gut microbiota of humanized mice can experience a shift in both composition and function which may explain the influence of the westernized diet in obesity (1525). Through both human and mouse models of obesity, an alteration in microbiota composition is observed, namely, an increase in the relative abundance of Firmicutes and a decrease in Bacteroidetes compared with lean controls. Interestingly this observation is reversed when individuals are put on a lower calorie diet, which has been shown to affect metabolic potential and increase the capacity to harvest energy from food (1524, 1525).

The presence of food in the gut results in a cascade of signaling events that is essential in regulating energy homeostasis. Vagal afferent neurons facilitate communication between the gut and the brain via NTS (see sect. IVA) (142). In obese rat models, a reduction in c-fos activation in the NTS is seen following food intake, suggesting a decrease in vagal signaling from the gut to the NTS (343). Sensitivity of the vagal nerve to peripheral neuropeptides involved in food intake is also seen in diet-induced obesity (383), with vagal neurons becoming resistant to leptin, reducing its sensitivity to CCK and subsequently affecting the regulation of meal size (402). Given the role of the vagus in signaling from microbiota to brain, it is highly likely to also play a role in microbial regulation of food intake.

Bariatric surgery is an alternative intervention often used to treat morbid obesity and has been shown to alter the gut microbiota (see sect. VIIA) (61, 828). This surgery has been shown to increase the hormonal and inflammatory status, reduce adiposity, and improve insulin sensitivity, as well as increase the bile acid pool and microbiota composition diversity (61). The overall abundance of Gammaproteobacteria and Verrucomicrobia (Akkermansia) was increased, and Firmicutes was decreased, in both human (61) and rodent (1669) bariatric studies. In one intriguing study, FMT from mice that underwent gastric bypass surgery into GF animals resulted in decreased fat mass and an overall reduction in body weight in the GF mice (905). From this study one can hypothesize that bariatric surgery can lead to alterations in gut microbiota, resulting in variations in SCFA composition, which in turn can affect host metabolism, gut hormone secretion, and insulin sensitivity. Thus targeting these effects could play a central role in treating obesity, metabolic syndrome, and diabetes (for review, see Refs. 61, 142). Compounding the potential success of bariatric surgery for treating obesity, postoperative treatment with a course of probiotics has been shown to increase bacterial diversity and enhance further weight loss (1620).

Orexigenic peptides such as ghrelin are known to be altered in obesity; notably circulating ghrelin is decreased in obese patients indicating changes to ghrelin synthesis in the gut and possible upregulation of the ghrelin receptor (1515). Oxyntomodulin, an anorexigenic gut-derived hormone, is being considered a viable target for obesity treatment.
(1633). For example, oxyntomodulin has been shown to reduce food intake resulting in weight loss, and reduced sensitivity and expression of anti-obesity gut-derived peptides, and is observed within the hypothalamus when conventionally raised mice are compared with leaner GF mice (1331). Given the role of gut peptides in signaling from microbiome to brain (see sec. IV), they are poised to play a key role in microbial regulation of food intake too, but more research is needed to delineate the exact nature of this crosstalk.

A common side effect of many medications includes a change in patient body weight, and as described earlier in section VI, many of these medications also affect microbiota composition. For example, atypical antipsychotics are known for weight gain and metabolic dysfunction as prominent side effects (165, 300, 390, 391, 1072, 1138, 1187). Moreover, a recent prebiotic approach was also shown to reverse olanzapine-induced weight gain (763). Risperidone, a second-generation antipsychotic often prescribed for the treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder in children and adolescents (167), has been shown to upregulate pathways involved in weight gain and alter gut microbial composition after chronic treatment (85). Furthermore, this phenotype was transmissible via FMT as well as through treatment with phages that were isolated from risperidone-treated microbiota (85). Future focus will concentrate on developing probiotic and prebiotic interventions that act on the gut microbiota composition to restore normal gut-brain signaling to act as novel treatments for obesity (1503).

L. Irritable Bowel Syndrome

IBS is one of the most common types of functional gastrointestinal disorder (FGID) and can significantly impact quality of life for these patients. Symptoms include alterations in bowel habits, abdominal pain, bloating, distension, and excessive flatulence (484, 1299). In addition, IBS frequently presents comorbidly with behavioral, psychosocial, psychological, and environmental factors (727, 1267, 1411), with microbiota-gut-brain interactions being a prime target in patients with IBS (327, 784, 1011). Moreover, with the advent of Rome IV criteria, IBS has been formally recognized as a disorder of the gut-brain axis (327, 1228), with a possible role for diet-microbiota interactions in the genesis of core symptoms (1234).

One particular pathway of importance in IBS is that of 5-HT signaling. As described earlier (sect. IV), it has been demonstrated that a functional GI tract involves 5-HT signaling between ECs (1006, 1007) acting as sensory transducers and the majority of 5-HT is synthesized, stored, and released by these cells, which interact with intrinsic and extrinsic sensory nerve afferents in the mucosal layer of the gut (423, 1355). Any change to this signaling can result in altered gut function, especially when considering EC-directed gut 5-HT signaling controls many GI functions including secretion, vasodilation, peristalsis, as well as sensory perception such as pain and nausea (123, 260, 493, 681, 1341). Moreover, serotonergic function and tryptophan metabolism are known to be altered in IBS patients (48, 307, 309, 378, 439, 528, 898, 1354).

Microbial- and diet-based treatments for IBS have given cause for hope (441, 1380, 1603). A probiotic-based clinical treatment has been shown to be effective in ameliorating stress-related GI complaints when using a combination of L. acidophilus Rosell-52 and B. longum Rosell-175 (449). Another study reported marginally increased positive outlook scores in patients with IBS treated with B. longum, indicative of reduced depression (1198). However, a study using a L. rhamnosus strain failed to find benefits on depression scores in another cohort of IBS treated with patients (386). It has been proposed that probiotic amelioration of IBS symptomology may be acting indirectly through an anti-inflammatory mechanism (1492). Such anti-inflammatory mechanisms may also be partially responsible for the positive effects of dietary restriction, such as that seen in the low FODMAP (fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides, and polyols) diet in IBS (290, 442, 539). Here, it is believed that high doses of certain FODMAPs can result in increased luminal water in the small intestine (982, 1085), or increased colonic gas production resulting from microbiota fermentation (1085, 1135), which can lead to pain, bloating, and diarrhea. An alternate method for IBS treatment involves FMT from non-IBS individuals to patients with IBS (626, 678). FMT has become a popular treatment method for IBS (1056, 1196, 1677), as well as of chronic constipation (209, 448, 1491) and refractory IBS (431, 1692), although the relative success is questionable given current data (626).

M. Pain Disorders

Crudely, pain types can be categorized as nociceptive pain, inflammatory pain (which is associated with tissue damage and the infiltration of immune cells), or pathological pain, which is a disease state caused by damage to the nervous system or by its abnormal function (examples including neuropathic pain, fibromyalgia, migraine, and headache) (1622). Within these categories, the pain can be further sub-characterized as somatic (skin and deep tissue) or visceral (relating to internal organs). While the anatomic pathways and signaling mechanisms involved in somatic/musculoskeletal pain (skin and deep tissue) and responses to acute nociceptive insults and acute inflammatory pain are relatively well defined, the mechanisms underlying visceral, neuropathic, and chronic pain and their treatment are proving a difficult target for therapeutic intervention.

Much of the evidence for gastrointestinal microbiota in pain response has focused on nociceptive disorders of the
gut (679, 1224), in particular, inflammatory pain disorders including *C. difficile* infection and IBD, and FGIDs including IBS (see sect. VIII.). Chronic visceral pain is one of the predominant symptoms of FGID and IBD. It is apparent that both involve centrally and peripherally regulated mechanisms and are therefore often referred to as disorders of the gut-brain axis. However, it is as yet unclear how, or to what extent, microbiota that are confined to the gastrointestinal tract can influence visceral pain behavior associated with FGIDs (1063, 1244) or IBD (679).

A number of diagnostic studies have determined an altered GI microbiota profile in patients with chronic or recurrent visceral pain, including inflammatory pain in IBD (332, 544, 978) and IBS (254, 728, 772, 1003, 1106, 1358, 1378). A common finding across these studies is a decreased relative abundance of the genera Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus, and increased Firmicutes-to-Bacteriodetes ratios at the phylum level (306). The correlational nature of these cross-sectional studies means it is unclear whether these microbiota changes are causative of the nociceptive/inflammatory response, or whether the altered microbiota profile occurs in response to tissue injury or inflammation in the host.

### 1. Inflammatory pain

While antibiotics including metronidazole, vancomycin, or fidaxomicin remain first line treatment for *C. difficile* infection, FMT is medically accepted as a highly successful procedure for the eradication of infection and associated symptoms including abdominal pain (364, 1396). A recent review (111) and metadata analysis (1156) capture the efficacy of microbial-based treatments including probiotic treatments and FMT in the treatment of IBD. FMT was reported as having >50% clinical remission in some studies while having <50% clinical remission in others. Probiotics were efficacious in some but not all *C. difficile* infection studies where pain and intestinal discomfort were key comorbidities.

IBD is a chronic, relapsing, and remitting painful inflammatory disorder of the gastrointestinal tract comprising ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease. A recent review has captured the efficacy of FMT in the treatment of IBD (882) with studies reporting 20–33% clinical remission in pain in ulcerative colitis, reduction in pain index in 50–75% Crohn’s disease individuals, and mixed effects 0–80% in pouchitis. To date, there are no probiotics demonstrating efficacy in the treatment of Crohn’s disease (821), while for ulcerative colitis there are mixed reports of efficacy of probiotics, with VSL#3 possibly having effect in prevention of relapse in quiescent ulcerative colitis (427). Similarly, in pouchitis, some probiotic interventions were reported as effective or ineffective (821) in prevention or alleviation of symptoms. Arthritic pain is also associated with inflammation. Despite evidence for a role for microbiota, in particular *Prevotella copri*, in untreated new-onset rheumatoid arthritis patients (696, 1226, 1334) and evidence from animal studies (965, 1333), human studies are only now being undertaken to investigate the effects of FMT in arthritis (838), and the limited randomized control trials and relatively low participant numbers in probiotic studies have not yielded any clear consensus to the efficacy of probiotics in arthritis (924).

### 2. Visceral pain

Despite the preclinical evidence for a role for gut microbiota in visceral pain, clinical studies remain inconclusive with a large “nonresponder” population observed in many probiotic and FMT trials. A good example is provided by the range of therapeutic responses to the somewhat controversial technique of FMT from healthy donors to patients with FGIDs. In one study involving patients with IBS (195), FMT was effective in 36% of the patients, mildly improved discomfort in a further 16%, and was noneffective in the remaining 47%. In a recent double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled parallel group study design (*n* = 90), FMT was shown to alleviate IBS symptoms 3 mo after transplantation, although this was not apparent 12 mo after transplantation. Further clinical trials and case studies have utilized FMT for the alleviation of chronic constipation (51, 245, 448, 1491), refractory IBS (678, 1196), and the pain-component of IBD (52, 130, 781, 1057, 1288) with varied success. The efficacy of FMT and probiotic supplementation in the treatment of visceral pain is synthesized in a recent review (1119).

### 3. Neuropathic and pathological pain

There is a sparsity of microbiota-related research on many pain types that lack a clear causal localized insult including diabetic neuropathy, stroke-related pain, cancer pain, migraine and headache, or multiple sclerosis-related pain. One case study reported an improvement in diabetic neuropathy following FMT (233). Another study reported an improvement in post-chemotherapy abdominal pain following probiotic treatment in colorectal cancer (1140), an event that is caused by chemotherapy-induced GI toxicity of the gut microbiome-host immune system (1346). Clinical studies have reported a moderate reduction in number, duration, and/or intensity of migraine events with probiotic administration (407, 1351), while individuals suffering from fibromyalgia, a chronic widespread muscle and joint pain disorder, had no alleviation of pain following probiotic administration (1277).

### 4. Preclinical evidence for a role of microbiota in pain response

GF mice exhibit a blunted response to inflammatory pain (42). When reared in an SPF environment, SKG mice, an
animal model of spontaneous Th17-cell dependent arthritis, remained healthy until exposed to zymosan, a fungal β-glucan (1654). Similarly, antibiotic-induced depletion of the microbiota decreased visceral pain responses elicited by intraperitoneal acetic acid injection or intracolonic capsaicin infusion in mice (16). On the other hand, GF animals exhibit an exaggerated response to visceral pain in the CRD model (932), while antibiotic administration in early postnatal life or in adulthood has been shown to increase susceptibility to CRD in adult rats (672, 1119). In a seminal FMT study where fecal matter from IBS patients characterized by hypersensitivity to CRD was transplanted to GF rats, an exaggerated response to CRD was observed in the recipient animals (356), further fueling the gathering interest in the role of the gut microbiota in visceral pain. Together, these findings suggest that depletion of the gut microbiota may be beneficial in reducing sensitivity to inflammatory, but not mechanical, nociceptive information.

There is evidence for positive modulation of pain responses to both inflammatory and mechanical stimuli through probiotic administration (although evidence for prebiotic effects is limited) (761, 854). B. infantis 35624 (1021), L. paracasei NCC2461 (1561), and L. reuteri (756) have all been shown to be effective in blunting nociceptive responses to CRD in naive rodents. Probiotics have also been successfully used to prevent stress-induced exaggeration of the pain response to CRD in the maternal separation model of early-life stress (specifically using L. paracasei NCC2461 in mice and VSL#3 in rats) (450, 494) and in adults exposed to restraint stress (using B. lactis CNCM I-2494) (13). Similarly, different probiotic species have been shown to ameliorate visceral hypersensitivity to CRD in a rat model of colitis, perhaps through protective effects on intestinal barrier function (restoration of barrier integrity and tight junction protein levels) and/or reductions in circulating inflammatory cytokines (740, 862, 991). Finally, prophylactic administration of the probiotic mix VSL#3 suppressed visceral hypersensitivity induced by inflammation via intraocolonic instillation of 4% acetic acid (380).

While such studies implicate the microbiota in alleviating certain symptoms associated with GI discomfort or pain sensitivity, further research is needed to establish whether FMT results in long-lasting changes in microbiota composition or immune, endocrine, inflammatory, or neurotransmitter systems. Further double-blind, randomized control trials would also clarify the effectiveness of FMT in the treatment of FGIDs and potentially provide insight into the reasons for individual differences in treatment response. At the level of the gut, the sensitization of primary afferent nociceptors is thought to lead to visceral hypersensitivity. A number of different receptor types are involved in the process of peripheral sensitization including the transient receptor potential channel (TRPV) family, proteinase-activated receptors, CCK receptors, 5-HT receptors, cannabinoid receptors, as well as an array of ion channels including ATP-gated ion channels, voltage-gated sodium and calcium channels, acid-sensing ion channels, and pH-sensitive receptors (25, 1563). A range of physiologically active agents including substance P, glutamate, aspartate, vasoactive intestinal peptide, CCK, somatostatin, calcitonin gene-related peptide, and galanin are released from nerve terminals of the visceral primary afferents of the gut to propagate the nociceptive signal to second-order neurons at the dorsal horn of the spinal cord.

There are many potential mechanisms by which the GI microbiota could activate these receptor systems or agents either directly or indirectly. These include local immune-mediated events at the mucosal-epithelial interface during infection, inflammation, and autoimmunity (256, 478, 755, 1014, 1290) or through other chemical messengers including formyl peptides (698), proteases (266, 1562) and PUFA release (267), SCFA production (365), neurotransmitter and neuropeptide release (942), or hormone secretion (243, 244). Microbially derived biomolecules involved in vasoconstriction could potentially contribute to migraine development. Evidence suggests that the gut microbiota can also stimulate the release of the body’s endogenous pain-suppressing compounds including opioids from innate neutrophils and monocytes (201), endocannabinoids from colonic tissue (1079), as well as other pain modulators including monoamines (1131).

N. Parkinson’s Disease

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by tremor, rigidity of movement, and distinctive gait. Motor impairment and characteristic brain pathology do not present as symptoms until quite an advanced stage of the disease. By this time much of the dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra, cells responsible for motor control, have been lost or have degenerated axons (281). Recent evidence from a number of laboratories (117, 642, 666, 788, 1304, 1332, 1531) proposes a relationship between the complexity and diversity of the microorganisms that inhabit our gut and PD. Indeed, even an appendectomy was recently seen as a potential prophylactic for PD initiation (796). These studies suggest that, along with an altered GI microbiota profile, a shift to a pro-inflammatory state occurs, with possible detrimental effects in the gut and brain (1189). This supports the frequently observed occurrence of functional GI symptoms such as constipation which often occur prodromal, years before motor symptoms emerge (508, 1207). Fifteen years ago, it was first postulated that the etiology of PD might begin in the gut (205). A widely used staging tool for PD, the Unified Parkinson’s disease Rating Scale (UPDRS), uses semiquantitative descriptors of motor impairments, but additionally contains measures of non-motor symptoms, including gut effects, reflecting the common occurrence of gut
dysbiosis in the disease. Preclinical studies have provided further evidence that microbiota may be causally related to PD symptomatology and pathophysiology, whereby FMT from Parkinsonian patients into GF mice overexpressing the PD-linked protein α-synuclein resulted in two hallmark symptoms of PD: motor deficits and neuroinflammation (1304), suggesting that distinct microbes are associated with PD. It was notable that symptoms improved when the mice were treated with antibiotics. Altogether, a role for gut microbiota in PD in the initiation and progression of PD seems more and more compelling (442, 1190).

An aggregation of the protein α-synuclein in the brain is a hallmark of PD pathology. This has been seen in the mucosal and submucosal nerve fibers and ganglia of PD patients (538, 669). There is also preclinical evidence suggesting that α-synuclein in the gut can transport to the brain via the vagus nerve (677), known to be the main conduit for signals from the gut to the brain (see sect. IVA). α-Synuclein may exert its effects via microbial influence, or via prion-like translocation to the brain, and may act as a store for pathogenic forms of α-synuclein, increasing the risk of PD development (796). Furthermore, α-synuclein aggregation appears to be modulated by the gut microbial metabolite LPS, a well-characterized interaction associated with this alternative pathway of PD progression (148). Epidemiological studies have shown that truncal vagotomy in Danish and Swedish patients is protective against PD (907, 1444). Somewhat ironically, the utilization of vagotomy was stopped when it was discovered that peptic ulcers were caused by Helicobacter pylori and could be treated with antibiotics. Furthermore, there is also evidence linking H. pylori infection to the development of PD symptoms through degenerating dopaminergic neurons in the brain (452). Intriguingly, eradication of H. pylori has been shown to enhance the onset time of levodopa (first line pharmacological treatment for PD symptoms) while also improving rigidity, walking ability, and tremor (239, 644).

The original work describing alterations in gut microbiota profile compared PD patients with healthy controls (1332) and paved the way for further studies on gut microbiota profile in PD. The PD cohort was sampled at a median of 5 yr from the appearance of motor symptoms. The authors found that Prevotellaceae was significantly reduced in the PD patient cohort, which was unrelated to constipation measures. Furthermore, there was an increased abundance of Enterobacteriaceae, which was positively associated with increased levels of postural instability and gait disturbance. A valuable study of gut microbiota from treatment-naive PD patients (788) also described differences in gut microbiota composition between PD patients and healthy controls. Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia were higher in PD fecal samples at the phylum level, and putative pro-inflammatory bacteria were present at higher abundance at the genus level in the PD samples. They described an association between duration of PD and microbiota profile, although no correlation was found with disease severity, making it difficult to determine if the noted changes were causative or correlative. Another study focused on 19 specific groups/genera/species and determined that the number of Lactobacillus was higher in the fecal samples of PD patients, while C. coccoides and B. fragilis groups were lower than that of healthy controls (642). This group also attempted to model the disease duration using the microbiota data and observed that increased L. gasseri and decreased C. coccoides correlated with disease duration, albeit not with constipation levels, which historically correlate with disease duration in PD. An altered microbiota profile in PD was confirmed in another study, where a defined number of bacteria were quantified using PCR (1531).

Utilizing current metagenomic shotgun analysis techniques, one group discovered that Verrucomicrobiaceae and Firmicutes were increased and Prevotellaceae and Erysipelotrichaceae decreased in a PD patient cohort who were diagnosed within the previous year and were L-DOPA-therapy naive (117), although other concomitant medications were in use. Importantly, key microbial species differences were identified between the PD and control groups, and the authors were able to model PD status using six of the taxa. Furthermore, the effect of 39 potential confounders, including PD medications, were examined in an exciting recent study using a larger cohort of participants (197 PD patients and 130 healthy controls) (666). This robust study determined that there is a large effect of PD medication on the microbiota profile. The study also confirmed that PD status alone was responsible for significant alterations in gut microbiota. Findings of gut microbe compositional differences in PD have more recently been confirmed in a Chinese population, with the genera Clostridium IV, Aquabacterium, Holdemania, Sphingomonas, Clostridium XVIII, Butyricoccus, and Anaerotruncus found to be increased in PD patients compared with matched controls, and a negative correlation of Escherichia/Shigella with disease duration (1225a). Finally, a study which also took into account a cohort of a presumptive prodromal PD state, namely, Idiopathic Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder, which has a high conversion rate to PD, showed changes in gut microbe composition that were very similar to the PD cohort, and significantly different from healthy controls (656). This offers a tantalizing prospect of being able to utilize gut-microbial profiling as a biomarker for PD in the future. Furthermore, specific operational taxonomic units were associated with both motor and non-motor symptoms, as well as depressive comorbidities (656).

Of note, samples for these human studies were entirely cross-sectional, patients were not followed longitudinally, and most of the studies used small cohorts. This strongly identifies a need for larger, prospective, and longitudinal
studies to confirm these findings and determine whether gut microbiota profile continues to alter throughout disease progression. Interestingly, epidemiological studies of individuals who underwent a full truncal vagotomy for treatment of peptic ulcer disease, which would prevent certain microbiota signals reaching the brain, have a diminished risk of PD as they age (907, 1444). Probiotic interventions to benefit the symptoms of PD are also of interest, with one study published on the benefits of a fermented milk drink containing multiple strains of probiotics in addition to prebiotics in treating constipation in the disease (103). A preclinical study on a nutritional supplement containing prebiotic fibers (FOS and GOS), in addition to other nutrients, has also shown benefit on motor, cognitive, and gut symptoms in a mouse model of PD (1190). Much research is needed to help understand how changes in the microbiota can moderate both the non-motor and motor symptoms of PD as well as its comorbidities (442, 904).

O. Alzheimer's Disease and Dementia

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common neurodegenerative disorder and the leading cause of dementia. The characteristic brain pathology, including Aβ plaques and hyperphosphorylated tau protein, appears in stages starting in the trans-entorhinal cortex, followed by progression to the hippocampus, and later widespread progression to cortical regions (204). The possibility of a microbial origin for the disorder has been discussed for years (95, 96, 549, 707, 1040, 1041, 1676), and new evidence continues to emerge in support of this concept (39, 969, 1200, 1201). There is now a strong case to be made for a link between pathogenic microbes and the development and progression of AD (succinctly reviewed in Ref. 668).

Experimental evidence (1405) has supported other research identifying the amyloid protein acting as a possible antimicrobial peptide in the brain (844, 1425). However, from a Koch’s postulate perspective, it is ethically difficult to prove that there is an infective etiology to the neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration observed. The relationship between gut proteins and brain health is receiving much-needed attention. Intriguingly, amyloid-like proteins can be produced by bacteria and have been shown to increase α-synuclein pathology in aged rats and worms (549). However, much more work is needed to validate such strategies in humans.

While the accumulation of Aβ peptide, and abnormal forms of tau protein, presents as a traditional indicator of AD (203), it does not necessarily infer causality. As an infectious agent, viruses are present in the brains of most elderly people and tend to colocalize with areas of AD pathology (720), and herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) has been associated with AD (473, 708). Furthermore, examination of the humoral response to the HSV-1 immunoglobulin (Ig) M antibodies increased the risk of developing AD, whereas IgG antibodies did not, suggesting that persistence of the virus may not play a role in AD but that reactivation of the virus may be contributing to its development (923). Also, elements of AD are transmissible as shown by inoculation of AD homogenates from humans to the brains of primates and mice (93). Further evidence for the role of viruses in AD etiology comes from work showing Aβ deposition and tau aberrations following infection with HSV-1 (1627, 1628).

The impact of the microbiota on AD is not restricted to viruses, as bacteria have also been associated with AD pathogenesis. GF APP-PS1 mice (1230) have a reduced Aβ pathology compared with conventional animals from the same background, indicating that the microbiota may play a role in Aβ biology, and subsequently AD pathogenesis (637). Moreover, Aβ has demonstrated antimicrobial properties in murine models of AD (844, 1405). Many questions remain unanswered regarding the role of viruses and bacteria in the pathogenesis of AD. It appears clear that microorganisms are involved at key nodes of the pathogenic cycle of AD, and further research must focus on whether Aβ accumulation is a malfunctioning immune response or a driver of disease (1425).

Concerning microbiota composition in individuals with AD, there are two studies describing alterations in the gut microbiota profile of AD patients compared with matched controls (1569, 1686). The first analyzed samples from 25 AD patients, the majority of whom had very-mild to mild dementia, along with 25 matched controls, and described a reduction in richness and diversity of gut microbiota in the AD samples (1569). A variety of taxa were affected, with decreased Firmicutes, increased Bacteroidetes, and decreased Bifidobacterium (1569). The alterations in microbiota correlated strongly with a pathological load of Aβ and phosphorylated tau species in a subgroup of patients who underwent lumbar puncture for AD markers. The second study also found microbiota composition changes at a variety of taxonomic levels in AD, albeit with some differences from the initial study, including Bacteroides, Actinobacteria, Ruminococcus, Lachnospiraceae, and Selenomonadaceae (1686).

A difference between the Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes ratio was also noted in this latest study, which has previously been described in obesity and is intriguing in light of the well-described link between AD and type II diabetes mellitus (59). Furthermore, cognitively impaired but otherwise healthy older adults have alterations in Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia compared with age-matched cognitively intact individuals (975). Cognitively impaired elderly participants with no definitive AD diagnosis at the time of the sampling, but with a high Aβ load, had a lower abundance of B. fragilis and E. rectale but higher abundance
of Escherichia/Shigella compared with both healthy controls and individuals with cognitive impairment but no Aβ pathology (261). All microbiota descriptions were observational and not intended to define correlation or causation at this stage.

There are a number of mouse models of AD, which are useful tools for the study of potential microbial-based AD therapeutics. Importantly, GF mice with AD mutations (APP/PS1 model) display markedly diminished Aβ therapeutics. In a recent study described how early antibiotic treatment in APP/PS1 mice resulted in reduced Aβ deposition later in life (1050). Intervention with a B. breve strain in an Aβ intracerebroventricular model prevented Aβ-induced cognitive deficits, partially restored memory function, and improved inflammatory status (822). A study utilizing the triple transgenic mouse model (3xTg) of AD determined that a probiotic cocktail (a mixture of lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria, SLAB51) reduced oxidative stress in the rodent (191). Another multistrain probiotic, containing L. acidophilus, L. fermentum, B. lactis, and B. longum, abrogated memory and learning deficits in an intra-hippocampal Aβ model of AD (70). Lastly, L. plantarum protected against cognitive decline (1101). Interestingly, this strain had previously been determined in the 1940s as being able to produce acetylcholine (1420), the neurotransmitter which is reduced to very low levels in the disease, and upregulation of which comprises the bulk of current pharmacological therapy available for AD.

The translational value of microbiota-gut-brain axis therapeutics for AD patients remains an open question. One randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of a multistrain probiotic (a milk drink containing L. acidophilus, L. casei, B. bifidum, and L. fermentum species) described improvements in the Mini Mental State Exam (27). However, another study showed no improvement in cognition following 12 wk of consumption of a multistrain probiotic, containing Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium strains, in severe AD patients (11). Much work remains to be done to determine whether targeting the microbiota-gut-brain axis can result in clinically significant improvements that slow or halt AD progression. The current literature provides some preliminary evidence that psychobiotic therapy may one day be considered a possible adjunct therapy in the treatment of AD symptoms, or even in the prevention of disease progression at the prodromal stage. It is possible that an altered gut microbiota profile, or indeed any other biomarker, could more reliably predict AD in the prodromal stages. However, we are still far from this, and much work needs to be done to describe better the effects of microbiota-gut-brain axis signaling in AD.

P. Stroke and Brain Injury

Inadequate blood flow to the brain, resulting in cell death, is a hallmark signature of stroke (1177) and a common response to brain injury (1316). Peripheral and systemic factors, including an enhanced inflammatory response, can worsen outcomes after stroke (1303, 1431). Investigating the potential involvement of microbiota-related inflammation in stroke outcomes or recovery is thus a burgeoning area of brain injury research. In a Chinese patient group presenting with stroke or a transient ischemic attack, fecal abundance of three major commensal microbes (Bacteroides, Prevotella, and Faecalibacterium) were severely depleted, with a concomitant enhancement in abundance of opportunistic pathogens such as Enterobacter, Megaspheara, and Desulfovibrio (1650). Moreover, patients presenting with severe stroke had a greater abundance of Proteobacteria over those with milder stroke.

Gut permeability, GI motility, and microbiota composition are all drastically altered in mouse models of stroke (686, 1383, 1414). Furthermore, FMT into GF mice from a cerebral ischemia model transmitted functional deficits of pro-inflammatory T-lymphocyte (Th1 and Th17 T_helpt phenotype) trafficking and the ischemia-induced cerebral lesion volume (1383). Administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics before ischemic injury is associated with significantly worse outcomes (1613). Moreover, antibiotic-induced microbiota dysregulation resulted in a reduction in the trafficking of the pro-inflammatory IL-17+ γδ T cells, which was associated with a reduction in IL-17-associated chemokine expression in brain parenchyma, along with reduced neutrophil accumulation and a reduction in infarct volume of the ischemic site (125). Thus the gut microbiota appears to influence the magnitude of post-stroke neuroinflammation by modulating intestinal T-cell trafficking to the meninges. On the other hand, probiotic supplementation seems to have the potential to benefit brain injury patients; C. butyricum had neuroprotective effects in a mouse model of traumatic brain injury (884, 1441). The probiotic treatment was shown to act by protecting intestinal barrier integrity, increasing the expression of cerebral GLP-1R and the secretion of intestinal GLP-1, a 5-HT and appetite-modulating hormone. Although this area needs more investigation, there is great interest in the potential for pre- or probiotic-enriched treatments to mitigate some of the morbidities associated with stroke and cerebral ischemia (213).

Q. Multiple Sclerosis

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a CNS-related autoimmune disorder defined by accruing motor deficits, blurred vision, and changes in sensibility that appear spontaneously with little to no prodromal signals (425). Based on the immune etiol-
ology of MS, much interest is now focused on possible therapeu-
tic intervention via the gut microbiota (136, 1510). Remarkably, when fecal matter from MS patients was transplanted into mice, the animals began to exhibit one of the hallmark symptoms of MS: autoimmune encephalomyelitis (135, 265). GF mice also exhibited resistance to develop-
ing experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in a mouse model of MS, which was promoted by gram-positive segmented filamentous bacteria in the gut (135, 873). It was noted that the symptom expression correlated with an increase in relative abundance of Akkermansia. This fits with a recent study showing that A. muciniphila and Acinetobacter calcoaceticus are present in relatively higher abundance in fecal samples from MS patients (265). The MS patients also exhibited reduced levels of Parabacteroides distasonis, a species associated with anti-inflammatory activity. It appears that the gut microbiota of MS patients has a notable role in the disease expression, which may be amenable to dietary intervention. Further studies with targeted microbiota interventions are needed to validate such a hypothesis. Interestingly, in further support of such a concept is the fact that the manifestation of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis has also been linked to diet-induced changes in the microbiota (897).

R. Obstructive Sleep Apnea

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is defined as breathing inter-
ruptions during sleep due to the collapse of the upper respira-
tory tract, resulting in sleep disturbances (1236). Apneic events cause transient hypoxia, decreased oxygen levels in blood, and hypercapnia, increased carbon dioxide in blood. OSA is highly prevalent in the obese and aged populations and represents a risk factor for many cardiometabolic dis-
orders, such as diabetes mellitus type 2 and hypertension (545). Nevertheless, the mechanisms connecting OSA and its comorbidities remain elusive. Recent studies have exam-
ined if changes in gut microbiota are connected to OSA and associated metabolic disturbances, which have been already linked to modifications in gut microbiota composition (127, 1512). Two methods utilized in the examination of this potential relationship are sleep fragmentation and in-
duction of hypoxia and hypercapnia, both of which are defining characteristics of OSA.

Further evidence comes from a recent rodent study; mice that underwent 4 wk of sleep fragmentation displayed changes in gut microbiota composition, including an in-
crease in the Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes ratio (1212), similar to that seen in aging and obesity (257, 1634). Mice that underwent sleep fragmentation also exhibited increased Lachnospiraceae and decreased Lactobacillaceae abundan-
cies, as well as colonic barrier disruption, prompting a rise in peripheral inflammation markers (1212). In a recent study, antibiotic treatment depressed the ventilatory re-
sponse to hypercapnic stress in awake, responsive animals

(1112). Furthermore, FMT also disrupted the gut microbi-
ota composition that was associated with depressed venti-
latory responsiveness to hypercapnia. In this instance, both antibiotic treatment and FMT resulted in significant disruptions to brain stem monoamine neurochemistry, which correlated with the abundance of several bacteria of six differ-
cent phyla (1112). Moreover, in a recent guinea pig study, chronic intermittent hypoxia (CIH)-induced hypertension which models human sleep apnea was shown to alter gut microbiota richness and composition, brain stem neuro-
chemistry, and autonomic control of heart rate, suggesting modulation of breathing and autonomic homeostasis via the microbiota-gut-brain stem axis (930).

From a translational perspective, a human clinical study, two nights of partial sleep deprivation raised the Firmic-
utes-to-Bacteroidetes ratio in a cohort of healthy young adults (127), a translationally similar result to that seen in rodents. Both geriatric and pediatric patients suffering from OSA present with elevated blood levels of an immune marker of intestinal barrier disruption, LPS-binding protein (792, 829). These indirect impacts on the gut microbiota could potentially trigger downstream pathways, leading to metabolic dysfunction. While other recent work was unable to reproduce such results with their sleep restriction model (1672), OSA-derived hypoxia and hypercapnia resulted in gut microbiota functional and compositional alterations in humans (1071) affecting intestinal molecules such as bile acids, phytoestrogens, and fatty acids, the synthesis of which is dependent on gut microbiota (1512). Although the connection between OSA and gut microbiota appears strong using the sleep fragmentation model, it appears that hypoxic state may be integral to the effects of OSA on the gut microbiota. Further research is needed to determine the role of the gut microbiota in OSA.

S. Epilepsy

Even though there are many studies in GF mice examining neural changes in the key brain regions implicated in epi-
leptogenesis (346, 437, 674, 931, 933, 1133, 1408), there is a paucity of knowledge on the specific involvement of the microbiota in epilepsy. As referred to earlier, there is a strong link between a ketogenic diet and its effects on micro-
biota composition (see sect. VIC) (1093, 1636), a com-
mon dietary treatment prescribed to patients with epilepsy (998). GF mouse research has shown the beneficial effects, as well as dependency on the microbiota, a ketogenic diet can have, where a reduced fiber content diet similar to the FODMAP diet can improve symptoms but also be detri-
mental to the microbiota (1133), indicating a potential role for the microbiota as a mediator of epileptogenesis.

T. Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a fatal neurodegen-
erative motor neuron disease characterized by CNS and
systemic inflammation resulting in rapid and progressive loss of peripheral motor functions; most patients die within 5 yr of diagnosis (1673). A lower abundance of butyrate-producing bacteria, associated with gut permeability, has been detected in a murine model of the disorder (1673). ALS-prone Sod1 transgenic (Sod1-Tg) mice have been shown to have altered microbiota and metabolite composition coupled with an exacerbated disease under GF conditions or after treatment with antibiotics (168a). However, human studies have thus far failed to find a link between the gut microbiota and disease progression in ALS (212).

**U. Huntington’s Disease**

Huntington’s disease is a congenital progressive brain disorder caused by a dominant mutation in the Huntingtin gene (1016). Symptoms include progressive motor, cognitive, and psychiatric decline, caused by neuronal dysfunction and cell death. Sadly, there are currently no treatment options for Huntington’s disease, other than symptom management and pain alleviation. Interestingly, intrinsic and extrinsic environmental factors have been shown to modify progression of the disease (1542). Altered gut microbiota-derived metabolites have been observed in subjects exhibiting early symptoms of Huntington’s disease, indicating the possibility for microbiota-based interventions (827). Furthermore, recent work highlighted that the lack of a microbiome in a mouse model of Huntington’s disease (BACHD-GF) resulted in changes in corpus callosum myelin thickness and plasticity (1231). Further research is needed to uncover any specific role that the microbiome may play in this disease.

**V. Infections and the Brain**

Infections of the CNS have been the one area in medicine that the disciplines of microbiology and neuropsychiatry have converged whether it was in the case of neurosyphilis, a major problem in the 19th and early 20th century (625, 1458), or the realization of the cognitive effects of the HIV virus (1595, 1621). Infections can be caused by bacterial, viral, fungal, or parasitic pathogens and their effects can be devastating (486). Thus understanding the mechanisms of how brain infections occur will have an impact on how the microbiome could influence the brain. Moreover, the presence of a microbiome within the brain itself is very controversial, and much more work is needed in this regard (1353).

Pathogens can enter the brain via three main routes. First, pathogens enter the brain via the blood or CSF; under physiological conditions, this passage is prevented by the BBB or the blood-CSF barrier. However, diseases such as endocarditis (1297) and HIV (71) can result in bacteria or viruses entering the brain. Also, long-term use of steroids and organ transplant can also compromise the BBB and blood-CSF barrier resulting in bacterial translocation (342). Second, direct entry during an ear infection, sinusitis, mastoiditis, and osteomyelitis among others can take place. Lastly, pathogens can enter the brain following skull fracture or traumatic brain injury (TBI). Not every bacterium has the potential to enter the brain, and those that do have adapted specific molecular methods to allow translocation. Indeed, TBI itself has been shown to result in an alteration in fecal microbiota (1507). While these barriers represent an important obstacle against infection, they also prevent the access of important drugs to the brain. Current and future research must define the exact mechanisms that pathogens use and harness these tactics for improved drug delivery to the CNS.

Bacterial infections of the brain are typically confined to the meninges, three important membranes that enclose the brain and spinal cord (680). One of the most common bacterial infections of the brain is bacterial meningitis, usually caused by extracellular pathogens such as *S. pneumoniae* (pneumococcal meningitis), *Neisseria meningitidis* (meningococcal meningitis), and *Hemophilus influenzae*, the most common causes of bacterial meningitis in adults and children worldwide (653). Bacteria responsible for meningitis are frequently considered commensals at mucosal surfaces and only pose an infectious threat when they enter the brain via the bloodstream or CSF (342). Not all bacteria responsible for meningitis have the same tendency to infect the meninges. For example, meningitis is common during meningococcal disease, whereas *S. pneumoniae* while capable of causing meningitis is rarely seen in pneumococcal disease (342). Furthermore, it is clear that bacteria may enter the brain at different sites using different methods, implying that different bacteria employ different techniques to breach the barriers to the brain (692).

A clearer picture of how the brain is affected by infection is essential to understand the mechanisms by which neurological disease occurs and how the microbiome-gut-brain axis may regulate it. The brain has a specific mechanism in place that have been exploited by bacteria, viruses, and parasites, understanding the methods these pathogens use will present a clearer understanding about how outside threats can penetrate the brain and cause devastating disease.

**IX. BEYOND THE “BACTERIOME”**

Bacteria have long been the primary subjects of microbiota research, but the remaining members within the gut microbiota have begun to garner more interest as their presence not only impacts the consortia of bacteria but also can directly impact the host. The highest fraction of the gut microbiota are viruses, which outnumber their mammalian and microbial hosts by a large margin, thus they cannot be ignored. Fungi are found in lower numbers but may impart a large effect on the function of the microbiota and host,
particularly in immunocompromised individuals. The virome (collection of viruses) and the mycobiome (collection of fungi) within the microbiota each serves complex roles, which we will now explore.

A. The Virome

The gut virome comprises viruses capable of infecting host mammalian cells, as well as eukaryotic, bacterial, fungal, and archaeal cells. Viruses that infect bacteria (i.e., bacteriophage or simply phage) predominate in both number and diversity in the virome. Characterizing the virome has proven challenging as the phage community contains divergent genomes, and retain no conserved gene region utilizable for identification, similar to the bacterial 16S rRNA genes. Some phages in the gut are lytic (virulent) where they hijack host cell transcription/translation machinery to generate many more phage components before lysing the host cell membrane and releasing phage particles into the local environment. However, more commonly phages in the gut are lysogenic, where they incorporate their DNA into the plasmid or genome of the host cell and replicate along with the host over time. In addition to replicating with their host through successive divisions, lysogenic phage can also drive diversification and evolution by imparting new genes to their bacterial host that can increase substrate utilization range, induce virulence, protect from phage superinfection, provide resistance against antimicrobials, and many other positive and negative growth factors (980). The most prolific phages in the gut are temperate phages and can be both lytic and lysogenic, whereas virulent phages are obligately lytic (534, 801). Temperate phages can transition between lytic and lysogenic states depending on environmental factors. For example, lysogenic phages can become lytic when environmental stressors cause DNA injury (1065) or loss of host fitness (1273), such as with antibiotics (349), oxidation (521), bacterial conjugation (1616), and heat (1296). The lytic and lysogenic lifestyles of viruses make it difficult to unravel many of the potential interkingdom interactions within the gut microbiota, but the intrinsic predation of bacteria by bacteriophages drives adaptation and diversification for bacterial resistance and phage infection (409). Since the phage population is dependent on available hosts, extrinsic factors that can alter bacterial community dynamics can also shape the phage population. A bloom of one strain of bacteria will increase phage diversity targeting predation on that bacterial group, thus increasing bacterial diversity through kill-the-winner dynamics [also described as the Red Queen effect and in the Lotka-Volterra model dynamics (1269, 1485)]. This is exemplified in IBD where reduced bacterial diversity leads to increased phage expansion and diversification (877, 1108). Interestingly, human phage community profiles show low temporal intrapersonal diversity, but high interpersonal diversity (1253), further complicating the picture.

Phages in the gut environment are capable of playing a large role in shaping the microbiota as the gut ecosystem is suggested to be one of the densest phages habitats in the world, where up to \(10^{15}\) phage particles have been estimated in the GI tract (382, 878, 1047). Identification of phage capable of utilizing quorum sensing molecules of their bacterial host has shown that phage are capable of making lysis-lysogenic decisions dependent on communication systems of bacteria that may infer bacterial growth trajectory (1375). The most commonly studied and identified phages in the gut are of the order Caudovirales within the families Siphoviridae, Podoviridae, and Myoviridae (877). More recent studies have identified crAss-like bacteriophages as the most abundant viruses in the gut, where indirect evidence suggests they primarily infect bacteria of the genus Bacteroides (466, 1371, 1659). Phages are similarly ubiquitous in feces, reported at a concentration of \(\sim10^8\) per gram and equaling the number of bacteria, further indicating their importance for consideration during FMT (802). Interestingly, FMTs that have had the bacterial fraction filtered out have been shown to result in similar treatment efficacy and resolution of \textit{C. difficile} infection (1143, 1693). In individuals with \textit{C. difficile} infection that were successfully treated with FMT, there was stable engraftment of bacteriophages from donors to recipients for up to 12 mo following treatment (460). This long-term colonization is evidence that phages play an active role in the microbiota since inactive phages would be quickly washed out.

Elucidation of safe and effective phage therapy has been a desired paradigm for decades and, in the age of increasing antibiotic resistance, is of growing importance. The utmost care must be taken regarding the effects that phage therapy can have longitudinally on the mammalian host directly, or indirectly via the gut microbiota. One recent study showed that phage cocktails generated from a number of bacterial isolates resulted in increased intestinal permeability in rodents (1475, 1476). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated in rodent models that phages are capable of crossing the GI barrier following gavage (462, 630). Additionally, phages have been shown to cross through epithelial cell layers via transcytosis, allowing access to various regions in the body that are usually considered sterile (e.g., blood, lymph, organs; Ref. 1096). Phages were not believed to directly invoke an immune response in humans (1314), but they can be removed through innate immunity mechanisms (1033), and play an important role in antibacterial innate immunity. A recent study showed that bacteriophage proliferation is linked to intestinal inflammation and colitis in a preclinical IBD model, suggesting that phage can modulate varying effects on the host including TLR9 mediated
IFN-γ immune response specific to Bacteroides bacteriophages and phage DNA (589). The “bacteriophage adherence to mucus” model proposes that phages provide antibacterial immunity to the host through mucin glycoproteins binding with proteins exposed on phage capsids. Therefore, concentrated phage in the mucus layer may provide a defense system against bacteria for the epithelial cells below (106). Since phages are capable of modulating proliferation of their bacterial hosts, sculpt microbiota composition, and modulate inflammation in the mammalian host, their effects on other aspects of human health and cognition will continue to be a burgeoning new area of research for years to come.

B. The Mycobiome

Fungi resident in the gut mycobiome are less prevalent than both their bacterial and viral counterparts, and like viruses, their role in the gut microbiota is not as well understood as bacteria. Fungi in the GI tract have been reported to comprise 0.001–0.1% of the total population [approximately a billion organisms (699), and ~10^6 / gram in stool (182)]. In a number of studies many members of the mycobiome have been reported, yet few would be considered common, leading some researchers to question the role, and true colonization of the gut by fungi, since the presence of most members in stool can be explained by oral colonization and transient passage through the gut in a healthy individual (73, 628). This perspective argues that gut colonization by fungi could be indicative of a diseased and immunocompromised individual, which could certainly help explain why some of the most common members identified (Candida, Malassezia, Geotrichum, Cladosporium) are also opportunistic pathogens. Other fungi unable to colonize such as Saccharomyces, Aspergillus, and Penicillium are common either in diets or the environment and are expected to impact the ecology of the myco- and microbiota (628). In IBD, fungal communities have been described as having increased diversity and a varied composition compared with the mycobiomes of healthy individuals (1142). In one study in both humans and rodents, a change in fungal composition was correlated with increased visceral hypersensitivity, supporting the notion that IBS related visceral hypersensitivity may be treated with antifungal medication (199). Given the potential for interaction of nociceptive sensory pathways by the mycobiome, fungi may well play a larger role in the gut-brain axis than previously thought. Similar to the other less frequently studied microbes in the gut, a gold standard approach for culture-independent metagenomic analysis is lacking. Undoubtedly, more work is needed to better understand the presence and purpose of fungi in the gut.

X. CONCLUSIONS

A. Expansion of the Gut-Brain Axis into Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis or Diet-Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis

Understanding how gut microbes influence gut-brain axis communication has been the subject of significant research over the past decade. There is a growing effort to dissect out the mechanisms of this communication at all nodes of the axis. It is now widely believed that the gut microbiota is critically important for the appropriate development and maintenance of brain function. Moreover, as outlined above, there is accumulating evidence from both animal and clinical studies implicating the microbiota in a variety of psychiatric, neurological, and neurodegenerative diseases. However, it is still very much early days in this field and caution is needed in over-interpreting such studies. Whether changes in the microbiota are central to the pathophysiology of at least some psychiatric and neurological disorders is currently unproven, though the subject of considerable speculation. To date, IBS is the only clinical condition where targeting the microbiota has been shown to result in clinical improvement in placebo-controlled trials. There also remain many unanswered questions regarding psychobiotics, with much work required to test optimal dosing, strain, and timing in therapeutic applications. It will be important for the field to move away from just correlative analysis towards prospective longitudinal studies, causative and mechanistic analyses, and larger scale trials of potential therapeutic approaches. Without doubt, studies across a wide spectrum of disorders will be available shortly, which is an exciting prospect for the promise of therapeutic applications for the microbiota.

One of the big conundrums in microbiota-based medicine is how to define a healthy microbiota. Inter-individual differences in microbiota composition can be great, which makes a “one size fits all” approach to targeting the microbiota challenging. However, it also offers opportunities as the microbiota may be the conduit for effective personalized medicine approaches in the future (but see Ref. 1691).

Given the role of diet in modulating the microbiota, we may really be focusing on a diet-microbiota-gut-brain axis in mediating health and disease across the lifespan. Thus, in addition to the quote at the beginning of this review, Hippocrates was also reported to have said: “let food be thy medicine.” Perhaps a modified version now warrants consideration “let food for your microbes be thy brain medicine.”
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